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Abstract—Innovation in rehabilitation represents creative design which involve adaptive tool (AT) for patients in the occupational therapy department. Earlier, an online survey on innovative activities among OT has been conducted on thirty Malaysian occupational therapists. Findings indicate that 80% of the occupational therapists innovate new tools during rehabilitation. The purpose of this study is to identify design attributes of AT as issues highlighting the low rate of AT usage based on several factors; non-compliance, uncomfortable design, insufficient instruction and training, and also due to user’s personality i.e. lack of personal acceptance and confidence in using AT. A scoping review of 20 relevant literatures related to the usage, effectiveness and barriers of AT are drawn widely from the rehabilitation journal in the period between 1968 – 2018, and from design psychology journals to support the findings. A summary of each themes that has been highlighted from this review are AT prescribe factors, AT equipment factors and AT education factors. This review suggests that future research for creative activities in rehabilitation shall be provided with design consideration in order to bring better AT creation. The implications of these findings for the effects of innovation are discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The definition of design is related to the process of planning, creating and making for technical role while innovation is the ability to execute new ideas, method or device including the growth of variations in products, processes and market. Both are strongly related as the result shows the ability of an organization perceived and encourage new knowledge to be transformable into new products and processes. Both definitions are regularly being used interchangeably, however, they are different in focus. Creativity in creation releases the potential of new ideas, but innovation introduces change into relatively stable systems. Being thought as experiments, creation can be the sources of designing an appropriate solution in innovation that can be measured. Everyone has the potential to get involved in the process of creation and innovation. Notable, the designers are the one who exposed to the design thinking and process, which are the common methods for innovation. Recently, creative and innovative activities are popular among other professionals and expert-users in certain fields. With zero knowledge on designing, what are the consequences of future creations?

Ideally, in 1920’s, Joseph Schumpeter first introduced the classic innovation in business terms. Under the umbrella of business-led innovation, there are four attributes listed-creation of new markets, new methods of production and transportation, new forms of industrial organisations and new kinds of consumer goods. These activities are translated as entrepreneurial activities. How are these attributes related to the design field? The last criteria (new kinds of consumer goods) listed plays a big impact in design innovation. The quality of consumer good offers new feelings and experiences which may be a token in introducing other kind of opportunities and ideas that adding value to the activities [1]. In order to achieve those activities, it involves design, constructs within the components of creative thinking, resourcefulness, planning forethought and continuous compensatory action.

Innovation in rehabilitation setting requires individual capability, education and training. In healthcare, it is as an introduction of new information systems, treatment and work practices which represents different intensity of difficulty [2]. There is no formal or direct information on how to guide them in creating (AT), except several topics in their practices that relates to design such as ergonomic and purpose of adaptive device as part of the rehabilitation process.

Adaptive or assistive tool is referred as a tool, equipment or a system that is modified or applied to the needs of patient’s occupation in guiding and improve their ability of functional body parts or system based on The Assistive Technology Act of 2004. AT usually involves low and medium technology. It functions as an assessment or intervention prescription towards a patient’s recovery from certain disability or dysfunction. The innovation of AT is aim to connect a person’s limited ability with the environment and their tasks via decreasing the impact of deficiencies [3].

It is used to suit patient’s limitation and abilities either for patient’s assessment or intervention. For the inpatient, most of the AT are used at the rehabilitation hospital or centre, but are only applicable in certain duration of use. AT is being suggested in various ways including the implementation of Assistive Technology (AT) [3], user-centered design approach [4].
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mHealth application, sensors [5] and even telerehabilitation [5], [6] that use to be introduced as non-face-to-face rehabilitation treatment. At times, patient and care taker are advised to self-made it creatively to the closest functions due to the high price of the tools in market. However, for all the AT’s innovations made, in [3] stated few reasons for unused of it including the malfunctioning of the device, poor instructions, not suitable with the patient’s need and low of aesthetic appearance in which past research [3], [7], [11] has highlighted to be the influence on an individual’s level of comfort in using an AT.

Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate a prescribed AT in order to achieve an effective recovery of a patient in the future. This review also highlights on the cause and factors that contribute to the ineffective development of rehabilitation tools to the patient. Then continuing on how the selected design approaches impact variables that might be used to find innovation changes in the therapists’ knowledge.

II METHODOLOGY

This methodology extends the existing reviews of the usage, effectiveness and barriers of AT which are drawn widely from the rehabilitation journal in the period between 1970’s – 2018, and the design psychology journal to support the findings. A total of 20 selected studies were reviewed randomly between the period to analyse the factors of unused prescribed AT. All these studies were conducted in the United States, Canada and other parts of the world involving participants as young as children to geriatric with various diagnostic categories such as cerebral palsy, cardiac, neurological pathology, orthopedic deficit, lower limb amputation, chronic lower back pain and mixed disabilities. The prescribed AT ranged from low to high technology which include toileting system, grooming and bathing aids, floor sitting activity, virtual reality technology, mobility and communication devices.

A Search Strategy

Literatures are searched in each of the following databases: Scopus, PubMed and MEDLINE. The search was conducted using the following keywords and terms: “assistive technology” OR “assistive device” OR “rehabilitation tools” OR “creation” OR “innovation” AND “usage” AND “effectiveness” AND “barriers”. After the search of databases, about 4,658 articles were identified. Use the limitation and exclusion criteria of years (from year 2000 to 2019) and selected keyword such as “rehabilitation”, “occupational therapy” and “nursing”, 39 articles remained. Next, the search used PubMed that applies the same keywords which resulted with 1,994 articles. Other members of research contribute with 12 related articles.

