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Abstract: This work proposes a sparse based representation for tracking multi object for the longer sequence of video frame. Object of interest are first identified and then represented with set of low dimensional feature covariance matrix. These feature of different object are kept in a dictionary. In order to classify the object, sparse based Orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP) algorithm is used. Furthermore, towards reducing the computational overhead, proposed model is implemented on a graphical processing unit enhanced with the multi threaded resource for parallelization of the task. Experimental results shows that proposed method out perform as compared with the state of art in identifying the objects.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Multi object tracking of non-rigid object for the longer sequence of video frame is a challenging task in the field of computer vision. Compare to single object tracking, multi object tracking involve with larger computation and also required proper optimized code to search the candidate object within the time frame of the video sequence. GPU platform is the robust one in order to handle these computational complexity and memory usages of tracking. It is also important to focus that, accuracy is another key factor towards detecting the non rigid objects as these objects are generally undergoes deformation in shape and size. In the recent past, many appearance based models were developed to capture the pose and shape variation for single and multi object tracking[1-3]. In the literature, different methods are discussed towards handling the appearance variation that arises with real time tracking[4-5]. Although these methods are capable for detecting the object for smaller sequence but for longer sequence the accuracy of these method degrades. In the recent past, many researchers contributes articles towards detecting the single object using sparse optimization technique[6-10]. These sparse method use minimal reconstruction error in determining the tracking location of candidate object. Unfortunately, these methods have not utilize the sparsity concept for multi object.

In the present work, we have developed a sparse based classification algorithm towards providing the accuracy of detecting or recognizing the objects. Furthermore in order to reduce the overload on the processor, proposed model is implemented using a multi threaded approach on a graphical processing Unit.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we present the related work on single and multi object tracking. Section III, focus on the object detection and representation. Followed to this, Section IV, describes sparse representation based classification(SRC). Section V, describes the parallel Implementation of the proposed Model. In section 6, experimental results are described and finally, a conclusion closes this paper.

II. RELATED WORK

In this section we briefly discuss various issues related to single and multi object tracking especially appearance based method and sparse based method. Subspace based appearance model [11-13] uses the low dimensional covariance matrix of the image feature for finding the object in a frame. Skocaj and Leonardis [14], develop a subspace based learning method based on the weighted incremental PCA algorithm. Ross et. al. [15], proposed an online incremental vision tracker (IVT), adopted with learning the online model with the appearance variation of the object. This method inhibits to detect the object under occlusion environment. Fragment-based tracker [17], a variation to the IVT tracker, was proposed to handle occlusions by using a patch-based appearance model. Although the use of subspace based model increase the reliability and robustness of tracking [10] but still it lacks in establishing the direct local relationship of pixel present in the image region. Tracking using covariance region descriptor is another frame work for detecting the object of interest in a video. Intern-sic and extern-sic parameter of the object is first captured and represented with low dimensional feature descriptors. F. Porikli, O.Tuzel[11] developed an appearance based covariance frame work for tracking object by capturing both the statistical and spatial attribute of the object window.

In the recent past, many research work are carried out on sparse represent based method for tracking. Mei.et al.[16] developed sparse based method using particle filtering and template matching for classifying the objects. Weng et.al[17] create a dictionary to store the visual appearance of different object for uniquely distinguishing the objects. Many development are carried out in the recent past using GPU. Abramov et.al[18] used a mobile portable graphical processing unit (GPU) for a real time image segmentation to recognize the objects in the scene of the video. Chiang et al. [19] implemented a fast real-time content aware video targeting system.
Towards optimizing the target tracking, OMP algorithms are implemented on GPU environment. Feng et al. [20], use a parallel implementation for OMP algorithm towards use the matrix inversion and matrix-vector multiplication for uniquely classifying object to a class. In this paper, we developed a two stage model framework to track the object. In the 1st stage, object is represented using the covariance region descriptor, and then using sparse method classify the object. Followed to it, In the 2nd stage using the GPU and parallel method, proposed approach is implemented. 

III. OBJECT DETECTION AND REPRESENTATION

Moving object can be identified in the target frame by background subtraction method [36] along with image filtering operation. Each of the detected object is represented with the bounding box. Using Tuzel et al. [8] covariance based representation, pixel present in the bounding box is convert into the feature matrix. i.e bounding box for the image regions are converted into the feature matrix using equation (1) 

\[ f_k = [x \ y \ I(x, y) I_x I_y \sqrt{I_x^2 + I_y^2} \arctan \frac{I_x}{I_y}] \] (1)

Here, \( x \) and \( y \) represent the coordinate position of the pixel in \( x \) and \( y \) direction respectivly. \( I(x, y) \) is the intensity value of the pixel \( I_x \) and \( I_y \) is the derivative of the pixel in \( x \) and \( y \) direction respectively. As shown in the Figure1(f-h), Each object present in the scene of size \( M \times N \) is converted into the feature matrix \( F_t \) of size \( M \times N \times d \). This feature matrix \( F_t \) is further transferred into a low dimensional covariance matrix of dimension \( d \times d \) and then rewritten into a vector \( a_{x_j} \) by reading the elements of the covariance matrix column wise.

\[ COV(F_t) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{t,11} & c_{t,12} & \cdots & c_{t,1d} \\ c_{t,21} & c_{t,22} & \cdots & c_{t,2d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{t,m1} & c_{t,m2} & \cdots & c_{t,md} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \] 

\[ a_t = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{t,1} \\ C_{t,2} \\ \vdots \\ C_{t,d+1} \\ C_{t,d+2} \\ \vdots \\ C_{t,dd} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \] (2)

Finally, vector \( a_t \) of size \( d^2 \times 1 \) represent the object present in the scene.

