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Abstract—Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) is an exceptionally perilous attack with a specific target and purpose. It consists of various complex and devious techniques in order to be able to obtain a highly secured trade secret, sensitive information. Currently, the APT attack is tremendously difficult to deal with because of its unique design for each target, which makes prior experiences and rules less accurate in detecting APT attacks. In addition, the APT detection method also must not rely on any single procedures or solutions but to include several phases and technologies. On the other hand, correlation analysis technique is a mathematic one which figures how separate elements affect each other and produces conclusion based on multiple factors mutual properties. Hence, in this report, correlation analysis technique is proposed by the authors.
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I. INTRODUCTION

According to the APT attack characteristics, typical steps and lifecycle which is presented in [1] and [2], the method has specific target and purpose. Any organizations, individuals, businesses or government agencies, the government can be the victim of this technique. It’s showed in [3] that APT attack is significantly harder to detect than any other threat due to its unique traits in an attack scenario.

For the most part, the lack of public data is the biggest obstruction in detecting an APT attack is. Especially, its victims rarely reveal any details or acknowledge themselves as victims of APT attacks.

APT attacks are sophisticated even for new attack methods. However, they are divided into four main phases [1]: reconnaissance, privilege escalation; information extraction and delete traces.

Hence, the necessity of correlation analysis technique in detecting APT attacks is unquestionable. This technique requires examination of different phases of the attack in order to make up for attack data inadequacy and improve the chance to detect APT attacks.

II. RELATED WORKS INTRODUCTION TO CORRELATION ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE

The correlation analysis techniques to APT attack are defined in the report [4] as follows: “One method to reduce the number of false positives for bot detection is to require several correlated events before raising an alert. This allows the system to use events that by themselves have a high false-positive rate. However, by requiring multiple events the system is able to filter out the most false positives. The events may be correlated for a single host or for a group of hosts. The advantage of using correlations to detects bots is that there are fewer false positives compared to using just the individual events. At the same time, this can be a disadvantage because stealthy bots, which generate just one or two events, may not be detected”.

Moreover, the article [5] states a fact about this technique: “Utilize statistical and correlation methods to analyze the latest trends in malware. This is the key that ties all of the other methods together since it meshes rule sets, log examination, and data exfiltration monitoring. Correlation methods are used to examine whatever alerts are currently configured and to look for relationships between each alert that is triggered. These relationships can be with regard to the type of alert, port number or any other type of selector configured by the security analyst. Statistical methods do not rely on prior knowledge of an attack vector, but rather on the time and frequency of a set of alerts”.

According to the authors, the correlation analysis technique for APT attack detection is a mathematics technique to determine the relationship between the discrete elements in the system so as to conclude whether there is or isn’t an APT attack on the system. In short, applying correlation analysis techniques to APT attack detection systems is an increase in its accuracy.

For example, identify existence of APT payload in the system rely only on abnormal events from DNS logs, Network traffic or Web logs is arduous. On the other hand, the combination of irregularities on DNS logs or Network traffic or Web logs makes a more certain conclusion about the compromise of the system.

There are several methods to analyze mutual connection between events in the system for detecting APT attacks. In this paper, we propose building models of APT attack detection based on correlation analysis of the Domain Name System (DNS) and Network Traffic.
III. SOME CORRELATION ANALYSIS MODELS

In correlation analysis approach to uncover an APT attack, there are two methodologies:

- **Parallel methodology**
  The parallel methodology is to use different algorithms to analyze and detect attacks from the input. First, input data are DNS, Network traffic logs or Weblog. Then, the results are yielded by all algorithms. After that, a conclusion is returned by the correlation algorithm [6, 7]. Additionally, the conclusion also shows any computers in the network are being attacked by APT if there are any [8]. Also, the paper [8] presented a correlation analysis based on statistic theory to find unusual attributes of the APT attack. Figure 1 model describes the parallel methodology for APT attack detection.

- **Synchronous methodology**
  The synchronous methodology is complex because it’s a combination of serial and parallel design. For one thing, data from multiple sources are analyzed by serial or parallel elements to detect attacks. Hence, this approach is suitable for a system doesn’t require results from every layer and each result is stricter than its prior. In fact, this tactic depends on abnormal events from different places and times instead of a single strange incident. In this situation, all results from every element are processed by a correlation algorithm in order to generate the final deduction [6, 7, 9, 10]. Figure 2 model describes the synchronous methodology for APT attack detection.

