Abstract: Education plays an vital role in any country's development, improving the economy of that country, improving people's living standards, etc. In higher education, it is even more necessary as the higher education produces all the professionals. Each country is trying to develop such institutions that produce high-quality professionals in all fields. India is a developing country and is also focused on developing its people in terms of their living standards by providing more and more education through the establishment of educational institutions, especially higher education institutions. The number of higher education institutions in India has increased in last few years, as well as the enrollment in these institutions has increased very much.
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I. INTRODUCTION

India is a developing country and is also focused on developing its people in terms of their living standards by providing more and more education through the establishment of educational institutions, especially higher education institutions. In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, public education spending ranged significantly across regions with the western and southern provinces spending three to four times as much as the eastern provinces[1]-[5]. Because of historical differences in land taxes, much of the inter-regional difference was the main source of income. Lord Curzon, the Viceroy 1899-1905, gave high priority to mass education after finding that no more than 20 percent of India's children attended school. His initiatives centered on the development in education and the modernization of university systems. They stressed ungraded curricula, traditional textbooks, and new systems of evaluation. Curzon’s technical education plans laid the foundations on which later governments took action.

II. OBJECTIVES

☐ To understand the students and parents problems face while studied government school -Kanchipuram district
☐ To study the parents satisfaction level towards government school educations -Kanchipuram district

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methods may include research on journals, interviews, surveys and other research techniques, and may include both current and historical data[10]-[12].

A. Research Design

The work uses the form of descriptive analysis. Descriptive research’s main purpose is to describe the state of affairs as it currently exists. To make a critical evaluation of the content, the details and information collected are evaluated.

B. Sampling Unit

The study mainly based on convenience method for the purpose of out of 100 respondents in Kanchipuram district.

C. Tool Used for Analysis

Simple percentage method, Chi-square test, Rank analysis and Karl Pearson’s correlation coefficient[13]-[17].

D. Limitations

☐ The Study is limited to Kanchipuram town and therefore the findings of the study cannot be extended to other area
☐ The samples have been collected from the limited member (sample size 100) of Rural parents

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

☐ (41.7 %) age group of 36-45 years.
☐ (37.7 %) were educated till school level.
☐ (28.6 %) parents were agriculturist.
☐ (44.6 %) parents were having two children.
☐ (30.3 %) monthly income were Rs.10000 to 12500
☐ (47.4 %) select a school through family members.
☐ (33.1 %) husband and wife are taking their decision for selecting and join the school.
☐ (37.7 %) children studying ninth class.
☐ (39.4 %) children were studied same school 6 to 7 years.
☐ mean value (2.46) with the age group of above 45 years are highly satisfied towards their children current performance, it is found that
there is a close significant relationship between the age of the respondents and the level of satisfaction of children current performance.

- mean value (2.90) with the age group of 36-45 years are highly satisfied towards their school management, it is found that there is a close significant relationship between the age of the respondents and the level of satisfaction of school management[18]-[20].
- mean value (2.36) with the qualified of school level and under graduate and highly satisfied towards quality of education that there is no relationship between the educational status of the respondents and level of satisfaction in quality of education.
- mean value (3.31) of the illiterate respondents are highly satisfied towards their school management there is a close significant relationship between the education of the respondents and the level of satisfaction of school management.
- mean value (2.91) were businessmen and they are highly satisfied towards their communication of the school there is a close significant relationship between the occupation of the respondents and the level of satisfaction of communication from the school[21]-[23].
- mean value (3.55) monthly income 15000 and highly satisfied towards their infrastructure of the school there is a close relationship between the monthly income of the respondents and the level of satisfaction of infrastructure of the school.
- mean value (3.09) monthly income as Rs.12500 to15000 and highly satisfied towards their school management there is no significant relationship between the monthly income of the respondents and level of satisfaction of school management.
- (55.4%) parents were preferred the government school for less fees.
- (50.3%) parents considered very important factor of academic results in the school.
- (74.3 %) received scholarships from the government.
- (56.0%) satisfied in their children performance in government school.
- (55.4 %) satisfied in teachers activities.
- (65.7%) parents satisfied the quality of education in government school.
- (40.0%) parents dissatisfied towards the government school infrastructure.
- (34.3 %) satisfied in management of government school.
- (44.0 %) neutrally satisfied proper communication from the school.
- (53.7%) parents are highly satisfied in government school regarding sports and co-curricular activities.
- (77.7%) school providing the free stuff based on government rule.
- (84.6 %) opined fees not collected from the government school.
- (72.0 %) not interested to change the children school in near future.
- (68.6 %) parents willing to recommend - others children.
- children are facing the problem as ‘lake of car’ and ‘quality of the teaching’ while going to current school.

V. SUGGESTIONS

- Children should be helped by their parents in their daily needs (textbooks, workbooks, pens, pencils, satchels, etc.). Government can also provide the poor children with educational and learning services to reduce the pressure on poor families from educational expenses.

The involvement of parents in their family / social enmity should be avoided. It helps to increase the academic performance of their children.

Steps should be taken to raise awareness in the community of the importance of the involvement of rural parents in the education of their children[24]-[25].

The creation of government school teachers must find an important issue to improve the quality of education and draw more parents to government schools.

VI. CONCLUSION

The result stated that in terms of curriculum, teacher quality, and school policies, the program in government school is inferior to private schools. It provides the answer to the research’s key questions about the increasing number of students studying in private schools Parents have expressed their desire to teach English language skills in government schools. In addition, a government school’s quality of teachers influenced the overall value of the education system. Teachers in government schools felt the schools did not give them adequate training to improve their attitude. Most educators faced a lack of training for professional education that meets their needs. The private schools, on the other hand, tried to satisfy the desires and needs of the students. To improve their quality and enhance the degree of excellence at government schools, teachers are provided with a development plan.
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