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Abstract: Automatic extraction of terms from a document is essential in the current digital era to summarize the documents. For instance, instead of going through the full documents, some of the author's keywords partially explain the discussions of the documents. However, the author's keywords are not sufficient to identify the whole concept of the document. Hence the requirement of automatic term extraction methods is necessary. The major categories of automatic extraction approaches fall mainly on some techniques such as Natural Language Processing, Statistical approaches, Graph Based approaches, Natural Inspired algorithmic approaches, etc. Even though there are numerous approaches available the exact automatic keyword extraction is a major challenge in areas, that reveals around documents. In this paper, a comparative analysis of Keyword extraction between standard Statistical approaches and Graph based approaches has been conducted. In standard statistical approaches, the terms are extracted on the basis of physical counts and in the Graph based approach, the documents are automatically constructed as graphs by applying centrality measures during the keyword extraction process. The results of both approaches were compared and analyzed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Keywords are the smallest units that can summarize the concept of a document and are often used to pinpoint the most relevant information in a text. Keywords are used for various purposes, like retrieving documents during a web search or summarizing the documents for indexing. Keywords in a document provide important information about the content of the document. They can help the users search through information more efficiently or decide whether to read a document or not to read the document. They can also be used for a variety of language processing tasks such as text categorization and information retrieval. Assigning the keywords manually is impossible because, over the past one decade, a great evolution of computer technology has provided more reasonable and high configuration systems. The bursty data is increasing every day, so we need to maintain and analyze the data for effective use or processing. Data can be available in the form of image, spatial form, text; mostly text data is represented in many ways like text, graphs, predicates, etc. Keyword extraction play big roll in text mining process because, in the newspaper, social media are used for posting and messaging and all the information of company contained in the form of text.

Automatic keyword extraction is the process of selecting words and phrases from the text document that can best describe the concept of the document without any human intervention. The goal of automatic keyword extraction is the power and speed of current computation abilities to solve the problems in access and recovery, and the problems related to information organization without added human interactions. Organize the long-lasting growth of vibrant unstructured documents is the major challenge and handling such unorganized documents causes more expensive. The clustering of such dynamic documents helps us to reduce the cost. Document clustering by analyzing the keywords of the documents is one of the best methods to organize the unstructured dynamic documents.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

In this section, we evaluate the prior works on keyword extraction methods and assert how unique these methods are discussed. Floarin Boudin presented the centrality measures, comparison for extraction of keywords in Graph based approaches, the closeness centrality obtain the optimum results on short – documents [1]. The new unsupervised technique for spontaneously sentence extraction using a Graph based Ranking algorithm focused on [2]. The authors have projected the new Graph based keyword extraction algorithm, the terms as vertices, the relationship between the terms as arcs, and the projected algorithm which gives more accurate result [3]. Sonawane et al. [4] presented a centrality measure and compare the five centrality measures (Degree centrality, Betweenness Centrality, Closeness Centrality, Eigenvector centrality, and Text rank). The authors deal with the document BOW, and finally, the authors say the graph based text representation is the best way and the result is better than the traditional model [5]. A new graph based approach for text categorization, the authors conclude the graph based methods are efficient then statistical t –methods projected [6]. Alwan M Ubaidillah et al. proposed and conform two graph based methods, cross-lingual key term extraction to be used in extractive content summarization in [7]. The grow up continuous digital news, modeling digital news data stored in the database. It will be easy to understand and be able to take the information immediately, of the MCL graph clustering algorithm is used [8]. The author focused on the frequency-based methods, weight to the word in the graph and TF and the TF-IDF [9]. Florian Boudin has dealt with keyterm extraction which to identify the main topics of the documents by topic rank, candidates keyphrases which are clustered into topics, and the topic rank significantly out performs the state-of-art methods in [10]. In [11] discussed problems for keyword and key phrase extraction in NLP by applying the page
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rank algorithm. The authors have presented a survey for text summarization techniques, which deals with the necessity of text summarization, we review the various processes of text summarization and express the viability and deficiencies of the different techniques [12]. In [13] introduced extract the keyword for web site clustering, we propose a clustering method automatic keyword extraction of the keywords of a web page. The graph based representation, for plain text, using context and keyword extraction in [14]. Santhosh Kumar et al. introduced an investigation for extracting the key term without human intervention in text summarization, talk about the various tactic used for key term extraction and text summarization [15]. In [16], presented the facet extraction techniques for the subgraph to reduce the burden for search data in graphs. In [17 - 21] presented frequent subgraph the mining techniques to derive the coherent subgraphs. In [22] presented a review for keyword extraction techniques, discussed feature selection metrics.

