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Abstract: Construction Industry is in the great danger and in a major crisis creating greater impact to the environment. The analysis is carried out in order to find the sources that generates the construction waste at the initial stage and the contribution rates of those waste. This thesis also deals with the waste reduction procedures. Showing the results to the future consideration enabling to the quote “Construction waste management to the future generation

Keywords – Waste Management, Construction Waste.

1. INTRODUCTION

Construction waste arises from various stages of construction contributing and influencing the construction industry. Construction waste is often found in the unused areas and becoming as a debrsy. These wastes are to be reduced which can be eliminated and reduced from the initial stage of construction. For this, the resources are to be analysed and their contribution to the waste are classified.

[1]-[5]Construction waste arises mostly due to improper management techniques and material handling. The construction waste can also arise due to the following sources such as procurement, workers mistakes, material handling, management plan, site conditions, logistics, operations, misplacement, manufacturing and other external sources such as harsh weather conditions. The major components of construction waste as per Indian conditions are cement, concrete, bricks, cement plaster, steel from RCC door, window frames, roofing supports and railing of staircase, wood, timber, rubble and stones such as marble, granite and sandstone. The minor components such as pipes such as Galvanised Iron pipes and plastic pipes, electrical fixtures such as copper and aluminium wiring, panels and glazed tiles.

The analysis of the project is based on the two factors frequency and severity. The data is collected with respect to how frequent the waste is contributed and how severe the impacts can contribute. Frequency is the measure of how often the sources contribute to construction waste. Severity is the measure of the extent of the impact of these sources in terms of volume of waste that can be generated[6]-[8]. These two parameters play a vital role in determining the results of the study. The data collected is quantified with respect to these parameters. In order to prove the percentage of contribution, these parameters become necessary.

The objective is to develop mitigation strategy and mitigation measures to reduce the percentage of waste from the quantitative analysis of sources of construction waste and its causative factors

The scope of the project is

- To determine the input based on frequency and severity by data collection
- To develop analysis based on sources identified
- To incorporate mitigation strategy based on causative factors
- To find mitigation measures to reduce the contribution of waste

A. Need for the Study

Construction waste is increasing day by day. This study is carried out in order to find the sources at the initial stage and reduce them from the source factor itself. The development of such model is to create a great impact on reducing the site waste caused during construction[9]-[10].

This study also focuses on improving managerial commitment towards waste management.

B. Sources of Construction Waste

The contributors of construction waste are usually the owners, contractors, logistics, site management, manufacturer, procurement, supplier and designer. The generators of construction waste are classified into two such as bulk generators and small generators. The bulk generator comprises of waste from roads, bridges, flyover, flats, parks and malls. The small generator comprises of waste from houses and small buildings. Construction and demolition waste is divided into three categories which includes material, labor and machinery.

The waste behavior in the construction industry and recommended to help managers improve attitude towards construction waste. This study focused on improving managerial commitment towards waste management. The observations revealed that operatives see waste as an inevitable by-product of construction industry. Waste management is perceived as a low project priority, and there is an absence of appropriate resources and incentives to support it.
The methodology of 5R concept such as refuse, reuse, reduce, recycle and reinvent was adopted. It also highlighted the problems faced by the construction industry. The survey conducted suggests that most of the waste goes to the landfill. The study revealed that the variation in the design/drawing and last minute changes in project scope are the most important causes of generation of waste.

The source of construction waste has been identified and many strategies to reduce the waste from these sources were found from the study[11]-[15].

It was also observed that quantitative terms were used to reduce such waste. The observation from literatures suggested that survey was done through manual survey in most of the countries. In most cases sources of construction waste and its causes has been identified. The mitigation strategies were given only through theories.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted for research is quantitative research method. Information about the world is acquired through this method. It is typically sample survey and experiment. The quantitative research method is used to describe variables, examine relationships among variables and explore the strength of each variable. The research methodology is divided into two segments[16]-[18].

1. Data collection through questionnaire survey

2. Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative survey consists of two sections. The first section had the details of the respondent and organization details. The second section had the frequency and severity of contribution rates of waste sources and its causative factors. Data collection is done based on the various sources of construction waste and its causative factors. For the corresponding source, various causative factors were determined.

