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Abstract— Optimal power flow (OPF) problem has already been attempted as a static optimization problem, by adopting conventional gradient-based methods and more recently, no conventional ones, such as evolutionary algorithms. However, as the loads, generation capacities and network connections in a power system are always in a changing status, these static-oriented methods are of limited use for this issue. This paper presents a new algorithm, dynamic bacterial foraging algorithm (DBFA), for solving an OPF problem in a dynamic environment in which system loads are changing. DBFA is based on the recently proposed BFA which mimics the basic foraging behaviour of E. coli bacteria. A selection scheme for bacteria's reproduction is employed in DBFA, which explores the self-adaptability of each bacterium in the group searching activities. DBFA has been evaluated, for optimizing the power system fuel cost with the OPF embedded, on the standard IEEE 30-bus with a range of load changes which occurred in different probabilities. The simulation results show that DBFA can more rapidly adapt to load changes, and more closely trace the global optimum of the system fuel cost, in comparison with BFA and some other techniques.

Index Terms—Bacterial foraging algorithm (BFA), Optimal Power Flow, Dynamic Bacterial foraging algorithm

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of OPF is to find the optimal settings of a given power system network that optimize a certain objective function while satisfying its power flow equations, system security, and equipment operating limits. Different control variables are manipulated to achieve an optimal network setting based on the problem formulation. To tackle complex search problems of the real world, scientists have been drawing inspiration from nature and natural creatures for years. Optimization techniques may follow different approaches. Recently, optimization techniques inspired by biology behaviours, known as bio-mimetic optimization algorithms, have obtained more and more attention. Some algorithms have been proposed, such as Genetic Algorithms (GAs), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) and glow-worm swarm optimization (GSO). Bio-mimetic optimization algorithms are developed from simulation the evolutionary process and the behaviours of biology. They are population-based (each member stands for a biology individual), and initialized with a population of individuals.

They utilize the direct information "fitness" instead of individual’s ability to adapt to the environment. These individuals are manipulated over many generations by ways of mimicking social behaviour of biology, in an effort to find the optima. Natural selection tends to eliminate animals with poor foraging strategies and favour the propagation of genes of those animals that have successful foraging strategies, since they are more likely to enjoy reproductive success. After many generations, poor foraging strategies are either eliminated or shaped into good ones. This activity of foraging led the researchers to use it as optimization process. Natural selection tends to eliminate species with poor foraging strategies and favour the propagation of genes of species with successful foraging behaviour since they are more likely to enjoy reproductive success. Since a foraging organism or animal takes necessary action to maximize the energy intake per unit time spent for foraging, considering all the constraints presented by its own physiology such as sensing and cognitive capabilities, environment (e.g., density of prey, risks from predators, physical characteristics of the search space), the natural foraging strategy can lead to optimization and essentially this idea can be applied to solve real-world optimization problems.

In 2002 K. M. Passion proposed Bacterial Foraging optimization Algorithm which is inspired by the Foraging behaviour of E.Coli bacteria. Natural selection tends to eliminate animals with poor foraging strategies and favour the propagation of genes of those animals that have successful foraging strategies. Bacteria foraging strategies are the motivation for BFA optimization.

Foraging Theory: - Animals search for and obtain nutrients in a way that maximizes the ratio E/T (where E is the energy obtained and T is the time spent foraging) or maximizes the long-term average rate of energy intake. Evolution optimizes the foraging strategies, since animals that have poor foraging performance do not survive. In the process of foraging, E. coli bacteria undergo four stages, namely, chemotaxis, swimming, reproduction, and elimination and dispersal. In search space, BFOA seek optimum value through the chemotaxis of bacteria, and realize quorum sensing via assemble function between bacterial, and satisfy the evolution rule of the survival of the fittest make use of reproduction operation, and use elimination-dispersal mechanism to avoiding falling into premature convergence.