A. Keyword Extraction Methods

Keyword extraction methods, mainly, are divided into Supervised and Unsupervised approaches. In the Supervised approach, training dataset is maintained. In the Unsupervised approach, the approach doesn’t need training data. This paper, standard statistical methods and Graph based methods (Unsupervised methods) of keyword extraction have been analyzed.

B. Simple Statistics

These approaches are simple and don’t require training information. The keyword statistics can be utilized to formulate the key terms:- n gram measurements, word recurrence, TFIDF, word co-event are some of the examples.

C. Linguistics

This approach utilizes the dialectal properties of the words, sentences and documents. A portion of the etymology includes the syntactic, lexical, etc. For NLP issues this technique can be applied.

D. Machine Learning

This approach depends upon the processed information to extract the keyword. It requires manual explanations for the learning dataset which is extremely monotonous and incompatible. The SVM (support vector machine), and the Naive Bayes are some of the examples.

E. Graph Based

These approaches are simple mathematical models, which enable the exploration of the relationships and make the structural information meaningful. The graph is a discrete data structure consisting of nodes and edges = [V, E].

Vertices also referred to as node, and Edges are the lines or arcs, which connect the nodes in the graph. The document is modeled as graph the terms (words) are represented by vertices/nodes and their relationship is represented as edges(link). In the Graph based method, the graph describes the meaning of the document visually. Initially, the document is converted into graph, and the keywords of the document are found. Graph nodes represent only meaningful words of the documents.

F. Other approaches

These approaches are integrated approaches of standard approaches such as Statistical approaches, Linguistics approaches, Machine learning approaches, and Graph based approaches.

Fig. 1. Different Keyword Extraction methods

III. METHODOLOGY

This section focuses attention on the various existing keyword extraction approaches found in the standard statistical methods and Graph based methods.

A. Simple Statistics Method

Generally, statistics methods take in to accounts the occurrences of the term. It is very simple and the results are visually satisfying. It identifies the important terms, based on the number of appearances in the documents. The most frequently used keyword extraction of the statistical methods is Term Frequency(TF) and Inverse Document Frequency(IDF).

- TF: (Term Frequency): The TF is the straight forward statistical method which finds out the frequency of term used in the documents. It merely takes the more frequent term by calculating with occurrences. If the document size is a high number of the key term may be derived otherwise it extracts very few. The TF can be calculated by using the following formula.

\[ TF(w) = \frac{\text{Document count}(w)}{\text{Total Words in the corpus}} \] (1)

- IDF: (Inverse Document Frequency): The IDF always comes along with TF, it helps to identify the more common terms of the documents, which occur more frequently in the documents.

While calculating the term incidence, each term is considered equally important and given chance to contribute in vector representation, but the assured words which are so general from corner to corner in the documents that they may donate very little in deciding the meaning of it. Term incidence of such words might hold back the weights of more important words. Therefore, to reduce this effect, the term frequency is discounted by a factor called inverse document frequency.
The IDF can be calculated by using the following formula.

\[
IDF(w) = \frac{\log(\text{Total Number of documents})}{\text{Number of documents containing word}(w)}
\]  

(2)

- **TF-IDF**: (Term Frequency – Inverse Document Frequency): The TF-IDF vector portrayal gives transcending incentive for a given term if it happens frequently in that specific record or once in a while anywhere else. In the event the term happen in every of the reports, the IDF figured would be TF-IDF giving the result of term recurrence and backward word recurrence. The more important a term used in the document, would get a higher TF-IDF score and vice versa.

