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Abstract: Software Engineering (SE) technologies are emerging day by day and seeking ABPR (Agile Business Process Reengineering) for Software Engineering Management (SEM) frameworks in software development organizations. BPR can enable organizational capabilities to initiate and implement critical change in execution. Under the roof of agile and on the base of empiricism, the Scrum has been proven itself as an ABPR approach for software engineering management in the software development organizations, across the world, by improving productivity, self-organization and collaboration for standard software development processes. Scrum has been leading software project development practices using its own characteristics: Artifacts, Pillars, Values, Events and Roles. But still software project development organizations are facing some issues with their software project development and management processes, like no documentation policy which results into inaccurate estimation, internal states of each work item flowing through the Scrum Board, Sprint tracker and prediction towards goal achievement which can be considered as challenges for Scrum due its limitations as well as Scrum does not allow, any alteration in its rules. Such issues have raised a question against implementation of Scrum and have opened the door for the next level of research to answer the question, how to overcome the limitations of Scrum. Kanban can provide the solution to some of these issues but it can’t provide complete SEM solutions to software Development Organizations. The aim of this research study is empirical analysis about how the formation of hybrid framework Scrumban as an integration of Scrum with Kanban, can resolve challenges of Scrum; using literature reviews, case study reviews, and research surveys; and this research has proposed a conceptual customized Scrumban framework, by keeping Kanban in the center of customization under the bound of Scrum rules. This research also concludes limitations of Scrumban, like project documentation, planning, large scaled project, distributed environment, team capabilities etc.; as each method has its own limitations.


I. INTRODUCTION

Scrumban is an agile integration of Scrum and Kanban frameworks under the shelter of agile manifesto principles and on the base of empiricism. Software development methodologies are seeking Agile Business Process Reengineering (ABPR) by aligning customer values in the center of all the practices and the framework policies should be flexible, which can support, according to changing needs and expectations of customer [15]. With the intention of revolution in Traditional Software Development practices, due to their limitations [36], the incremental and iterative software development approaches were introduced in 1990, called Agile Methodology (AM) and later on integrated with the principles of Agile Manifesto [10] with the help of Agile methodologist, in 2001. The concepts of Agile Manifesto are very simple and easy to understand but very complex to implement and execute them in real world. Various Agile methodologies are in existence nowadays and they are all having different characteristics which can be applied on various types of project development requirement. Scrum and Kanban are most adopted agile based frameworks, amongst all, in the software development domains. But each method has their own limitations and the similar phenomenon is applicable on Scrum and Kanban also. Scrum is very popular amongst all Agile based frameworks, in between professional agile practitioners across the world [13], [14]. But Scrum does not specify explicit policies about workflow management and how the workflow item will pass through each state which can enable visualization of internal workflow. Kanban [1] is built for the workflow management by visualizing each state of work item flowing passing through its life cycle, which can enable explicit transparency using Kanban board. Agile practitioners have been looking for a common methodology which can be applied on the development areas, to fulfill their purposes, to achieve desired goal. Since a decade so many experiments were performed to improve the capabilities of Software Engineering Management (SEM), by focusing product quality, customer values, team collaboration [37] [38] as a goal of productivity enhancement. With the belief that Scrumban can be more effective and beneficial for professional software practitioners of Scrum and Kanban, the community of Scrum and Kanban leaders have conceptualized and convinced for the formation of Scrumban by combining Scrum and Kanban with the aim of process transparency and productivity improvement for software product development.
Scruban derives some set of useful practices from Scrum and Kanban; and constitutes a robust framework that revolves agile transformations to address unpredictable problems also. Scrum has capabilities to address complex challenges; while Kanban is very simple and not rigid and can be fit with the Scrum rule and regulations [20]. Kanban has strength to address some of the rigid issues of Scrum. And these are main reasons behind selecting combination of Scrum and Kanban, amongst all agile frameworks. Now it’s primary concern for this research that how to select features and services from Scrum and Kanban which builds robust Scrumban framework. Adoption and diversification from existing practices to the proposed structure leads towards ABPR (Agile Business Process Reengineering) for a software development organizations. Process Life Cycle Framework (PLCF) [29] is a structural way for imitating agile transformation in software development practices. Along with this, technologies are emerging in the software development industries with the evolution of AI (Artificial Intelligence) [17, [45] and ML (Machine Learning) [22] technologies. Hence their contribution to Software Engineering Management should be considerable with the ABPR by software development organizations. Scrum is very suitable for software product development while Kanban is suitable for information and work flow management for regular production industries but still flow based approach of Kanban is more convenient than time boxed approach of Scrum [27], as procedural system flow reduces software failure by highlighting issues immediately [55]. The combination of Scrum and Lean based Kanban has been found very effective in Software Engineering Management [31].

II. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Formation of Scrumban as an Agile Business Process Reengineering (ABPR) using empirical analysis of combination of Scrum and Kanban to overcome the challenges of Scrum is the main goal of this research study. Following are primary objectives of the study:

- Formation of Scrumban by integrating Scrum and Kanban as an ABPR approach.
- An empirical analysis about why Scrumban is essential as an alternate of Scrum and Kanban.
- A proposed concept about how Scrumban can overcome challenges of Scrum.
- Conceptual vision for customized Scrumban approach for the Software Engineering Management (SEM), towards resolution of limitations of Scrumban.

Selection of optimal practices of Scrum and Kanban, which will help in achieving desired result in the form of hybrid framework and its limitations, is the aim of this research.

III. SCRUM

Scrum is designed to maximize capability of team towards productivity and quality [41] improvement. Takeuchi and Nonaka [21] announced the word Scrum for the first time in 1986. Ken and Jeff [16] integrated Scrum with Agile approaches in 1993, to form the standard Agile Software Engineering Management (ASEM) framework, using iterative and incremental approach. From the strategy of the game of Rugby, they defined the core ideology of Scrum. Scrum has been proven as fascinating [13] among all agile approaches, for Software Engineering Management. Scrum is built under the roof of Agile principles and on the empiricism control theory, which defines key characteristic of Scrum like Artifacts, Values, Pillars [39], Roles, and Events. Scrum is an iterative and incremental agile approach [25] based on process control theory [26] using flexible length (duration) of project from a week to a month with the goal of achievement of DoD as result of Sprint.

Figure 1 represents the flow of Scrum along with some of its artifacts. Product owner manages product backlog items and refinement. Sprint consists of four core events: Sprint Planning, Daily Scrum, Sprint Review, and Sprint Retrospective. Product Backlog Items (PBI) are pulled into Sprint Backlog (SB) during the Sprint Planning and then undertaken for development by the development team members and they discuss about updates during Daily Scrum meetings. Scrum Master takes care of impediments if any occurs during the Sprint. Developed backlog items are inspected during the Sprint Review by Scrum Team and external stakeholders. Work items that are meeting with DoD (Definition of Done) is considered for release as a product increment. Sprint cycles continue until the end of product development.
IV. KANBAN

Kanban is designed for transparent workflow management by visualizing current state of each work item for the objective of scheduling system for lean and just-in-time manufacturing at Toyota by Taiichi Ohno \(^{19}\) with the goal of limit WIP (Work-in-Progress). Kanban is derived from Lean manufacturing practices, by eliciting unnecessary processes to reduce the waste of time \(^{32}\). Work items are presented as a card on the Kanban board and visible to everyone in organization, to enable transparency about each work item and its state. Figure 2 represents the flow of Kanban, along with the visualization of work flow management for the state of each work item. Product development requirement are converted into Product Backlog Items (PBI) with prioritization of each one of them. Work items are pulled into ‘To Do’ list as per capacity of development team. According to WIP limit, each developer takes only single work item into process. Completed items are moved to ‘Done’ list. Kanban supports CICD of product release.