A. Chemotaxis-

This process simulates the movement of an E.coli cell through swimming and tumbling via flagella. Biologically, an E.coli bacterium can move in two different ways. It can swim for a period of time in the same direction, or it may tumble, and alternate between these two modes of operation for the entire lifetime.
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Suppose \( \Theta(i, j, k, l) \) represents the position of the \( i \)th bacterium at \( j \) the chemotactic, \( k \)th reproductive and \( l \)th elimination dispersal step. \( C(i) \) is the size of the step taken in the random direction specified by the tumble (run length unit). Then in computational chemotaxis the movement of the bacterium maybe represented by

\[
\Theta'(j + 1, k, l) = \Theta'(j, k, l) + C(i) \frac{\Delta(i)}{\sqrt{\Delta'(i)\Delta(i)}}
\]

where \( \Delta \) indicates a vector in the random direction whose elements lie in \([-1, 1]\).

B. Swarming-

interesting group behaviour has been observed for several motile species of bacteria including E. coli and S. typhimurium, where intricate and stable spatiotemporal patterns (swarms) are formed in a semisolid nutrient medium. A group of E. coli cells arrange themselves in a travelling ring by moving up the nutrient gradient when placed amidst a semisolid matrix with a single nutrient chemo effector. The cells, when stimulated by a high level of succinate, release an attractant aspartate, which helps them to aggregate into groups and thus move as concentric patterns of swarms with high bacterial density. The cell-to-cell signalling in E. coli swarm may be represented by the following function:

\[
J_{or}(\Theta, P(j, k, l)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{or}^{i}(\Theta, \Theta'(j, k, l)) \]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{j} [-d_{attract} \exp(-w_{attract} \sum_{m=1}^{p} (\Theta_m - \Theta'_m)^2)]
+ \sum_{i=1}^{j} [h_{repellant} \exp(-w_{repellant} \sum_{m=1}^{p} (\Theta_m - \Theta'_m)^2)]
\]

where the cost function value is added to the actual cost function. \( S \) is the total number of bacteria and \( p \) is the number of parameters to be optimized which are present in each bacterium. \( d_{attract} \) is the depth of the attractant released by the cell and \( w_{attract} \) is a measure of the width of the attractant signal. \( h_{repellant} = d_{attract} \) is the height of the repellent effect and \( w_{repellant} \) is a measure of the width of the repellent.

C. Reproduction-

According to the rules of evolution, individual will reproduce themselves in appropriate conditions in a certain way. For bacterial, a reproduction step takes place after all chemotactic steps.

\[
J_{health}^{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} J(i, j, k, l)
\]

Where \( J_{health}^{i} \) is the health of bacterium \( i \). Sort bacteria and chemotactic parameters \( C(i) \) in order of ascending cost (higher cost means lower health). For keep a constant population size, bacteria with the highest \( J_{health} \) values die. The remaining bacteria are allowed to split into two bacteria in the same place.

D. Elimination-Dispersal-

In the evolutionary process, elimination and dispersal events can occur such that bacteria in a region are killed or a group is dispersed into a new part of the environment due to some influence. They have the effect of possibly destroying chemotactic progress, but they also have the effect of assisting in chemotaxis, since dispersal may place bacteria near good food sources. From the evolutionary point of view, elimination and dispersal was used to guarantees diversity of individuals and to strengthen the ability of global optimization. In BFA, bacteria are eliminated with a probability of \( P_{ed} \). In order to keeping the number of bacteria in the population constant, if a bacterium is eliminated, simply disperse one to a random location on the optimization domain.

II. DYNAMIC BACTERIAL FORAGING ALGORITHM

The BFA is inspired by the pattern exhibited by bacterial foraging behaviour. Bacteria have the tendency to gather to the nutrient-rich areas by an activity called “chemotaxis”. It is known that bacteria swim by rotating whip-like flagella driven by a reversible motor embedded in the cell wall. One example is E. coli, which has 8–10 flagella placed randomly on its body. When all flagella rotate counter clockwise, they form a compact, helically propelling the cell along a helical trajectory, which is called Run. When the flagella rotate clockwise, they all pull on the bacterium in different directions, which causes the bacteria to Tumble. Apart from the bacterial chemotaxis, BFA also introduces reproduction as well as elimination and dispersion events. However, in DBFA, there are only two primary components: local search and selection process.