IV. SPARSE REPRESENTATION BASED CLASSIFICATION

Sparse approximation is one of the popular method employed for classification problem. This method is used for classifying the object with the reduced cost and low memory. This algorithm can be expressed as followed

\[ Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} \] (2)

In sparse based classification, we have provided with input test sample \( y \in R^N \) and the dictionary \( A \in R^{M \times N} \) and need to find a sparse vector \( X \) that gives the approximation solution \( Y \equiv AX \).

In our multi object sparse classification model, we have consider \( y \in R^d \) be the test sample and \( A \in R^{d \times N} \) is the dictionary that keep all the object descriptors that is collected from the previous frame. Here \( d^2 \) represent the size of the feature covariance descriptor for an object and \( N \) represent the total number of object descriptor present in the dictionary.

This \( N \) number of descriptors is again represented with the \( c \) number of class label i.e \( A = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots \} \) and each object class \( A = \{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \ldots, a_{i_k} \} \in R^{d^2 \times k_i} \) where \( k_i \) is the number of samples belong to class \( i \) and \( N = \sum_{i=1}^{c} k_i \) is the total number of object descriptors present in the dictionary. So an element \( a_{ij} \in R^{d^2} \) from the dictionary \( A \) represent the \( j^{th} \) training sample of class \( i \).

In order to find the true label of an object, \( Y \) must be expressed in terms linear combination of \( A \) and \( X \), thus \( Y \) can be written as

\[ Y = AX \]

Where \( X \in (x_{11}, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{ik_1}, \ldots, x_{ck}) \) is the coefficient vector corresponding to \( A \)

\[ Y = [a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{ck}][x_{11}, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{ck}]^T \] (3)

This equation can be approximated as

\[ y = [a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots, a_{ik}][x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ik}]^T \]

Further it is written as

\[ Y = A_i X_i \]
Figure 1: Proposed scheme for object tracking, (a)-(e) shows the background subtraction for detection of objects, (f)-(h) shows the representation of object with covariance descriptor.

Figure 2: CUDA programming Model
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Here, an object is classified into the $i^{th}$ class subject to maximum or all the obtained nonzero coefficients of $x$ are regrouped into the $i^{th}$ class. Ideally, this can be stated as that the entries of $x$ are all zero except those related to the same class as the test sample.

\[ i.e \quad Y = AX \]

where $X = \left[ 0,0,..., x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{in} ... ... ... \right]$

The residual $r_l = \left| r_l = y - A(\hat{x})_l \right|_2$ where $x_i$ denotes the entries of $x$ associated with the training samples from the $i^{th}$ class. Finally, $x$ is assigned a class label $i$ that resulted in the minimal residual so that sparse set solution of $x$ can be obtained by solving the

\[ \hat{x} = \arg\min \|x\| \]

We have used Orthogonal matching Pursuit (OMP) to find the solution of the above sparse problem. It is one of the greedy method and usually preferred for its speedy conversion rate. Here, in each iteration a local optimum solution is found. This is carried out by finding a column vector in $A$ which is very close to the residual vector $r$. Initially the residual vector is assigned to the test vector $Y$. In each iteration, the residual vector is reassigned on the basis of evaluating $\left| r_k - a_j x \right|_2$.

In each iteration, one column vector is also selected on the basis of the minimum residual and then this selected column vector is removed for the next iteration. This process is repeated until the residual estimation reaches the maximum number of authorized iteration. As shown in the algorithm [1], OMP provide the solution to the approximation classification problem but it involve with the lots of computational task. This motivate us to develop the parallelization method for the OMP.

### Algorithms 1 OMP algorithms

**Input** $y$ and $A$

**Output** $\hat{x}$

**Initialise** $r_0 = y$, and $c_0 = \emptyset$

**For** $k \geq 1$ to $t$

**compute the residue** $r_k = y - A \hat{x}$

**Select a column** $A_j$, such that

\[ j^* = \arg\min \| r_k - a_j x \|_2 \]

**Add** $c_k = c_{k-1} \cup \{ j^* \}$.

**Coefficient Update** : update the coefficient of ($\hat{x}$)

If $\left| r_k \right| < \varepsilon$ or $t$ reaches the maximum number of authorized iteration the stop the algorithm.

**end for**

### V. PARALLEL IMPLEMENTATION OF OMP ON GPU

Identifying the group of independent instruction from the program is the first step of parallelism. In OMP algorithm, inner product computation is a single operation which is executed for n number of time for classifying the objects and is independent with respect to number of objects. Therefore, inner product has been parallelised using multiple thread.

