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Abstract: The development of recommender systems gathered momentum due to its relevance and application in providing a personalized recommendation on a product or a service for customer relations management. It has proliferated into medicine and its allied domains for the recommendations on disease prediction/detection, medicine, treatment, and other medical services. This chapter describes a new composite and comprehensive recommender system named Temporal Change Analysis based Recommender System for Alzheimer Disease Classification (TCA-RS-AD) using a deep learning model. Its performance is evaluated on the dataset with T1-weighted MRI clinical temporal data of OASIS and the results were recorded in terms of Precision, Recall, F1-Score and Accuracy, Hamming Loss, Cohens Kappa Coefficient, and Matthews Correlation Coefficient. The improved accuracy of this recommendation model endorses its suitability for its application in the classification of AD.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The semi-automated brain image analysis aims to discover or diagnose Alzheimer's disease due to brain disorders. The prognosis of AD is essential for providing timely treatments to the patients at an early stage. The Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Clinical Data is a comprehensive dataset that contains high-resolution and high contrast images for the gray and white matter of the brain. The novelty of Machine Learning (ML) classification techniques has been vastly explored for many applications in medical diagnosis [1]. Machine learning predictive capabilities are suitable for many medical applications. These methods find application in neuroimaging research for early detection and diagnosis of AD. Deep learning is an offshoot of machine learning. Deep Neural Networks (DNN) is a type of neural network with more than two layers. The TCA-RS-AD is designed to have multiple hidden layers.

Content-based and collaborative filtering are the most common approaches for creating a recommendation system framework. A good amount of information is required about the functionality of the objects in the content-based approach rather than using experiences and suggestions from users. Collaborative filtering is the process of filtering or evaluating items using the opinions of other people.

This chapter presents a new prediction and recommender scheme termed as, “Temporal Change Analysis based recommender System for Alzheimer Disease Classification (TCA-RS-AD)”. This system uses MRI clinical temporal data for experimental analysis and validation. The proposed model is designed to classify and predict the early stage of AD.

II. RELATED WORK

Zhe Wang et al., [2] developed a new method named Resting-State fMRI based Network Connectivity Analysis (RS-fMRI NC) using the feature vector-based classification method. This model could detect AD and Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI).

Nagamandini et al., [3] developed a new detection method for identifying various stages of AD using ML algorithms. This model is designed to perform feature extraction and classification using the association between the data attributes.

Sajna et al., [4] developed a new method for the two-stage Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model for prediction and early diagnosis of the AD. In the first stage, image patches were taken as input to learning inherent associations between local image patches and target landmarks. In the second stage, the entire image is considered as input to detect AD.

Lebedev et al., [5] developed a new method for Computed Aided Diagnosis (CAD) of AD. The Random Forest Classifier was trained using different structural MRI measure constraints to improve the performance of detection and prediction of AD.

Jyoti Islam et al., [6] designed a new method for AD diagnosis using an ensemble of deep CNN models. Their model used the clinical dataset to identify the different stages of AD for early diagnosis.

Xia-an Bi et al., [7] developed the random neural network cluster, composed of multiple neural networks to improve the performance of feature selection and classification. Sixty-one subjects comprising of 25 AD and 36 Healthy Control were acquired from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) dataset to validate their method.
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Abnaya Kumar et al., [8] developed a deep learning-based recommendation system for health using collaborative filtering, which was designed to implement an effective health recommendation engine. This model was evaluated with Mean Absolute Error (MAE).

Raid Lafta et al., [9] developed a smart recommendation system based on a short-term heart disease risk prediction. This system offers a solution to reduce the time and cost factor involved in taking the frequent medical test for medical intervention. The experimental results showed that the proposed system yields satisfactory accuracy.

Sivapalanet al.,[10] devised a new recommender system for classification, regression and time series using different types of classification models, including decision tree inference, Bayesian classification, Neural Networks, Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Association.

III. PROPOSED MECHANISM

The TCA-RS-AD method involves three phases: preprocessing, prediction, and recommendation. In the preprocessing phase, the clinical data is read and subjected to data imputation, transformations, and grouping. In the prediction phase, the training dataset is trained by tuning the hyperparameters to predict the different stages of AD. In the recommendation phase, a recommendation is performed by using a rating scale based on accuracy.