B Qualitative Synthesis

After the databases search, exclusion and duplication, 106 articles were identified. 32 articles were considered for qualitative synthesis. From this process, 20 articles were included in this scoping review (see Fig. 1).

C Prisma Flow Diagram
According to the results, it is important to understand more on the patient’s routine, suitability of material, and home programs. Under AT prescribed factors, characteristics highlighted are routine (8), material (7), suitability (7) and experience (8). It is recommended that further study to be conducted in more detail to determine the impact of creativity towards occupational therapist’s ability in designing AT. The purpose of using creativity to create wellness or to enhance their ability within self can be determined through the effectiveness of innovated AT [2].

### AT Equipment Factors

The problems and features of existing AT were examined in the literature review. Issue of low usage rate has also been highlighted. It has been mentioned that the issue derives from the equipment itself of being uncomfortable and lack aesthetic features [3], [7], [10]. Contrary to design studies, product appearance plays a big role in anticipating the use of the user. It comes in package of user-centered design, good functions, colours, material and cost. These factors may need to be applied in shaping the rehabilitation reputation. Characteristics that are extracted under this theme which are to be considered in future creations of AT are typicality of the AT design (6), safe (5) and apply suitable technology (8) for the patients.

### Table-1: AT prescribed factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptive Tool</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flip2Sit</td>
<td>Activity for floor and table-level activities for children with cerebral palsy</td>
<td>Clinically significant but need modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toileting system /aids</td>
<td>adaptation in the bathroom</td>
<td>15% non-use, 46% discontinue at home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>to retrain ADL</td>
<td>Clinically significant but cost effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>to retrain ADL</td>
<td>Further research of post treatment for elderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td>to retrain ADL</td>
<td>Further research of post treatment for elderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-tech devices</td>
<td>to identify current barriers to its integration</td>
<td>Future appropriate model and team assessment process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>to retrain ADL</td>
<td>Equipment suitability, adequate training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>adaptation in the classroom</td>
<td>Students experience immediate benefits for their function in everyday school activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-2: AT prescribed factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptive Tool</th>
<th>Characteristic (n), n=Frequency of Article Mentioned</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor sitting activities</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>not stable, preferred to be mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toileting and grooming</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td>consider patient’s environment, routines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>too high technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>features do not fit user characteristic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td>5 (5%)</td>
<td>features do not fit user characteristic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-tech devices</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>difficulties in managing equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>features do not fit user characteristic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-3: AT education factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptive Tool</th>
<th>Characteristic (n), n=Frequency of Article Mentioned</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>alternative products to fit learning environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AT Education Factors

Some of the AT prescribed only works at the rehabilitation setting, but not for home use after the patient is discharged from the ward. Training and education of the usage of AT is being emphasized as a whole. Inadequate AT instructions and information also decrease the low rate of usage. There is a need to improvise the future services in the health system as information and education of individuals hold the supports for the wellness perspective [11]. What makes an Assistive Technology innovative and competitive, is not only its product and technical processes, but also the intrinsic characteristics of the induced experience, including the role of assisting and encouraging the rehabilitation process [12]. For this theme, creation of AT must also consider the knowledge of the persons involve in rehabilitation (4), training for them (5) and observation from the therapist (4).

### III CONCLUSION

This study has identified a number of connections between AT and prescribed factors as design attributes in rehabilitation setting. Existing AT in market holds a lot of options but upon cases, the ability of patients is varying that urge customization. The findings suggested a cross-collaboration between rehabilitation and design as issues of low rate AT usage which is highlighted based on the equipment itself. In the practical approach to the integration of responsible innovation, design thinking and design process are needed and being supported by other design models. It would be fruitful to pursue further research about the creation of AT in rehabilitation in order to lead OT’s understanding in the relationship of prescribed AT and patient’s behavior that can be a benefit to rehabilitation as a whole.

The characteristics and needs show a clear message that
the creation of AT has to be observed as early as the process of the creation in order to improve the effectiveness of the rehabilitation session. This shall focus on the OT since they are the one who spend much time with the patients, and they have to come out with the innovated tool to suit certain assessment or intervention. They have the ability to solve clinical issue but have difficulty in making it function properly. A scholar [14] in his study suggests OT are ideal figure to assist the knowledge transition (KT) for clinical purposes between clinical populations with their educational ability in both clinical and research approaches.

For health sciences, the literature is plentiful but studies generally emphasize on the role of science and technology in innovation. In fact, the understanding of the adoption involving technology remains a poorly understood phenomenon [15]. It is crucial for OT to have understanding on the interaction of needs between the patient and caretaker during the adaptation process as it helps much on the effectiveness of the outcome [16].

![Fig. 2. Overall attributes](http://www.designpublic.in/2011/09/19/what-is-the-relationship-between-innovation-and-design/)

**IV RECOMMENDATIONS**

In future, it is suggested that design element consideration should be compulsory in designing AT. This is because a good design does not just exist but works. OT has to find way to enhance the skills of creativity in order to maintain the good service for the disabled. It is a method that can be value for the complexity of the adaptation process [10]. It is crucial to form an interdisciplinary collaboration between design and other fields as design is capable of transforming sustainable future living through innovative product and practice. It is a part of the new practice of design and research which brings the user together in the process of design development [8], [17], [18] co-design is seen as the new paradigm of future facilitation of collaboration in translating the design attributes into effective AT design in rehabilitation [9].
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