#### A. GPU Execution Model

GPUs have been designed to run single instruction multiple data with the help of parallel thread. This processing unit generally consists of bundles of cards that packed with the hundreds of processor cores. NVIDIA contribution CUDA provides the programmer the flexibility and ease of using the parallel programming. The key component of the GPU environment is the Kernel which is mainly responsible to executes the parallel computation. A kernel is operated as a grid of thread blocks and all threads share data memory space. As show in the Figure 2, kernel is consists of one dimensional or the two dimensional grid. Each grid consists used in the text, even after they have been defined in the abstract. Abbreviations such as IEEE, SI, MKS, CGS, sc, dc, and rms do not have to be defined. Do not use abbreviations in the title or heads unless they are unavoidable.

of group of thread where group of threads are executed concurrently. All thread in a block execute the same program. Our parallel Orthogonal matching Pursuit (OMP) algorithm 2 for the multi object tracking is described as follows : We have considered $p$ number of detected object for the classification. Input to our algorithm are $y^p$ and $A$ and the output is the coefficient vector $x^p_l$. Here $y^p_l$ denotes $p$ number of detected objects and $A \in R^{d \times XN}$ is the dictionary that holds all the training samples. $x^p_l$ and $r^p_l$ represents the estimation of coefficient vector and the residual for object $l$ at $t^{th}$ iteration respectively. The processes of classification runs for $t^{th}$ number of times for classifying an object and in each iteration we need to find a column that give maximum similarity or the minimum residual for the test object $y^p_l$. This column will be eliminated for the next iteration and it's index is added to the sparse vector $x^p_l$. For different object different number of iteration are required for reaching the termination condition. Finally, after all the iteration are over for an object, then we classify the object on the basis of the retrieving the sparse coefficient of the vector $x^p_l$.

### Algorithms 2 Parallel OMP algorithm for Tracking

**Input** $y^p$ and $A$

**Output** $x^p_l$

**Initialise** $Q = \{1,2...,p\}, r_0^l = y^p_l$, and $c_0 = \{\}$.

**Select the Column** $A_j$ that solve maximization problem.

**for each**

\[ l \in Q \quad c^p_l = c^p_{l-1} \cup \{ \arg\max_{x = 1:N} \| r^p_l - a_j x^p_l \|_2 \} \]

**end for**
for each $t_l$ update residue

$$r_{tl} = y_l - A\bar{x}_{tl}$$

Coefficient Update: Update the coefficient of $x_{tl}^2$

if $||r_{tl}||_2 < \varepsilon$ or $t_l$ reaches the maximum number of authorized iteration

then $Q = Q - l$, otherwise $t_l = t_l + 1$.

end for

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Our proposed algorithm is implemented in CUDA, and the program executed on a laptop supported with an Intel Core (TM) i7 CPU and 4 GB RAM. Furthermore, the system is equipped with an NVIDIA GeForce GT 630M GPU card. Usually, these cards are provided with two multiprocessors and 96 CUDA cores with 1 GB of global memory. Proposed method is applied on the PET's 09 standard dataset. We compared our proposed method with the existing methods of the literature ETHZ [21], EPFL [22] and PMTS [23].

Object Tracking Evaluation: We applied our proposed parallel implementation on the PET09 database. Visual result of this implementation is shown in Figure 5. It is noted that objects are detected for the longer sequence of 400 frames without any false detection. In Figure 4, we compared the detection of different objects in the frame for our proposed method with ETHZ [21], EPFL [22] and PMTS [23].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$MOTP$</th>
<th>$MOTA$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ETHZ</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPFL</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMTS</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: MOTP and MOTA evaluation results.
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Performance Measurement Metrics: In addition to the visual comparison, multiple object tracking precision (MOTP) and multiple object tracking accuracy (MOTA) are two performance metrics that are used to compare our proposed method with the existing one. We obtained 72% of precision (MOTP) and 78% of accuracy (MOTA). These evaluation results show the robustness and accuracy of our algorithm.

Table 2: Comparison of Processing time in CPU and GPU for 5 person

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dictionary Size</th>
<th>GPU(ms)</th>
<th>CPU(ms)</th>
<th>Ratio(CPU/GPU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Execution Time

The complexity for computing the inner product is $O(MNd)$ and using [24] this complexity is approximated to $O(\log MANd)$. Execution time of the implemented OMP algorithm is evaluated and are compared for both GPU and CPU. Execution time of classification is estimated for five persons and shown in Table 2. Speed up rate of the GPU over the CPU are also shown in the Figure 6. From the figure it has been observed that GPU is computationally efficient as compared to the CPU and also processing time of GPU significantly reduced.

![Figure 6: Speedup rate of GPU compare with CPU](image)

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper addresses a sparse based classification technique for tracking multi object in real time video. OMP is used along with sparse technique to achieve the approximate solution to the multi class problem. objects are represented with covariance descriptor. Use of covariance descriptor increases the classification accuracy for different kind of objects. Furthermore, Our proposed algorithm is implemented with parallel thread on a graphical processing unit. This reduces considerably the processing time of algorithm for the object classification. Proposed algorithm shows the promising solution to ensure the detection of the moving object.
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