The sources of waste were classified into eleven groups such as procurement, design, workers mistakes, management plan, material handling, site condition, logistics, manufacturing, operations, misplacement and external sources. Based on the source and causative factors, manual survey and online survey were done. The questionnaire survey was in the form of 5 point likert scale determining the contribution rates of each causative factor. The contribution rates were segregated into frequency contribution rates and severity contribution rates. The 5 point likert scale mentioned 1 to be no contribution, 2 as little contribution, 3 as moderate contribution, 4 as high contribution and 5 as extreme contribution[19]-[20].

The second phase of the methodology is the analysis phase. The analysis has been done using Minitab17. This phase is classified into five stages. In the initial stage the response from the respondents are entered in Minitab17 software involves finding ordinal logistic regression.

The second stage of analysis involves finding goodness of fit, which is based on pearson and deviance value. The third stage of analysis is finding correlation matrix. The fourth stage of analysis is finding cronbach value for testing its reliability. The fifth stage of analysis is finding the contribution rates using the formulae. The contribution rates are found only if data is reliable.

• Identifying the sources of waste is the primary step to find the generation points and carry out further computations.

• Recognizing its causes is the key to find reasons for the generation of waste.

A. Data Collection

Data collection is the primary step for doing analysis or quantifying a term. Data collection is the procedure of collecting and measuring statistics on centered variables in a longtime systematic fashion, which then allows one to reply applicable questions and evaluate consequences. The information collection thing of studies is not unusual to all fields of look at which includes bodily and socialsciences, humanities and enterprise. It helps scientists and analysts to gather the mainpoints as amassed records. While strategies vary with the aid of area, the emphasis on making sure correct and sincere series remains the identical. The purpose for all records collection is to seize first-rate evidence that then translates to rich information evaluation and permits the constructing of a convincing and credible answer to questions that have been posed. Regardless of the field of study or preference for defining data (quantitative or qualitative), accurate data collection is essential to maintaining the integrity of research. Both the selection of appropriate data collection instruments (existing, modified, or newly developed) and clearly delineated instructions for their correct use reduce the likelihood of errors occurring. The data collection was done by two methods. The first method was data collection through manual survey[21]-[24]. The second method was data collection through online survey. The data collected encountered 72 respondents from which 32responses were collected manually and 40 were collected through online survey. The data was collected in appropriate method in order to match its reliability. The data was collected in the form of likert scales. The data was collected with respect to frequency and severity on contribution rates of sources of construction waste.

• Data Collection
• Quantitative Analysis Of The Data Collected
• Ordinal Logistic Regression
• Goodness Of Fit
• Correlation Matrix
• Reliability Test
• Contribution Rate
• Mitigation Strategy

B. Quantitative Analysis Of The Data Collected
The data collected from the respondents were converted to quantitative form using Microsoft Excel and Minitab 17 software. The numerical data was collected and entered in Minitab 17 software for further carrying out various analyses. Quantitative analysis includes finding reliability of the data and computing contribution rates to find reduction in percentage of waste. To find reliability of the data Minitab17 is used and to find the contribution rates formulae are developed and computed with help of Microsoft Excel[25]-[27].

C. Ordinal Logistic Regression

In measurements, ordinal relapse (likewise called "ordinal arrangement") is a kind of relapse examination utilized for foreseeing an ordinal variable, for example a variable whose worth exists on a discretionary scale where just the relative requesting between various esteem is huge. It very well may be viewed as a halfway issue among relapse and grouping. Instances of ordinal relapse are requested logit and requested probit. Ordinal relapse turns up frequently in the sociologies, for instance in the demonstrating of human levels of inclination (on a scale from, state, 1 – 5 for "poor" through "fantastic"), just as in data recovery. In AI, ordinal relapse may likewise be called positioning learning. The relapse investigation is the relapse model for customary ward esteems. In relapse investigation, the accompanying points, for example, coefficient of assurance (The R-squared proportion of integrity of fit), Lack of fit aggregate of squares, diminished chi-square identify with decency of fit.

The regression analysis helps in finding the p-values which should be less than or equal to 0.05 for 95% significance level. The p-values should be less than or equal to 0.01 for 99% significance level. In this study 95% significance level is adopted. The analysis was done between each identified source of construction waste. The analysis was performed using Minitab17 software[28].