![Kanban Work Item Flow](image)

**Fig. 2. Kanban Work Item Flow**

- To Do: Cards in ‘To Do’ category, represent work in pipeline for a specific team or developer of the development team.
- In Process: Cards in ‘In Process’ category, represent work in process by the team members. A development team member pulls a card from ‘To Do’ pipeline. A single development team member can be assigned or occupied only one card at a time in this category under WIP limit.
- Done: Card is moved to ‘Done’ category, once a card holder (development team member) completes all the tasks listed in it.

Kanban board visualizes various states of each work item during its transition and helps in measuring progress of development activities. Transparency about the transition of work items that helps in Work Item Management (WIM); by reducing lead item and improves productivity of development team.

![Kanban Board](image)

**Fig. 3. Combination of Scrum and Kanban**

Optimizing work flow is the main goal of Kanban, which can be achieved with the help of Kanban practices like, Limiting WIP (Work-in-Progress), Visualization, WFM (Workflow Management) and inspection of DoW (Definition of Workflow); and flow metrics WIP (Work In Progress), Cycle Time, WIA (Work Item Age) and Throughput.

V. SCRUMBAN

Scruban is mixture of Scrum and Kanban by combining agility of empiricism with transparent workflow management system. Figure 4 indicates basic mixture of Scrum and Kanban in the form of Scrumban.

**Core elements of Scrum that are used in the mixture:**
- Sprint: Sprint planning, review and retrospective
- Pull System: Pull workload into Sprint backlog
- Push System: Prioritization and team decision to push work item into Sprint Backlog.

**Core elements of Kanban that are used in the mixture:**
- WIP Limit: Explicit limit indicating how many work items should be in process at a time.
- Shorten Lead Time: Management and planning of lead time using JIT concept.
- Kaizen: Maximize improvement and minimize waste.
- CICD: Continuous Integration and Continuous Delivery for the release of completed work items.
- WIM: Work item management and its visualization during transition through each stage.
Scrumban = Scrum + Kanban

Fig. 4.Combination of Scrum and Kanban

Product backlog prioritization is common process for both Scrum and Kanban which has been inherited into Scrumban.

Fig. 5. Scrumban Board

Figure 4 represent a visualization of Scrumban board, along with state of sample work item (known as card in Kanban) for each story of Scrum Backlog (SB) and Sprint. Flow of work item, according to proposed Scrumban framework, will be follows:

- Initial requirement will be collected in the pool of Product Backlog (PB), in the form of User Stories.
- During the Sprint Planning meeting, User stories will be pulled into Scrum Backlog (SB) by the Scrum Team members.
- Development team members will generate a Kanban card for each story point by converting story point into list of tasks for them to be accomplished during the Sprint.
- Each team member will select only one user story in its ‘To Do’ state of Kanban board.
- Only one Kanban card will be go ‘In process’ state from the selected User Story a time.
- The Kanban card will be moved to ‘Done’ state, once the all the tasks listed in a card will be completed.
- If entire user story if moved to ‘Done’ state, it can be pushed to product increment of Sprint, as a part of CICD, if required.
- Sprint will end, if all the user stories of Sprint Backlog will be (completed) moved to Sprint Review.

Other work flows will be followed according Scrum rules and guideline [1], [2].

Fig. 6. Proposed Scrumban Flow

Figure 6 represents system flow for proposed Scrumban framework by adopting core elements and processes of Scrum and Kanban. Product development items are collected in the pool of Product Backlog, in the form user stories, where they go under refinement as often as the changes are requested by client or stake holders. Refinement process includes prioritization of user stories and each story is pulled into Scrum Backlog during Sprint Planning event according to priority. Role of Kanban begins from this stage in Scrumban. User stories should be converted into a Kanban cards in the Sprint Backlog by development team members. Each contains one or more technical task specification with accurate estimation for each task and passes through each state of work items.
Completed items that confirms DoW (Definition of Workflow), should be move to Scrum Review events where completed stories are inspected by Scrum team along with stakeholders. User stories confirms DoD (Definition of Done), will be considered for product release. Unlike Scrum, the Kanban system does not have time boxed events and that's why Scrumban borrows Sprint Planning, Daily Scrum and Sprint Review from the Scrum [28].

