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Abstract: The developments in the field of computer architecture, it allows humans to play games like Chess, Tic-tac-toe, Go, etc. with computer machines using AI technology. In AI, Game tree search (GTS) is an important approach and is directed toward finding the finest choice of move for computer games. Using the traditional GTS algorithm the computer could not win a human. So there is a need for enhancing algorithms using dynamic parallelism of GPU. The block of thread set is organized on GPU is either one or two or three dimensional for parallel computations. On this, each thread designated by an inimitable mixture of indices. In this paper, parallel computing of node-based Principal Variation Search (PVS) GTS algorithm presented, which runs on GPU using libraries of CUDA. The experiment tested on chess games with different depths and results are compared with the threads on CPU and GPU. The results proved that GPU improves the performance of speed up to 80 percent on the checkers game. Parallel computing greatly increasing the efficient use of CPU and improves the performances of the PVS-GTS algorithm on GPU to search deeper layers and find the optimal moves for the current players for two-player computer games.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The solution for to find the optimal and finest move strategy for games played by computers using the method of AI (Artificial Intelligence) is called Game tree search (GTS). In-game theory, a game tree is a directed graph [1] in which post-ions are nodes represents different states, edges are choices of moves players’ current game choices. The principle of the GTS method is, aggressively stimulate the structure of tree from the current existing node called position, traversing the GST, assess all feasible edges called moves and encounter the finest edge [2]. GTS performs two primary functions. One, the search function of GTS mainly targets on traversing the game tree to asset the finest way to move. In this case, using historic knowledge and pruning, we can avoid irrelevant visiting on convinced positions. The second, node function consists of the evaluation function and moves generation function. It calculates scores and assigns for each node or position, the position with a high score value will be selected for the finest choice of the move by player. This computation of scores depends on rules for the respective game and may differ for different games [3].

In general complexity of the game can be measured by quite a few factors such as depth average, branching factor, time complexity and computation time.
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Different rules and implementations are differed from game to game but even have some level of complexity games i.e., calculation of essential nodes and moves. Table 1 list outs the depth, width and its time complexities of different two-player computer games. Solution for the current challenging problem, adopt gauge of parallel node based GST algorithm parallely on GPU. In this, our innovation will assign a collection of different sets of nodes from one as a choice of multiple sub-trees to different processors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Game</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Tree Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tic-tac-toe</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connect Four</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chess</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$10^{123}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connect6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46,00</td>
<td>$10^{40}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savannah</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>$10^{57}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arima</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>17,28</td>
<td>$10^{402}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0(19X19)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$10^{560}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The algorithms like Negamax [4], PVS [5], YBWC [6] or DTS where numerous nodes run parallely on both CPU along with GPU for two player computer games like tic-tac-toe, etc.

Launching and implementing of the design of gauging parallely for this purpose on processor (CPU) using the “Programming Language C” and execute correctly and it is converted to code of GPU using “Compute Unified Device Architecture (CUDA)” [2]. As a first step ‘C’ processor process the code, sends the signal the kernel with current position of board which used for the current game, then the kernel call contemporary kernel with a dynamic number of threads and these are handled all at once in the GPU using dynamic parallelism. And also its eliminates renege to CPU and call contemporary kernel on GPU shown in Figure 1 Algorithm execution on GPU [7].

II. PARALLEL NODE BASED GST ALGORITHM

We present the parallel computing of nodes based PVS game tree searching algorithm, advantages of our approach. Principal Variation Search (PVS) [8] is a truthful adequate parallel GTS algorithm on GPU. The basic idea of our work intend take enormous nodes through GPU and calculates leaves and branches concurrently and explained the process of these two GPU based functions in the Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2. By making a list of all positions of a game (pl) as input to GPU from CPU and returns candidates move for the branch calculation, returns an evaluated value (i.e. parameter V) for leaf calculation.
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Algorithm 1. Leaf calculation

Input: List of node positions in game tree (pl)
Output: Leaf(node) list VL
1: Obtain current thread id
2: According to the current thread id, position list (pl) revise the position of \( P_{id} \)
3: for every set of point \( p \) in position \( P_{id} \) do
4: Observe the shapes about \( p \) as stated in the game rule
5: Follow through the output for the outline
6: Increase the weight for \( p \)
7: end-for
8: summation of assessed values, assign assessed value to list VL
9: return value-list

