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Abstract: This research aims to study local capacity through regulating the relationships between social, economic and environmental aspects, as well as measuring the sustainability of tourism management. The study was carried out in Ngadas village, Poncokusumo sub-district, Malang regency. The research approach uses surveys to explore qualitative and quantitative data. The method of analysis used path modeling (partly least square, PLS), and a multidimensional scale (MDS). Local capacity has successfully demonstrated the influence of institutions on environmental and economic variables. At the same time, social variables also affect economic variables, and economic variables affect the development of ecotourism. The relationship shows that economic variables become a bridge, which connects the indirect effect of social influence on the development of ecotourism. Measurement of the sustainability index on institutional variables is 41.30, social 36.57, environmental 43.01, and economic 41.76. All variables are categorized as less sustainable. Indicators to support sustainability include infrastructure, improving the village economy, availability of trash bins, protection from landslides, and communication.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Local capacity becomes an essential component in the sustainability of tourism resource management [1]. Local capacity is an instrument of social aspects that can organize complexity, through regulation, cooperation and empowerment of the parties involved. Local capacity in principle, reflects the condition of social capital, that can be characterized through the establishment of trust, collectivism and authority [2]. Reference [3] identifies the role of social factors in regulating and controlling resource management. Social and environmental factors are interconnected and embedded, which stabilize life in a society or organization. Functional relationships that manifest in socio-cultural life that appreciates environmental values. This explains why social aspects of sustainability are always prioritized to underlie the regulation or management of economic and environmental aspects.

The lives of local people have functional values in terms of their social and environmental aspects. The local people need to be developed in potential and empowered to engage in tourism activities [4]. They need to collaborate with other parties, develop innovations to increase the added value of tourism activities. Local policies are designed expertly to empower people, promote tourism, conservation and environmental aesthetics [5].

Ecotourism services have been operated by the local people, and are similar with farming businesses that can provide jobs and livelihoods, and generate income and welfare [6]. However, the participation of local people in ecotourism services still raises the question of whether they carry the vision of conservation or are forced to work into meeting economic needs only. This question is fundamental for the formulation of ecotourism development policy concepts or for building better participation and management of ecotourism at the local level.

The effort to implement the concept of sustainable tourism has always been a challenge. The complexity in tourism activities is very definite, covering social, economic and environmental aspects. These three aspects must be managed optimally and sustainably.

This research aims to study local capacity through regulating the relationships between social, economic and environmental aspects, as well as measuring the sustainability of tourism management.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study used a survey approach involving 41 people who selected randomly. Ngadas Village is located within the Bromo Tengger Semeru National Park (BTSNP) area. The view of the village shows the beauty of panoramic mountains landscape and is often covered a thin mist which makes this village always beautiful, quiet and cool. They carry out the Tengger tradition in various aspects of life [7]. The natural beauty of Bromo and the cultural traditions of Tengger are the main tourist attraction. This village receives tourist visits to the national park area.

The study used a survey approach involving 41 people who selected randomly. Respondents were interviewed based on a questionnaire. Variables observed in this study include the characteristics of respondents, and perceptions related the local capacity, as follow:
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a. Ecotourism (T), consisting of indicators: (i) increased income, (ii) increased savings, (iii) increased in welfare, (iv) children's education

b. Social (S), consisting of indicators: (i) communication, (ii) be tolerant and open, (iii) security in the village, (iv) participation in community, (v) conflict of interest, (vi) hospitality

c. Economic (E), consisting of indicators: (i) infrastructure, (ii) increased village economy, (iii) economic cooperation, (iv) participation in economic

d. Environment (L), consisting of indicators: (i) environmental conservation, (ii) cleaner environment, (iii) landslides, vulnerability, (iv) availability of trash bins, (v) availability of agricultural plant seeds,

e. Institution (K), consisting of indicators: (i) evaluation mechanism, (ii) leadership, (iii) organization role, (iv) transparency, (v) committed to solving problems

Respondent’s perceptions of local capacity are expressed in the ordinal scale (Likert). Questions provide five answer choices, including strongly agree (5), agree (4), moderate (3), disagree (2), and strongly disagree (1).

The analytical method uses path modeling (partially least square, PLS), processed using the SmartPLS 3.2.6 software application. The model is constituted hypothetically using the conception and relationship between variables, adopted from [2] and [1].