VI. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

The word ‘Empiricism’ asserts that knowledge comes from the experience and direct or indirect experience is evidence to truth and reliability. The result of this research is carried out by a qualitative empirical analysis of objectives of this research.

In 2011, Ikonen et al [34] argued that the Kanban motivates development team members by controlling project activities using Lean Agile thinking principles and it has great implication in gaining momentum in the field of software development. During the empirical investigation in 2012, Yilmaz et al [24] evaluated that Scrum and Kanban are most popular and widely used Agile based frameworks in the technology industries. Nikitina et al [66] performed a case study in 2012, on transition of Scrum to Scrumban. They evaluated two pivotal parameters, which should be considered by the organizations are, CICD establishment and well trained resources, can improve processes and sustainable result. In 2012, Terlecka [47] implemented Scrumban in the system maintenance project with the team of system administrators which improved the result of the project, after unsuccessful attempts with first Scrum only and then after Kanban. Ahmad et al [4] suggested in 2014, that combination of agile based model with traditional SDLC can resolve Scrum limitations and improve quality of the product. Bougroun et al [33] proposed an integration of Scrum, Kanban and XP in 2014 with the aim of comprising such software development methodologies into CMMi to improve cost and budget optimization and they gained 58% software product quality improvements. In 2016, Alqudah and Razali [18] suggested that scaled Agile methodologies are essential for the large scaled software project development where large team with requirement specific expertise are essential to overcome the limitations [45] of existing agile methodologies like XP, DSDM, Scrum and Kanban. Yilmaz and O’Connor [24] performed a cross section survey in technical research and development organizations for the gamification project, as part of their empirical case study in 2016, to get an opinion of technology experts about adoption of a hybrid software development methodology - Scrumban and they carried out as a result that Scrumban helps in improving productivity of individual and organization. Salah et al [9] recommended a hybrid form of agile based frameworks in 2017, to overcome the challenges with Scrum like budget estimation, project delivery and goal achievement. In 2017, Ashraf and Aftab [7] proposed with insightful understanding that the Scrum requires to be plugged in with different Agile models to enhance the productivity and quality of the product. Hanslo and Mnkandla [6] performed narrative reviews in 2017, on Scrumban is derived from the characteristics of Scrum and Kanban. Each and every methods have their own pros and cons. Along with strengths, the limitations of both methodologies are also inherited into Scrumban that are represented in Table I. Table I represent the result of this research by indicating Scrumban limitation parameters and the support of Kanban in the formation of Scrumban. It shows limitations of Kanban and Scrumban against the parameters Scrumban challenges [3]. The keyword ‘Yes’ (limitation) and ‘No’ (strength) indicates agreement with limitation or strength for the mentioned challenging parameter, while ‘NA’ represents that challenging parameter is not applicable or there no specification for particular framework.

VII. RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Scrumban helps in improving agile practices of Software Engineering Management by overcoming following limitations of Scrum with the help of Kanban.

• JIT: Scrum has no lead time management specification, which can be implemented with the help of JIT, a concept of Kanban; to reduce lead time for all activities and improvement productivity.
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- WFM (Work Flow Management): Scruban allows internal workflow management by assigning state (like ‘To-Do’, ‘In-Progress’ and ‘Done’) to each work item. Scrum does not have internal work flow management system within the Sprint.
- Work in Process: Scrum does not provide any specification about visualization of work items which are active now and in process.
- WIP Limit: Scrum specifies WIP control mechanism at Sprint Backlog level but there is no specification about WIP at any time for the developer. Scrumban restrict overload of work item by implementing WIP limit in Kanban board on each work item.
- CICD: Iterative approach Scrum does not support continuous integration of development activities and continuous deployment of releasable features, which can be implemented with the help of Kanban.