Algorithm 2. Branch calculation

Input: List of positions in game tree( pl )
Output: Moves(edges) list ML
1: Obtain current thread id
2: According to the current thread id, position list (pl) revise the position of \( P_{id} \)
3: for every set of point \( p \) in position \( P_{id} \) do
4: Observe the shapes about \( p \) as stated in the game rule
5: Follow through the output for the outline
6: Increase the weigh for \( p \)
7: end-for
8: pick up the respected changes as move-list(ML)
9: return move-list

The specific implementation of parallel computing PVS node based GST algorithm is as follows:

Algorithm 3. Parallel computing of PVS node based GST

III. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

In our algorithm the depth and width of Game tree is and , by considering all the iterations one by one individually and it is necessary to calculate l and b (leaves and branches)’cooperatively in parallel assets the moderate execution time of l and b’s respectively. The total time taken by our algorithm...
\[ T_{GPU} = t_0 + (m_l \times t_{GPU}(l)) + (m_b \times t_{GPU}(b)) \]  

where \( t_0 \) represents the beyond of the parallel search algorithm including maintenance of ‘Game tree’ \( T \), as well as \( m_b \) acts variables represents the number of times of loops calculating leaves and branches separately and having relationship among them is

\[ m_l \times l + m_b \times b \approx N_{GPU} \]  

here \( N_{GPU} \) represents the overall estimate of leaves calculated by the proposed algorithm. As GST \( T \), we have

\[ m_l \times l \approx m_b \times b \times w \]  

which suggests the furthermost of nodes calculated in the proposed algorithm are leaves. Taken away the above specified equations we Obtain

\[ m_b \approx \frac{N_{GPU}}{(1+w)b} \]  

(4)

\[ m_l \approx \frac{N_{GPU}}{(1+w)l/w} \]  

(5)

Substituting (4) & (5) equations in to (1) we have

\[ T_{GPU} = t_0 + \frac{N_{GPU}}{(1+w)l/w} \times t_{GPU}(l) + \frac{N_{GPU}}{(1+w)b} \times t_{GPU}(b) \]  

(6)

The time taken by GPU for executing our algorithm can be expressed in equation (6). In fact \( N_{GPU} \) holds the value

\[ N_{GPU} \leq N_{total} \]  

(7)

where the overall number of nodes in game tree \( T \) is \( N_{total} \). That is

\[ N_{total} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} w^i = \frac{w^{d+1}-1}{w-1} \]  

(8)

Now need to analyze different cases of \( N_{total} \) to check the performance of our proposed algorithm. At the same time it is difficult to achieve the correct and formal equation of \( N_{GPU} \).

IV. EXPERIMENT ANALYSIS

The above experiment implemented and executed on a two-player computer chess game, results are attained. Besides the comparison of our proposed algorithm with all other algorithms by using the same evaluation function. Even our algorithm also gives the worst results when compare with other algorithms when the search depth is too small and also tests were made for large depth search. The experiment was done on the computer which has the configuration setup as follows:

- Intel(R) Core™ i7-8750H CPU @ 2.20GHz 2.21GHz with six ‘physical cores’ and twelve ‘logical cores’.

- 16GB Random Access Memory(RAM).
- “NVIDIA GeForce GTX” 1050 Ti.

The earlier implementation of ‘NegaMax’ algorithm[9] on GPU with single-core and twelve-core CPU threads results were compared with our PVS-GTS algorithm and results are verified with different depth size searches[10]. This was compared and shown the results in the following figures. Figure 2 Results of chess game on GPU shows the results of the chess game speedup vs level of depth, where the level of depth values on the x-axis, and the y-axis denotes the speedup and Figure 3 computation/communication ratio on GPU shows the computation and communication percentage on GPU versus increases in speedup.

V. CONCLUSION

For the framework of the two-player computer game, this paper proposed the PVS algorithm, its optimization with parallel computing of the node-based PVS GTS algorithm. This method can be tested by applying it for the chess game. By using both CPU and GPU architecture, this algorithm takes advantage of dynamic parallelism of GPU to calculate best that gives the optimization solution for two-player computer games.
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