Local Search- Bacteria make decision according to their ambient environment. The motion of individual peritrichous flagellated bacterium can be described in terms of run intervals during which the cell swims approximately in a straight line interspersed with tumbles, when the organism undergoes a random reorientation. After one step move, the position of the \( i \)th bacterium can be represented as

\[
\Theta(i, j + 1, k, l) = \Theta(i, j, k, l) + C(i, j, k, l) \angle \phi(i, j, k, l)
\]

Where \( \Theta(i, j, k, l) \) indicates the position of the \( i \)th bacterium at \( j \)th chemotactic step in the \( k \)th reproductive loop of the \( l \)th elimination and dispersion event; \( C(i, j, k, l) \) is the length of a unit walk, which is set to be a constant; and \( \phi(i, j, k, l) \) is moving angle of the \( i \)th bacterium. When its activity is Run, \( \phi(i, j, k, l) \) is the same with \( \phi(i, j - 1, k, l) \) otherwise, \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} W_i = 1 \) is random angle ranged from \([0, \pi]\). In this case, the fitness evaluation is calculated as \( J(i, j, k, l) \).
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Fig. 1. Tumble and Run

Selection Process - Selection process is introduced using a more flexible scheme to enable a better adaptability in a changing environment. The scheme is described as follows:

\[ F_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} J(i, j, k) \]

\[ R_i = \text{sort}(F_i) \]

\[ W_i = m \frac{(R_i)^n}{\sum_{j=1}^{P} (R_j)^n} + (1 - m) \frac{F_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{P} F_j} \]

Where \( N_c \) is the number of chemotactic steps (each step may contain one Run or Tumble) during a bacterium’s life time, \( j \) the index of chemotactic steps, \( P \) the population size, \( m \) the weight of diversity, and \( n \) the exponent of \( R_i \). Here the nutrient obtained by bacterium \( i \) at step \( j \) is denoted as \( J(i, j, k) \) and the sum of nutrient obtained during life is indicated in (3.5). Thus, those experiencing more nutrient-rich areas are more likely to be selected as a parent for next generation.

Step by step procedure of DBFA-OPF

Step 1 Prepare the database for the generator data, bus data, transformer data and transmission line data.

Step 2 Initialization

- Number of parameters (\( p \)) to be optimized.
- Number of bacteria (\( S \)) to be used for searching the total region.
- Swimming length \( N_s \) after which tumbling of bacteria will be undertaken in a chemotactic loop.
- \( N_c \) the number of iterations to be undertaken in a chemotactic loop. (\( N_s > N_c \)).
- \( N_r \), the maximum number of reproduction to be undertaken.
- The location of each bacterium \( P(1-p, 1-s, 1) \) which is specified be random numbers on [-1, 1].
- The value of \( C(i) \) which is assumed to be constant.
- The values of \( d_{\text{attract}}, w_{\text{attract}}, h_{\text{repellant}} \) and \( w_{\text{repellant}} \)

Step 3 Initialization of loops

- Chemotactic loop counter, \( j=1 \)
- Reproduction loop counter, \( k=1 \)

Step 4 Run power flow using the Newton–Raphson method for each set of generating patterns \( P_{gi} \) corresponding to a particular generation and hence determine, slack bus generation, bus voltage magnitudes and phase angles at all the buses. Also calculate power flow in each transmission line of the system.

Step 5 Check the following constraints:

- Check the \( P_{S\text{ slack}} \), it should be within \( P_{S\text{ slack}}^\text{min} \) and \( P_{S\text{ slack}}^\text{max} \).
- Check the bus voltage violation \( V_i^\text{min} \leq V_i \leq V_i^\text{max} \).
- Check the bus voltage phase angle \( \phi_i^\text{min} \leq \phi_i \leq \phi_i^\text{max} \).
- Check the MVA flows violation, \( MVA_j \leq MVA_{\text{max}} \) for all the lines connected between bus \( j \) and \( i \).
- Check real and reactive power limits at all generator buses. If any of the above constraints is violated, go to step 3.