IV. PROPOSING APT ATTACK DETECTION MODEL USING CORRELATION ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE
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**Fig. 3. The process of detecting malicious domain based on Rules**
Undoubtedly, as shown in Figure 3, the synchronous approach is chosen among correlation analysis techniques by the authors to detect APT attacks. Particularly, machine learning algorithms are used in this technique to determine the mutual characteristics of DNS and network traffic. As a result, the wrong conclusions about the APT attack are eliminated. Thus, the precision of the detection system is greatly enhanced. To sum up, the implementation steps of this technique are the following:

- Step 1: DNS, Network Traffic and Weblogs collected from several servers are used selectively for input for APT attack detection module which utilizes correlation analysis. In which, DNS logs are examined by a malicious domain name detection module for harmful sites. For this purpose, rule-based analysis and behavior-based analysis are applied cooperatively by the authors.
- Step 2: Network traffic log is categorized into Flows. These flows are important information of the Network Traffic. They would be linked to the respective domain name by comparing the two lists.
- Step 3: List of malicious domains and list of network flows from step 1 and 2 are scrutinized and inspected in order to distinguish APT attack domains from other attack domains. For this problem, the authors suggest the following procedure to study relevant properties between DNS data and Flows data which aid us in concluding whether the system is under APT attack or not:
  ✓ Phase 1: Finding connections between malicious domains and the entirety of network flow. Afterward, records of malicious DNS and their corresponding flows are Phase 1’s result.
  ✓ Phase 2: Detecting APT flows. The prior phase’s records are analyzed so as to indicate APT scenario flows as well as other attack flows.

Additionally, in order to accomplish the said tasks, the APT attack detection model using correlation analysis requires the following components (see Figure 3):

- Data center: The data center stores data and provides information for monitoring and tracking network attacks. The data stored in the data center includes DNS logs, network traffic (Pcap); and all data has to be normalized and pre-processed. Information extracted from the data center is related to behaviors and attributes of attacks.
- Detection malicious domains: The malicious domain detection module sorts all domain in DNS logs into benign domains and malicious domains, using two main techniques: rules analysis and behavior analysis.
- APT Domain detection: The APT domain detection module provides methods, techniques, and algorithms to accurately tell malicious domains of an APT attack campaign apart from other attack scenario malicious domains

V. SEVERAL METHODS APPLIED IN CORRELATION ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE

As shown above, the APT attack detection model based on correlation analysis consists of two main modules: malicious domains detection and APT domains detection.

- Malicious domains detection

Malicious domain detection method has 2 stages:
- **Stage 1: Detecting abnormal domains based on the defined rules:** compare the input data with the set of known APT attack domains set of malicious domain and set of usual domains. Malicious domains detection module is demonstrated in Figure 4).
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**Fig. 4. The process of detecting malicious domain based on Rules**
Rule-based unknown domain detection: is a unknown domain detection technique based on the set of rules. Multiple open-source libraries, tools are available to compare domain search string, taken from network monitoring tools like Suricata, Snort, or Bro IDS. The message below is an example of a warning to detect C&C traffic in Suricata:

```
10/07/2017-19:39:54.925242 [**] [1:2021716:1] ET TROJAN Backdoor family PCRec42 Ghost CnC traffic (OUTBOUND) 102 [**] [Classification: A Network Trojan was detected] [Priority: 1] (TCP) 172.16.253.132:1163 -> 216.176.198.44:9494
```

Fig. 5. An example of detecting unknown domain-based rule sets with the Suricata tool

Unknown domain isn’t in neither Domains benign list nor malicious domains list, which is Stage 1 result.

Stage 2: Detect unknown domain with behavior analysis:
Machine learning algorithms are used to evaluate the behavior of the unknown domains obtained in the first phase. In this method, two main issues are concerned:

- **Attribute list**: Attribute list is a very important part of determining the abnormal behavior of unknown domains. Research on the detection of unknown malicious domains is various in approach and in using different attributes in order to identify unusual signs of the domains. Some common attributes are: lexical, link popularity, webpage content, DNS answers, DNS fluxiness, network features, [20]. In paper [10], the authors used 4 main groups of attributes are DNS request and answer-based features, Domain-based features, Time-based features, whois-based features with J48 decision tree algorithm to detect malicious domain.