Experimental Dataset: The TCA-RS_AD algorithm is tested on the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) dataset comprising of normal and stages of AD chosen from OASIS Longitudinal Neuroimaging [11] are used. The vital attributes are as follows: Sex, Hand, Educ, SES, CDR, eTIV, nWBV, Group, Visit, MR Delay. The performance of TSA-RS-AD experimented on the OASIS dataset with 448 data, 112 data are of Mild AD, 112 data are Moderate AD, 112 data are Non-Demented, and 112 data are Very Mild AD-affected.

Table 1 displays the demographic characteristics in clinical data and Table 2 depicts the clinical information of the datasets.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Datatype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Categorical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>Handedness (this item can be omitted as all are right-handed subjects)</td>
<td>Categorical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>years</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC</td>
<td>Education Years</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>Socio-economic status as assessed by the Hollings head Index of Social position and classified from 1 (highest rank) to 5 (lowest status)</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Clinical Information of OASIS Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>Mini-Mental State Examination Score; Range: 0 (Worst) to 30 (Best)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>Clinical Dementia Rating (0: No dementia; 0.5: Very Mild AD; 1: Mild AD; 2: Moderate AD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The TCA-RS-AD used data imputation, one-hot encoding, and normalization to prepare the dataset for training. One hot encoding is the most widely used and common technique and works very well unless there is a large number of the categorical attribute. This coding creates new (binary) columns, stating the existence of each possible value from the original data. The most commonly used coding system is one-hot coding. It compares every level to a specified reference point of the categorical variable. The coding with dichotomous values 0 and 1 is suitably used to code gender and hand as shown in Fig 1.

Fig 1: Illustration of One-Hot Encoding for Categorical Data

The dataset used in this work is a time-series data that provides insight into the perceivable changes useful for the early detection or prediction of any disorder or ailment. Table 3 shows the sample time series data in the OASIS dataset. The visit attribute helps to harness the information for AD classification based on the count of patients’ visits for diagnosis.

Table 3: Sample Time Series OASIS Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject ID</th>
<th>MRI ID</th>
<th>Visit</th>
<th>CDR</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>OAS2_0048_MR5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>OAS2_0048_MR4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>OAS2_0048_MR3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>OAS2_0048_MR2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>OAS2_0048_MR1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0007</td>
<td>OAS2_0007_MR4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0007</td>
<td>OAS2_0007_MR3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0007</td>
<td>OAS2_0007_MR2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS2_0007</td>
<td>OAS2_0007_MR2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very Mild AD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The schematic description of TCA-RS-AD is given in Fig 2, which explains the stages of computation for AD recommendation.

To compute group analysis for making recommendations on AD detection, the proposed method used groupby() function on the visit attribute thus performed collaborative filtering for collection of items. The resultant aggregation of data is illustrated in Table 4. This table showed the sample grouped data based on visit attribute on the OASIS dataset. The train/test split for DNN is then applied to such grouped data.
Algorithmic Description of TCA-RS-AD

**Input:** Clinical Data

**Output:** Classification and Recommendation on AD stages

**Begin**

**Phase I: Pre-Processing**

Step 1: Read the Clinical Data

Step 2: Preprocess Clinical Data using

A. Data Imputation

B. Transformation

C. Collaborative Filtering using groupby()

Step 3: Partition the dataset for training and testing

**Phase II: Training &Prediction**

Step 4: Train the Deep Neural Network model with hyperparameters tuning

Step 5: Test the model with the Test dataset

Step 8: Predict AD stages

Step 9: Measuring the model performance by calculating Confusion Matrix, Cohens Kappa, MCC, Hamming Loss, and Accuracy, Mean Absolute Error, MSE, BAS, Median Absolute Error in Training and Testing Data.