The TF-IDF can be calculated by using following formula:

\[
\text{TF-IDF}(w) = \text{tf}(w) * \text{idf}(w)
\]

(3)

### B. Graph Based Method

Usually, Graphs are mathematical model, generally graphs as represented as \(G = (V,E)\)

- **V- Vertices**
- **E- Edges**

In Graph based keyword extraction methods, the important terms are represented as vertices, the association between the vertices are linked, the links represented as Edges. Centrality measures are mainly used to find the key terms from the Graph in the Graph based keyword extraction methods

- **Degree Centrality**: The Degree centrality, it takes in to account the number of the adjoined nodes. If the network is directed, two types of the measures, in-degree \(d_i\) computes the number of inward links or the number of predecessor nodes; out-degree \(d_o\) computes the number of departing links or the number of successor nodes.

As per degree centrality concerns, a node is important if it has many adjoined nodes.

The degree centrality of a node \(V_i\) is calculated as:

\[
\text{CD}(V_i) = \frac{|N(V_i)|}{|V|-1}
\]

(4)

where,
- \(\text{CD}(V_i)\) is the degree centrality of node \(V_i\)
- \(N(V_i)\) is the set of nodes
- \(|V|\) is the set of nodes connected to the node \(V_i\)

- **Closeness Centrality**: This centrality is depicted as the corresponding of the aggregate of separations of all nodes to certain nodes, i.e., contrary of farness. The closeness centrality of the node \(V_i\) is given in the following equation.

In a linked graph, the closeness centrality of a node is a calculated centrality in a graph, which is calculated as the sum of the space of the shortest paths between the node and all other nodes in the graph. Thus, the more vital a node is, the nearer it is to all other nodes.

\[
\text{Cc}(V_i) = \frac{1}{\sum_{V_j \in V} \text{dist}(V_i, V_j)}
\]

(5)

where
- \(\text{Cc}(V_i)\) is closeness centrality of the node \(V_i\)
- \(V\) is the set of nodes (words) in the graph \(G\)
- \(\text{dist}(V_i, V_j)\) is the shortest distance between nodes \(V_i\) and \(V_j\)

- **Betweeness Centrality**: Betweeness Centrality is a process of centrality in a graph depending on the least way. For each couple of vertices in a connected graph, there exists, at any, rate one most brief way between the vertices to such an extent that either the quantity of edges that the way goes through (for unweighted charts) or the whole of the loads of the edges (for weighted charts) are limited. In the betweeness centrality, for every vertex, the quantity of these most limited ways goes through the vertex.

\[
C_b(V_i) = \frac{\sum_{V_j \in V, V_k \in V} \sigma(V_j, V_k|V_i)}{(|V| - 1)(|V| - 2)/2}
\]

Where
- The \(C_b(V_i)\) is Betweenness Centrality of node \(V_i\)
- \(\sigma(V_j, V_k|V_i)\) is the number of shortest paths from node \(V_j\) to node \(V_k\)
- \(\sigma(V_j, V_k)\) is the number of those path that pass through the node \(V_i\)