### Table 1: Limitations of Scrum and support of Kanban in formation of Scruban

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Scrum Limitation Description</th>
<th>Scrum</th>
<th>Kanban</th>
<th>Scrumban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Just-In-Time</td>
<td>No lead time management.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Stakeholder</td>
<td>Restricted involvement of external stakeholders as a team member.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Size</td>
<td>Restricted team size.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Limited roles in a team</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Tracker</td>
<td>Progress view at project level.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFM</td>
<td>No workflow management within the Sprint.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work In Process</td>
<td>No vision about internal state of work items.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIP Limit</td>
<td>Explicit limit indicating work items in process at a time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Vision</td>
<td>Unclear product vision.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation</td>
<td>Full product development estimation is not feasible.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed Environment</td>
<td>Collaboration issue in distributed environment.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill and Expertise</td>
<td>Unavailability of specific skilled resource.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation</td>
<td>No or minimum documentation.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CICD</td>
<td>Limitation of Iterative approach</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Weaknesses of Scruban

Kanban has improved strengths of Scrum in the form of Scrumback but still there are some limitations of Kanban against Scrum rules, which are ultimately weaknesses of Scrumback, represented as follows:

- **Team Size**: Scrum limits size of team members between 3-9 for a single Scrum team which is the best phenomena for small or mid-size of project. But it limits progress for large scaled project and Kanban has no specifications about team size.
- **External Stakeholder**: Scrum restricts direct involvement of external stakeholders into Sprint, which is direct indicator of issue with progress of Sprint and its DoD. Kanban has no specification about involvement of external stakeholders.
- **Role**: Scrum limits role of team members between Product Owner, Scrum Master and Development Team Member. Task specific roles are essential within development team members and it defines their involvement into team. Kanban has no specification about roles of team members.
- **Skill and Expertise**: According to Scrum, development team is cross functional team and they have all the expertise to accomplish required goal, during the Sprint. But in real world situation, development team members are dependent on skilled experts to complete their tasks.

### C. Recommendations

The result of this research highly recommends Scrumback for the software development and management practices, compared to Scrum or Kanban as the ideology of Scrumback is formed by the strengths of Scrum and Kanban both. The result also represents that Scrumback inherits some of the limitations of Scrum, which needs further investigation and recommends that software development organization should consider the challenges of Scrumback, prior to adopting it as a standard Software Engineering Management (SEM) for their software development practices. Krunal et al have proposed Scrumbanfall as an Agile Business Process Reengineering to overcome, some of the limitations of Scrumback.
VIII. CONCLUSION

Software development organizations, those have adopted Scrum as a standard Agile framework and implemented in their software product development and management practices, are struggling with some of the challenges, (that we discovered in previous research [3]); are looking for the resolution of such issues and with the same goal, we have proposed Scrumban by integrating Scrum with Kanban. The result of this result concludes that Scrumban is an Agile Business Process Reengineering (ABPR) approach for the Scrumbanfall will be our next goal of research [8]. As a part of my academic affiliation with Indus University for the doctorate degree program in Computer Science and Engineering, through this research and needs to explore, the feasibility of integration of Scrumban as an hybrid SDLC framework called Scrumbanfall [8], as an attempt to resolve some of the limitations of Scrumban like complete estimation and documentation of the project without altering its base rules.

IX. FUTURE ENHANCEMENT

Scrumban can overcome some of the limitations of the Scrum with the help of Kanban. But still there are some issues, which we highlighted in previous research [3] but could not be covered all of them in this research and needs to explore, the feasibility of integration of Scrumban with most popular traditional framework Waterfall as an hybrid SDLC framework called Scrumbanfall [8], an attempt to resolve some of the limitations of Scrumban like complete estimation and documentation of the project without altering its base rules.
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