Step 10: Measure accuracy rating and error rating

Step 11: Make recommendations

**End**

**Table 4: Sample Time-series Data using groupby() Function**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visit</th>
<th>Subject ID</th>
<th>CDR</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAS2_0007</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OAS2_0007</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAS2_0058</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>OAS2_0007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>OAS2_0048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAS2_0017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Non Demented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAS2_0073</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Non Demented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAS2_0127</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very Mild AD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. NEURAL NETWORKS**

Neural Networks (NN) is an ML algorithm that is built on the concept of biological neural network and its functions. The NN consists of discrete elements called neurons. Fig 3 depicts the basic NN structure and its elements.
The input size of the first dense layer is 168. It is representing the number of one-hot encoded input features. Since all the inputs from the dataset are categorical, the one-hot encoding scheme was used to transform those inputs to numeric and vector type. The essential elements of a DNN are described herein under:

- **Dense Layer:** It is the input layer. The first dense layer is connected by weight to each output. So, there are \( n_{inputs} \times n_{output \ weights} \).
- **Activation Layer (ReLU):** A non-linear activation function, namely ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit), which performs non-linear operation follows the dense surface, as shown in Eqn.(1).

\[
f(x) = \max(0, x)
\]

The ReLU activation function performs non-linearity in the DNN model.

- **Dropout:** This layer is used to avoid overfitting in the DNN model. In this method, a dropout of 0.8 is used.
- **Fully-Connected Layer:** The final output layer is typically a fully connected neural network layer. The Dense layer, ReLU are repeated from a network with a known Deep neural network.

The proposed optimal DNN uses a fully connected layer with SoftMax, which is a non-linear activation function used for multiclass classification.

The model summary of the TCA-RS-AD is given in Table 5, wherein Layer type, Output Shape and Param# denote the functionality of the layer, dimension of the output and the number of trained parameters respectively.

**Table 5: Model Summary of the TCA-RS-AD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer Type</th>
<th>Output Shape</th>
<th>Param #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense_1 (Dense)</td>
<td>(None, 64)</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense_2 (Dense)</td>
<td>(None, 64)</td>
<td>4160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropout_1(Dropout)</td>
<td>(None, 64)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense_3 (Dense)</td>
<td>(None, 50)</td>
<td>3250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense_4 (Dense)</td>
<td>(None, 100)</td>
<td>5100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropout_2 (Dropout)</td>
<td>(None, 100)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense_5 (Dense)</td>
<td>(None, 4)</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Params:</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,682</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trainable Params:</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,682</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Trainable Params:</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The hyperparameters tuning is performed on the TCA-RS-AD model to improve performance accuracy. The hyperparameters used for tuning are listed in Table 6.

**Table 6: Hyperparameters for TCA-RS-AD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hyper Parameters</th>
<th>Default Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epochs</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batch Size</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimizer</td>
<td>Adam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Rate</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Moment</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Function</td>
<td>Categorical_Cross_entropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Moment</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. RESULT & PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The performance metrics used in this study are described in Table 7. The efficiency of classification is measured using Precision, Recall, F1-Score, Hamming Loss, Cohen's Kappa Coefficient, Matthews Correlation Coefficient (MCC), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Mean Square Error (MSE), Balanced Accuracy Score (BAS), Median Absolute Error (MdAE).

The below-mentioned metrics were applied to both the DNN model and DNN with a hyperparameter tuning model called TCA-RS-AD. The computational potential of DNN and TCA-RS-AD has experimented on the OASIS dataset and the obtained results are furnished in Table 8.

It is apparent from Table 8 that the DNN model produced the Support value for the Very Mild AD detection. Its performance was relatively moderate for Non-demented and Mild AD. It is also worth noting that this model has failed to handle a Moderate AD class. It could be observed that this model exhibits inconsistent Precision, Recall, F1-Score, and Support in classifying Mild and Moderate AD as well as the testing accuracy is low. These measures are graphically depicted in Fig 4. This disadvantage offers scope to modify the basic DNN into TCA-RS-AD, to obtain enhanced accuracy on all the classes of data. A support value of zero for Moderate AD indicates a shallow performance of the model. The classification accuracy of DNN on AD classification is not promising. As a part of improving the performance of the model, data imputation and hyperparameter tuning were applied to the OASIS data.