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

To compare the standard statistical and graph based keyword extraction methods, 14 documents have been taken, which are shown in the following Table - 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Id</th>
<th>Document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>In imaging science, image processing is processing of images using mathematical operations by using any form of signal processing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>In Image Processing, the input is an image, a series of images, or a video, such as a photograph or video frame; the output of image processing may be either an image or a set of characteristics or parameters related to the image.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>Most image-processing techniques involve treating the image as a two-dimensional signal and applying the standard signal-processing techniques to it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>Images are also processed as three-dimensional signals where the third-dimension being time or the z-axis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>Image processing usually refers to digital image processing, but the optical and analog image processing also are possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>This article is about general techniques that apply to all of them. The acquisition of images (producing the input image in the first place) is referred to as imaging. Closely related to image processing are computer graphics and computer vision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>In computer graphics, images are manually made from physical models of objects, environments, and lighting, instead of being acquired (via imaging devices such as cameras) from natural scenes, as in most animated movies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>Computer vision, on the other hand, is often considered high-level image processing out of which a machine/computer/software intends to decipher the physical contents of an image or a sequence of images (e.g., videos or 3D full-body magnetic resonance scans).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9</td>
<td>Computer graphics are pictures and movies created using computers, such as CGI - usually referring to image data created by a computer specifically with help from specialized graphical hardware and software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D10</td>
<td>It is a vast and recent area in computer science. The phrase was coined by computer graphics researchers Verne Hudson and William Fetter of Boeing in 1960.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11</td>
<td>Another name for the field is computer-generated imagery, or simply CGI. Important topics in computer graphics include user interface design, sprite graphics, vector graphics, 3D modeling, shaders, GPU design, and computer vision, among others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Graph Construction

At this stage, the undirected word graph is built for each document in a corpus, in which the documents are represented as graph. In each document, the terms are referred as nodes; and the repetitive associations, between the words of the documents, are referred as the edges. By using syntactic filters, the vertices of graph are filtered; the repetitive count of the words determines the arcs. By using the above said strategy, the extracted terms, from the table-2, were taken to built the graphs, which best portray the document’s conception. During the graph construction, the association between the nodes is drawn as the edges depending on their position in the document. For instance, the following graph-1 represents document id 1. From the following graph, it is observed that the nodes image, processing, science and signals form a separate connected component of the graph and the nodes, mathematics and operation form a separate connected component of the graph. This shows the document id 1, which deals with two slightly different concepts.

![Fig. 3. Graph representation of D1](image)

![Fig. 4. Graph representation of D2](image)

B. Word Determination

When the word graph is built, the significant word determination step is pursued, for which certain centrality estimates are applied to dole...
between the properties of the Degree centrality and Closeness centrality, the same for all nodes. This approach is utilized for the errand of positioning the nodes.

C. Centrality Measures

After the conversion of documents into graphs, to extract the important terms from the graphs, three centrality measures namely Degree Centrality, Betweenness Centrality and Closeness Centrality measures had been applied to the graphs. The resulted numeric values were placed in Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5 respectively.

### Degree Centrality

- **Degree Centrality:** Initially, when this method is applied for all the documents, the result of Degree centrality method with 40 keywords are identified based on the threshold value (automatically assigned based on the size of the document), which will minimize the size of the keyword. Finally top-ranked keywords are taken shown in the Table 3.

### Betweenness Centrality

- **Betweenness Centrality:** While the Betweenness centrality method is applied for all the 14 documents, result of the Betweenness centrality method 16 keywords are identified based on the threshold hold value, it will produce top-ranked keywords are shown in the Table 4.

### Closeness Centrality

- **Closeness Centrality:** Finally, when the Closeness centrality method is applied for the same documents, the result of the Closeness centrality method with 40 keywords are identified, based on the threshold hold value the top-ranked keywords are identified in the Table 5.

In the graph based methods Degree centrality, Betweenness centrality and Closeness centrality results are analyzed and the first four top-ranked keywords are identified: which are, ‘image’, ‘computer’, ‘graphics’, ‘processing’. The result is the same for all the three methods. The remaining keywords are found with same degree of centrality and Closeness centrality, and little difference in Betweenness centrality, since the properties of the Degree centrality and Closeness centrality are nearly same. The node appearing in the graph gets some weight, but in Betweenness centrality it is different from above methods; in the Betweenness centrality, the method weight of the node is calculated only when the particular node has more number of shortest paths pass via the node. In this nature, the Degree centrality and the Closeness centrality is found more similar than the Betweenness centrality.