D. Goodness Of Fit

The extent to which observed data matches the values expected by theory. The integrity of attack of a measurable model portrays how well it fits a lot of perceptions. Proportions of integrity of fit ordinarily condense the error between watched esteems and the qualities expected under the model being referred to. Such measures can be utilized in factual theory testing, for example to test forordinariness of residuals, to test whether two examples are drawn independently, or whether result frequencies pursue a predetermined dispersion (see Pearson's chi-squared test). In the examination of change, one of the segments into which the fluctuation is parcelled might be an absence of fit entirety of squares.

E. Correlation Matrix

Correlation is any of an expansive class of factual connections including reliance, however in like manner use it regularly alludes to the degree to which two factors have a direct association with one another. Commonplace instances of ward marvols incorporate the connection between the physical statures of guardians and their posterity, and the relationship between’s the interest at an item and its cost. The relationship framework worth ought to be 0 to 1. The connection lattice characterizes the quality and connection between two factors. The higher the qualities the more prominent the factors are corresponded.

F. Reliability Test

A measure is said to have a high reliability if it produces similar results under consistent conditions. "It is the characteristic of a set of test scores that relates to the amount of random error from the measurement process that might be embedded in the scores. Scores that are highly reliable are accurate, reproducible, and consistent from one testing occasion to another. That is, if the testing process were repeated with a group of test takers, essentially the same results would be obtained. Various kinds of reliability coefficients, with values ranging between 0.00 (much error) and 1.00 (no error), are usually used to indicate the amount of error in the scores."

G. Mitigation Strategy

The reliability test is the approach to check whether the data collected is reliable or not. The reliability of the data depends on the cronbach’s value. This value should be greater than 0.7 and less than 1. If the variables fall below the limits, that particular variable is omitted and analysis is continued. The values closer to 1

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The information obtained from small scale construction industries proved that they have poor knowledge about the aftermath of the waste generated. The percentage of recycling in construction industry is low. Construction wastes that are often reused are concrete, wood and bricks. In Indian scenario it becomes important to impart waste management tool for residential, industrial, commercial and infrastructure projects[29]-[30]. The purpose of such tool is to estimate the quantity of waste that can be generated in the ongoing projects. The study from various construction industries proved that the importance given to waste management tool in India is very low.

The results obtained from ordinal logistic regression, goodness of fit, correlation matrix paved way to find reliability of the data. The positive results from reliability test helped to find the contribution rates for the sources of construction waste. Considering procurement and design as the source the ordinal logistic regression value for frequency is 0.000 which is less than 0.05. The value remains the same for ordinal logistic regression for severity. This source is now qualified to find the goodness of fit. The value for goodness of fit is based on pearson and deviance value.
The waste generation from material handling contributes 9.25% of total waste contributed. To diminish such waste, the material should be stored in a suitable place, damage during transporting must be reduced and the tools which are not suitable should be avoided. Material handling waste can be reduced by 5.30%.

Procurement errors contributed 9.062% of total waste. To minimize the waste contributed, ordering errors, errors in shipping, mistakes in quantity surveying should be avoided. Adopting the strategies the waste reduces by 5.11%. Improper logistics contributed 8.719%. These wastes are reduced by following appropriate delivery method and appropriate delivery schedule. The mitigation strategies for logistics can reduce the waste by 4.72%.

The waste from operation error contributed 7.673%. The strategy adopted to reduce such waste is by reducing error caused due to worker specialised in a particular work. Operation waste can be reduced by about 4.43%. The external sources contribute about 7.026% of waste. The waste can be reduced by restricting workers from theft, adopting safety measures to prevent accidents and taking precautionary measures during natural disasters. Adopting the mitigation strategies for external sources reduces the waste by 4.21%.

Based on the contribution rates of different sources of construction waste, the mitigation strategy is adopted and applied to minimize the waste to maximum extent.

IV. CONCLUSION

The sources of construction waste and its causative factors were identified. Based on the responses from respondents the identified sources were analysed and tested to check its reliability. The positive results from the analysis helped in carrying forward the data to find the contribution rates of sources of waste and its causative factors.
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The waste from poor site conditions contributed 9.394%. The waste generated from site conditions can be minimized by improving lighting facilities, avoiding congestion of materials. These strategies reduce the waste by about 5.41%.
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