**Table 7: Description of Performance Metrics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Notational Definitions</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>( \frac{TP}{(TP + FP)} )</td>
<td>TP: True Positive, FP: False Positive</td>
<td>The measure of Correctness. High value denotes higher accuracy and vice-versa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>( \frac{TP}{(TP + FN)} )</td>
<td>FN: False Negative</td>
<td>The measure of prediction accuracy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8: Result & Performance Analysis of DNN and TCA-RS-AD Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1-Score</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNN</td>
<td>TCA-RS-AD</td>
<td>DNN</td>
<td>TCA-RS-AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Demented</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate AD</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Mild AD</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild AD</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification Model Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Avg</th>
<th>Weighted Avg</th>
<th>Accuracy (DNN): 0.8125 (81.25%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (TCA-RS-AD): 0.9642 (96.42%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**

- DNN and TCA-RS-AD are good for the Moderate AD classification, while DNN alone is excellent for both non-demented and Very Mild AD. DNN and TCA-RS-AD reveal very good performance for demented and non-demented classes when using the Recall and F1-Score metrics. Also, on the classification of Moderate AD, except TCA-RS-AD, these classifiers uniformly model scant or poor performance. It is evident TCA-RS-AD that consistently outperforms its counterparts, in the Mild AD, Very Mild AD, Moderate AD, Non-Demented while DNN and the performance of TCA-RS-AD are far below average. It can also be inferred from the results presented in Table 6 that TCA-RS-AD better than the rest of the classifiers. It
believed that the excellent performance of TCA-RS-AD may be attributed to the process of boosting in TCA-RS-AD. It minimizes over fitting and variance.

The prediction accuracy for Non-Demented and Very Mild AD has increased approximately by 8%. Moreover, its overall accuracy increased to 96.42% against that of DNN which was 81.25%. This performance augmentation of about 15% is attributed to the data imputation and fine-tuning of the hyperparameters. This improvement is endorsed by the Support values shown in Figure 5. The accuracy of the classification in both training and testing samples were recorded, by varying the epochs, batch sizes, and the optimizers.

These observations confirmed that the error rate is significantly minimized and accuracy is maximized in AD detection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Error Rate Metrics</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>MSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNN Model</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>1.0357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA-RS-AD Model</td>
<td>0.0893</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 6 shows the comparative analysis of the recorded error and accuracy metrics for both models. It clearly illustrates the superiority of TCA-RS-AD in AD detection over DNN.
Fig 6: Comparative analysis of Metrics for Error Rate & Accuracy

Based on the performance measures of TCA-RS-AD, the degree of recommendation on AD classification is given a 6-point scale [Excellent, High, Moderate, Low, Poor and Scant] and the respective performance mapping is given below:

- S: Scant performance (0–9%);
- P: Poor performance (10–29%);
- L: Low performance (30–49%);
- M: Moderate performance (50–69%);
- H: High performance (70–89%);
- E: Excellent performance (90–99%)

Table 10: Classifiers with their Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifiers</th>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1-Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MAD</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNN</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA-RS-AD</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD Stages
- VM: Very Mild AD; M: Mild AD; ND: Non-Demented; MAD: Moderate AD

Recommender Scale
- Excellent: E; High: H; Moderate: M; Low: L; Poor: P; Scant: S

Table 10 summarizes the performance rating of DNN and TCA-RS-AD for the three prominent metrics namely precision, recall and F1-Score, in terms of the 6-point Recommender scale. It is observed that the TCA-RS-AD exhibits its novelty and robustness in the prediction of very mild and Mild AD which is a vital factor for the early detection of AD. In all other classes except Moderate AD, the TCA-RS-AD is confirmed to recommend better than the basic DNN. These observations vouch for its merits and applicability over its counterpart. These inferences are pictorially depicted in Fig 7, for precision, recall, and F1-Score.

Fig 8 revealed the overall rating comparison of Error ratings for DNN and TCA-RS-AD models. This showed that the error rating of TCA-RS-AD is highest when compared to the DNN model.

From the above results, it is recommended that the proposed TCA-RS-AD Model works better for the detection of AD.

VI. CONCLUSION

The Temporal Change Analysis based Recommender System for Alzheimer Disease Classification (TCA-RS-AD) works on the principle of deep learning. This intelligent model exhibits its potential in the classification of Alzheimer's disease and provides a recommendation on a 6-point scale using the accuracy of classification. The performance of this system is exceptionally good in the time-series based early-stage prediction of AD, as evidenced by its results. The results of this model may serve as a supplementary tool for AD detection for clinical trials. This model is designed to be computationally light and hence it can be effortlessly deployed in edge devices.
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![Comparison of Error Ratings for DNN and TCA-RS-AD](image)

**Fig 7: Accuracy Rating for DNN and TCA-RS-AD**

![Rating of Accuracy](image)

**Fig 8: comparison of Error ratings for DNN and TCA-RS-AD models**
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