### Statistical methods

Standard statistical methods, TF, IDF and TF-IDF, were applied on raw terms extracted from documents. The results of TF method is shown in the following table 6, the result of IDF method is shown in Table 7 and result of TF-IDF method is shown in Table 8.
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- **Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency**: The top ranking terms where identified by TF-IDF method are, was ‘processing’, ‘computer’, ‘image’, ‘graphics’, ‘signal’, ‘video’, ‘science’.

![Diagram of Document Corpus]

**Fig. 5. Proposed Standard Statistical Keyword Extraction**

**Table VI: Term Frequency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Incidents</th>
<th>TF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
<td>26.0000</td>
<td>0.0653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>computer</td>
<td>17.0000</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>processing</td>
<td>14.0000</td>
<td>0.0352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graphics</td>
<td>12.0000</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>science</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>techniques</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table VII: IDF Method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>No. of documents</th>
<th>IDF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vision</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>science</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>processing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graphics</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>computer</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table VIII: TF-IDF Method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>TF</th>
<th>IDF</th>
<th>TF-IDF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processing</td>
<td>0.0352</td>
<td>0.2430</td>
<td>0.008549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
<td>0.1919</td>
<td>0.008196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
<td>0.2430</td>
<td>0.007328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
<td>0.0653</td>
<td>0.1047</td>
<td>0.006842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.6690</td>
<td>0.006724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.6690</td>
<td>0.006724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Techniques</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.8451</td>
<td>0.00637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the table 6, which shows the top ranked terms derived from TF method, ‘image’ occurred 26 times, gets the score 0.0653, so it captured the first position, similarly, the remaining terms are arranged from top to bottom based on the score. The term ‘movie’ occurred 3 times, gets the score 0.0075 only so it is placed at the last place. From the table 7, shows the top ranked terms derived from IDF method, ‘vision’, gets the score 0.6690 but it appears only in 3 documents out of 14 documents. The term ‘image’ gets the score 0.1047 but it appears in 11 documents out of 14 documents. The concept of the IDF method is calculated when some common words appear more time but some meaningful terms appear less time, so they give more score to meaningful keywords and eliminate the common words.

In the TF-IDF method results are placed in Table 8. The terms are arranged from top rank to lower rank the term ‘processing’ gets high score. 0.0085, term ‘vision’ gets low score 0.0050, the result will be taken based on the term which gets the high score from product of TF and IDF method. In the TF method term ‘image’ gets top score, in the IDF method term ‘science’ gets top score but in the TF-IDF method term ‘processing’ is the dominated term.

**V. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, an attempt has been made to analysis the automatic keyword extraction methods of Standard statistical approaches and Graph based approaches. In standard Statistical methods, the top ranking keywords of the documents were extracted and demonstrated with different methods. In Graph based approach, top ranking keywords were extracted by applying the centrality measures on graphs, which represent the documents. The results have shown the statistical methods as strictly taken the frequencies of the terms and those terms were controlled by the IDF methods, which eliminate the common terms, hence the results derived from the statistical approaches were fine tuned. Because of the Centrality measures, the important terms of graphs, which denote the document as extracted wisely. To sum up, an integration of both statistical and graph based approaches, for keyword extraction, may yield better results.

**REFERENCES**


AUTHORS PROFILE

Kannan G received his Bachelor Degree from Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India in 2001. He received his Master Degree from Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India in 2004. Currently, he is working as Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer Science, Govt. Arts and Science College, Manalmedu, Tamilnadu, India. His current research area is data mining.

Dr. Nagarajan R received his Ph.D. Degree in Computer Science and Engineering from the Annamalai University in the year 2017. Currently, he is working as Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer and Information Science, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India. His current research areas Data Mining and Artificial Neural Networks.