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Abstract- Sandwich composites, wherein the skin and core fulfills the requirement of different properties, like in foam sandwich structure, foam is providing damping and skin provides rigidity to the structure. In this work a sandwich panels with foam core and glass/polyester skin is fabricated by vacuum infusion technique. Abrasive water-jet drilling of these materials is performed to study the effect of standoff distance (SOD), Water Jet Pressure (JP) and Traverse Rate (TR) on kerf taper and surface roughness and Material Removal Rate (MRR). The experiment is designed using Taguchi’s L9 orthogonal array. The ANOVA is done to study the influence of input parameters on output. It is found that SOD is the most influencing parameter on the kerf taper and surface roughness.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There are many applications where structures need to be thick but light weight as well. This can be effectively done by using the sandwich composites [1] [2]. The core gives required thickness and skin provides the strength. For maintain precise tolerance and finish composites are required to be machined also it is found that the process of machining affect the mechanical property of composites [3]. So it becomes imperative to study the machining performance of the sandwich composites. Abrasive water jet machining (AWJM) is the popular unconventional machining process in industry because of advantages like, it does not produce chatter, thermal distortion. The problems in AWJM machining are kerf taper and delamination which are decided by the AWJM process parameters viz. SOD, JP, TR, etc [4]. The majority of studies are focused on evaluating the influence these parameters for laminated composites using ANOVA [5-9].

A wide variety of literature is available on AWJM performance of laminated composites but literature on AWJM of sandwich composite is not available. It is important and interesting to understand the response of the sandwich structures to the unconventional machining process. This paper gives the detailed analysis of AWJM process parameter influence using the ANOVA

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Material and manufacturing details

Table 1 gives the details of material used for manufacturing of glass/PVC/glass sandwich. The specimen is manufactured by vacuum infusion method. Uniform distribution of resin is ensured by creating a vacuum and collecting excess resin at the outlet. This also ensures uniform thickness of skin. Figure 1 shows the manufactured specimen with skin and core.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Reinforcement: Glass, Matrix: Polyester (ARAZYN® 13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>PVC (80 g/cc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerator</td>
<td>acetyl acetone peroxide (AAP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Glass/PVC/Glass sandwich composite specimen

B. Experimental Design

Taguchi’s experimental design is used for designing the experiment. Table 1 gives the AWJM process parameters and different levels. A through slot of 15 mm length is created in the specimen on CNC AWJ machine taking fixed nozzle diameter of 0.7 mm and garnet abrasives with 80mesh size are used.
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The kerf taper is calculated by using equation 1.

\[
\text{Kerf Taper Angle } (\theta) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{W_1 - W_2}{2 \times t}\right)
\]

Where, \(W_1\) and \(W_2\)are top and bottom width of kerf and \(t\) = Thickness of specimen.

\[
\text{MRR} = \text{depth of cut} \times \left(\frac{W_P + W_S}{2}\right) \times TR
\]

MRR calculated from the traverse rate by using equation 2. Surface roughness is measured using Mitutoyo SJ - 410 surface roughness tester. Damage and stray marks on surface are observed by doing scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Optimum levels and influence of process parameter is evaluated using ANOVA. For predicting the responses with a given set of input parameters, linear regression model is developed.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ANOVA is done for finding the influencing parameter. The following sections give the details of the ANOVA study.

#### A. Analysis of The Influence of AWJM process parameters

The results of ANOVA for kerf taper shows the model is significant and SOD is the deciding parameters for kerf taper. The ratio of maximum to minimum kerf taper angle is 4.5. Equation 3 gives the linear regression equation for predicting kerf taper angle. The Predicted \(R^2\) of 0.6685 is in reasonable agreement with the Adjusted \(R^2\) of 0.8035; i.e. the difference is less than 0.2

\[
\text{Kerf Taper angle} = 0.6667 - 0.000389 \text{JP} + 0.1060 \text{SOD} - 0.00121 \text{TR}
\]

(3)

The optimized process parameters for getting minimum kerf taper are depicted in figure 3 (a). JP decides the cutting ability of the AWJM and as pressure increases the material can be easily cut and there are less chances of kerf taper. As can be seen, kerf taper is found to decrease as JP decreases and SOD increases. This observation is not typical in AWJM. Increase in pressure may lead to removing extra material from the soft PVC core leading to more kerf taper. For higher SOD the jet may diverge and the outer ring of the jet with less energy may not be able remove excess material causing less kerf taper.

From the contour plots for the kerf taper (Figure 3 (a-c)) the influence of different process parameters can be seen. It can be observed that the SOD greater than 2.5 mm and TR greater than 26 mm/min gives minimum kerf taper for the studied pressure range. The TR between 12 to 25 mm/min should be avoided for better kerf quality.

From ANOVA analysis it is seen that the model is significant and JP is the most influencing parameter as \(p\) value is less than 0.05. The linear regression model is given in equation 4

\[
\text{Roughness} = 17.30 - 0.03343 \text{JP} + 0.659 \text{SOD} + 0.0067
\]
TR (4)
The main effect plot depicts the influence of JP on the surface roughness. The optimized values of JP, SOD and TR for obtaining good surface finish are 268 MPa, 1 mm and 10 mm/min respectively. Higher JP eases the material removal and gives better surface finish. Second parameter which influences the surface roughness is SOD. The jet divergence at lower SOD is less and hence the kinetic energy of jet produces higher impact on the surface which eases material removal and surface finish is improved. The range of 15-25 mm/min should be avoided to get good surface finish.

Fig. 4. (a) Main effects plot for means, Contour plots of effect of (b) JP and SOD (c) JP and TR, (d) TR and SOD on surface roughness

Higher TR and JP are favorable parameters for increasing the MRR and same can be observed in figure 5 (a). The ANOVA analysis shows the model is significant and the R2. Predicted (0.9653) is closely related to R2 adjusted (0.9931). The linear regression analysis is done for developing the prediction model given in equation 5

\[
\text{MRR} = 74.6 + 0.3895 \text{ JP} - 6.67 \text{ SOD} + 13.458 \text{ TR} \quad (5)
\]

Form figure 5 (c-d) it can be seen that the MRR is independent of JP and SOD for the traverse rate greater than 20 mm/min

Fig. 5. (a) Main effects plot for means, Contour plots of effect of (b) JP and SOD (c) JP and TR, (d) TR and SOD on MRR.

As can be seen from figure 5 (a-b) the PVC core experiences more damage because of its porous nature. The surface roughness is also high in this region due to damaged surface. In figure 5 (c) the skin-core interfacial damage can be visible.

6. (a-b) Stray marks and damages on core (c) skin-core interfacial damage

B. Experimental confirmation of model

The final step is to validate the regression model with the experimental results. The developed regression models (equation 3-5) resulted in only 6-10% error in different responses and hence it is believed to be validated. Table 6 gives the predicted and experimental results of the confirmation test.

IV. CONCLUSION

The optimization study helps to understand the influence of different process parameters. The key observations are summarized below.

- High level of jet pressure is useful for obtaining better responses for kerf taper, surface roughness and MRR.
- SOD should be high for better kerf taper whereas it should be low for better surface finish and improved MRR.
- TR affects more on the MRR and it should be high to increase material removal rate.
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