Step 6 if all the constraints are satisfied, than start iterative procedure in step 7.

Step 7 Iterative Procedure

- For \( i=1, 2 \ldots S \), calculate cost function value for each bacterium \( i \) as follows.
- Compute value of cost function \( J(i, j, k, l) \). Let \( J_{sw}(i, j, k, l) = J(i, j, k, l) + \)

Fig. 2. Flow chart of DBFA
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A technique is computational methods, with operator \( \Delta \) to compute the new bacterium, \( W_i \) is given in, and \( \sum_{j=1}^{P} W_j = 1 \).

Step 9 Roulette wheel selection is adopted to generate the next generation, as follows:

- Calculate the total fitness value \( F_T = \sum_{i=1}^{5} F_i \).
- Calculate the probability of selection using the formula \( P_j = \frac{F_j}{F_T} \) for \( i = \) number of bacteria, \( S \).
- Determine cumulative probabilities for each chromosome \( q_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} P_j \) for \( i = \) number of bacteria, \( S \).
- Generate random number \( r_i \) (for \( i = 1, S \)) in the range \( \{0, 1\} \).
- If \( r_i < q_i \) then select the first chromosome; otherwise select the \( m \)th chromosome such that \( q_{m-1} < r_i < q_m \).

Step 10 Run power flow using the Newton–Raphson method for each set of generating patterns \( P_i \) corresponding to a particular generation and hence determine, slack bus generation, bus voltage magnitudes and phase angles at all the buses. Also calculate power flow in each transmission line of the system and check for the constraints in step 5.

Step 11 If \( k > N_c \) go for the performance evaluation and print results else go to step 3.

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

The DBFA is applied on IEEE-30 Bus system consisting of 6 Generators, 21 Load Buses, 41 Branches, 2 Shunts and 4 Transformers. DBFA is tested and Results are compared with GA & EP on the standard IEEE-30 bus system. The OPF results using DBFA are evaluated using MATLAB (R2009b).

The simulation results obtained using DBFA technique is shown in Table 1. The table also shows the Power Generation of 6 generating Units and total generation cost for IEEE-30 Bus System. Further the results obtained from DBFA is compared with other computational methods, compared results are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Simulation results of DBFA-OPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Generation Cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where \( j \) is the index of chemotactic steps, \( P \) the population size, \( m \) the weight diversity, and \( n \) the exponent of \( R_c \). Here, the nutrient obtained by bacterium \( i \) at step \( j \) is denoted as \( J(i, j, k) \) and the sum of nutrient obtained during its life is indicated in equation (1). Thus, those experiencing more nutrient-rich areas are more likely to be selected as a parent for next generation. Next, the whole population is sorted according to \( F_i \) using an operator \( sort \), and then \( R_c \) is allocated as the rank of bacterium \( i \) in equation (2).

The parameter combines the rank of the bacterium \( (R_c)^n \) with the fitness calculation \( F_i \), in order to obtain a balance of these two elements. Consequently, the survival probability of bacterium \( i \), \( W_i \) is given in, and \( \sum_{j=1}^{P} W_j = 1 \).

Step 8 Calculate the fitness (nutrient gradient) of bacterium \( i \), as follows:

\[
F_i = \sum_{j=1}^{5} J(i, j, k) \quad (1)
\]

\[
R_c = \text{sort}(F_i) \quad (2)
\]

\[
W_i = m \frac{(R_c)^n}{\sum_{i=1}^{P} (R_c)^n} + (1-m) \frac{F_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{P} F_i} \quad (3)
\]
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Table 2: Comparison with Other Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Minimum Generation Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GA-OPF [34]</td>
<td>803.915839 $/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MATLAB optimization</td>
<td>803.550000 $/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tool Box [34]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>EP-OPF [34]</td>
<td>803.571862 $/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DBFA-OPF</td>
<td>802.447297 $/hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The effectiveness of DBFA is verified through simulation results using IEEE-30 bus system which shows that our proposed method is better than GA, EP and MATLAB optimization toolbox.
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