In paper [8], the authors determining unknown domain by using these 3 attribute groups: Domain name lexical features, Ranking features, DNS query features.

**Machine learning algorithm**: machine-learning algorithms play an important role in labeling objects as normal or abnormal based on attributes and behavior. In this case, Decision Trees, Naïve Bayes Classification; Support Vector Machines (SVM); Random Forests; k-Nearest Neighbor [21] are machine learning algorithms that can detect malicious domains based on suspicious behavior. In a report [8] random forest algorithm is used as the clustering algorithm. In the paper [10], Global Abnormal Forest, KNN are featured.

---

**Table 1. Example of rules generation process from signatures database**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input data</th>
<th>rule obtained correspondingly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AttackName: Attack on Olympic Pyeongchang Domain: thlsystems.forfirst.cz IP: null File: null Port: null Protocol: ip CreatedDate: 08-06-2018 UpdatedDate: 10-06-2018 References: <a href="https://securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/malicious-document-targets-pyeongchang-olympics/">https://securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/malicious-document-targets-pyeongchang-olympics/</a></td>
<td>alert udp $HOME_NET any -&gt; any 53 (msg:&quot;test - Cuoc_tan_cong_vao_Olympic_Pyeongchang - DNS request for thlsystems.forfirst.cz&quot;; content:&quot;[01 00 00 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00]; depth:20; offset: 2; content:&quot;[0A]thlsystems[08]forfirst[02]c[2]z&quot;; flow:to_server; fast_pattern:only; nocase; classtype:trojan-activity; reference:url,<a href="https://securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/malicious-document-targets-pyeongchang-olympics/">https://securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/malicious-document-targets-pyeongchang-olympics/</a>; sid:15; rev:1;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AttackName: Attack on Olympic Pyeongchang Domain: thlsystems.forfirst.cz IP: null File: null Port: null Protocol: ip CreatedDate: 08-06-2018 UpdatedDate: 10-06-2018 References: <a href="https://securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/malicious-document-targets-pyeongchang-olympics/">https://securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/malicious-document-targets-pyeongchang-olympics/</a></td>
<td>alert udp $HOME_NET any -&gt; any 53 (msg:&quot;test - Cuoc_tan_cong_vao_Olympic_Pyeongchang - DNS request for thlsystems.forfirst.cz&quot;; content:&quot;[01 00 00 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00]; depth:20; offset: 2; content:&quot;[0A]thlsystems[08]forfirst[02]c[2]z&quot;; flow:to_server; fast_pattern:only; nocase; classtype:trojan-activity; reference:url,<a href="https://securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/malicious-document-targets-pyeongchang-olympics/">https://securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/malicious-document-targets-pyeongchang-olympics/</a>; sid:17; rev:1;)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APT Domain detection

APT domains are extracted from malicious domains list by following steps:

Step 1: In this step, network flows are taken out from the Network Traffic logs by open-source tools (Suricata, Bro IDS). Also, these flows are data stream in a session between 2 end-point devices. Additionally, a Flow’s initiated when a device sends a data package, it’s discontinued after a defined period of time without any another data package is sent. Recorded data is presented on paper [11, 12, 13, [22].

Step 2: Next, step 1 output is processed by Malicious Domain Detection module. After that, the processed result is monitored by Suricata or Bro IDS.

Step 3: At this stage, suspicious flows are monitored by open-source IDS (Suricata, Snort, etc.). Afterward, machine learning algorithms are used to distinguish between APT attack flows and other scenario flows. For this purpose, 2 properties are taken into account:

Flow behavior: Some abnormal behaviors are defined in the report [23]; Machine Learning algorithm: Decision Trees, Naïve Bayes Classification; SVM; Random Forests; k-Nearest Neighbor [21] is a notable algorithm to detect and categorize APT domains and other attack scenario domains. On the other hand, Deep Learning algorithm such as Neural Network is also viable [24].

The acceptable output of this module is to sort out APT attack domains from other malicious ones.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we presented in detail the key issues of APT attack detection model based on correlation analysis techniques including malicious domain detection process and APT domain detection. Accordingly, our APT attack detection system will include many different access layers to optimize the process of finding suspicious signs. Currently, the study and application of correlation analysis model in the problem of detecting APT attacks is an approach suitable to actual needs.
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