The second analysis method uses a multidimensional scale (MDS) approach, using R 3.6.0 software application. The MDS method measures the sustainability index of each variable (Table 1) to find sustainable hospitality adjusted for the sustainability category [8]. Data was also tested for validity and reliability through Pearson and Cronbach’s Aplha correlation tests using the SPSS 14 application.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Respondent characteristics

The number of respondents involved in the study was 41 people, with a 20% proportion of gender. The age of respondents ranged from 25 to 60 years, with an average of 40.6 years. Respondent ages less than 30 years as many as 4 people, between 30 and 50 years is found 31 people, and more than 55 years for 6 people (Table 1).

The highest level of education of respondents was junior high school graduates, that was 10 people. The remaining 31 people complete elementary school. This research also revealed respondents’ background findings in Ngadas village. They consist of farmers (8 people), farmers also homestay owners (9 people), farmers who own jeeps (9 people), farmers concurrently as tour operators (7 people), and farmers who own shop (8 people).

Ngadas villagers are basically used to serving tourists. Their daily life is living as a farmer. However, on certain days, holidays or weekends they receive tour services by renting a homestay, serving transportation, or guiding tourists. The results of the study [9] show that the profession of farmers and concurrently as tourism actors obtain 1.7 times higher income than farmers alone.

B. Local Capacity

The conception of local capacity is expressed in relationships or the structural model in Fig. 1. The figure presents the relationship between latent variables and the relationship with the indicators. Latent variables include institution (K), social (S), economic (E), environment (L), and ecotourism (T).

The model has fulfilled the test to find relevant indicators that explain endogenous variables as presented in Fig. 1. It shows a fairly high loading factor, exceeding the ideal cutting off the value of 0.70 [10]. This means that indicators can explain or influence the character of latent variables so that all latent variables can work in the model.

The next test model is the hypothesis test of the relationship between latent variables as presented in Table 2. The significant relationship between variables (p-value <0.05) is shown by Social (S) → Economic (E), Institution (K) → Environment (L), and Economic (E) → Ecotourism (T). While the Institution (K) → Economic (E) relationship showed a p-value of 0.193, or slightly above 0.05. Also, the relationship between other latent variables is not significant.

The results of this study indicate the role of institution variable and their influence on other variables and their relation to the development of ecotourism. Table 2 states that the institution has a significant effect on the environment (p = 0.01) with a coefficient of 0.473. At the same time, social variable is also related to environment variable but is not significant (p = 0.938). The two variables contributed a coefficient of determination of 0.223 to environment variable.

Meanwhile, the institution variable does not significantly influence social variable. The model also displays a coefficient of determination of 0.001 on social variables. This shows that variable of institution also contributes very little to explain social variables, or do not show a significant correlation.

The influence of institution variable on the economy displays a p-value of 0.193, with a coefficient of 0.171. This value slightly exceeds p = 0.05. This relationship can be considered, because of the importance of the role of institutions in economic aspects.
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Table 1. Characteristics of the respondent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>% female</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>years</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 30 years</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 50 years</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 55 years</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>people</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ynaor High School</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer and Homestay owner</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer and Jeep rent</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer and tour operator</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer and small shop</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Respondent size 41 people
At the same time, social variable also has a significant influence on economic variable (p = 0.00; and coefficient 0.709). Institution and social variables contribute R² = 52.5 percent to the variety of economic variable. Based on the coefficient figures, the greater contribution is shown by social factors compared to institutions.

### Table II. Relationship between latent variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent variable</th>
<th>Path coefficient</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic (E) → Ecotourism (T)</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>2.054</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment (L) → Ecotourism (T)</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution (K) → Economic (E)</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>1.305</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution (K) → Ecotourism (T)</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution (K) → Environment (L)</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>2.572</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution (K) → Social (S)</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social (S) → Economic (E)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>4.902</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social (S) → Ecotourism (T)</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social (S) → Environment (L)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*run by bootstrapping in PLS software. The results show that all latent variables meet the requirements for validity and reliability test. Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and Composite Reliability (CR) exceed the ideal cutting off the value of 0.50 and 0.70 [10]*

The significant variable influencing the development of ecotourism is the economic variable (p = 0.041) with a coefficient of 0.549. The other three variables showed no significant effect, namely social (p = 0.296), institution (p = 0.613) and environment (p = 0.865). The contribution of the three variables is 0.607 or 60.7% to explain the diversity of tourism development variables.

Furthermore, the relationship between social variables becomes a bridge, which connects the indirect effect of social influence on the development of ecotourism.

### C. Sustainable Tourism

The results of measuring the sustainability index of latent variables are presented in Table 3. The Monte Carlo test results show the same figures as the sustainability index. This result shows that the sustainability index meets reliability and stable.

The sustainability index of the variables of economic (E), environment (L), institution (K) and social (S) is categorized into a less sustainable level (Table 3). These results confirm previous results [11], which found an index below 50 percent, namely ecology is 37.67 percent, economic 41.90 percent, socio-cultural 47.16 percent, and institution is 43.93 percent.

### Table III. Sustainability index of variable or variable group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Sustainability Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic (E)</td>
<td>41.76</td>
<td>Less Sustainable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment (L)</td>
<td>43.01</td>
<td>Less Sustainable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution (K)</td>
<td>41.30</td>
<td>Less Sustainable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social (S)</td>
<td>36.57</td>
<td>Less Sustainable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reliability test using the Monte Carlo method on each variable shows a difference of no more than 0.01 units.

Furthermore, Table 3 shows in more detail that the social variable shows the lowest number, which is 36.57. This is in line with [3], which identifies the role of social...
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Factors in managing and controlling resource management. This explains why social aspects of sustainability are always prioritized to underlie the regulation or management of economic and environmental aspects.

The MDS approach also needs to consider the value of the leverage of each indicator (Fig. 2). Test the validity and reliability of the indicators carried out to ensure the variable instruments can work valid and robust. The Pearson correlation results show that indicators S2, S3, L5, K4, and K5 are invalid so they must be excluded from the leverage analysis. Meanwhile, the result of Cronbach’s Alpha 0.722 indicate a valid variable instrument.

To complete the sustainability index, leverage measurement can further explain which indicators as levers for the benefit of management. The focus is to scrutinize at indicators on the variables that have low sustainability index values.

Low leverage values include infrastructure (E1), availability of trash bins (L4), protection from landslides (L3), improvement of the village economy (E2), and communication (S1). The low leverage is very relevant to the conditions and environment of the Ngadas village.

In economic aspect, infrastructure has become significant obstacles, especially roads leading to tourist sites in the village, even though the main road to Mount Bromo is adequate. The infrastructure factor is not only to maintain sustainability but also to increase rural tourism competitiveness. Improvement of the village economy can strengthen sustainability because many villagers still depend on agriculture for their livelihoods. Nevado-Peña et al. state that the economic aspect is a significant component of sustainability and at the same time supported by social and environmental aspects [12].

In environmental aspect, trash bins are available, but the amount is not enough. Most villagers also do not use the trash. Garbage is more often burned or used for compost. Landslide potential is very high due to steep topography, especially on agricultural land without land cover. The position of the Ngadas village is within BTSNP; thus villagers must follow the national park conservation guidelines to manage cropland [4]. The environmental conservation approach is the primary reference for the management framework. Coordination of all parties will help promote sustainable tourism [5]. Reference [13] further shows that environmental factors have the most influence on the use of land resources in the national park area compared to social and economic factors.

In the social aspect, communication between local people needs to be developed continuously to build harmony and strengthen tourism management activities. The study [14] identifies the impact of coastal tourism on social aspects, rather than the environment and economy. Musavengane states that is communication as social capital to build cooperation and management of natural resources [15]. Communication is also a way to meet the expectations of all parties, including tourism management goals, and to instill a vision of conservation of tourist destinations [16].

IV. CONCLUSION

Social capacities have successfully demonstrated institution influences on environment and economic variables. The relationship shows that economic variable becomes a bridge, which connects the indirect effect of social influence on the development of ecotourism.

Measurement of the sustainability index on the institution variable is 41.30, social 36.57, environmental 43.01, and economic 41.76. All variables are categorized as less sustainable. Furthermore, the indicators that support sustainability in the Ngadas village area, namely infrastructure, improvement of the village economy, availability of trash bins, protection from landslides, and communication.
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