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Abstract: Database system (DB) is one of the most important courses in computer science and software engineering disciplines. This course demands expertise in problem-analysis and problem-solving skills. Teaching problem-analysis and problem-solving skills is not an easy job. However, visual or mind mapped teaching methods are found effective during teaching such skills. Hence, the objective of this study is to measure the impact of mind mapping, one of the visual, method in teaching and learning DB course. The empirical data are collected by using experimental research approach. Total 68 students of 4th semester participated in the experiment from DB course offered in computer science and software engineering disciplines. All the students were exposed to descriptive teaching method and mind-mapped teaching method on the course topics. Based on the results, the mind-mapped teaching method is found effective in teaching and learning DB course. Additionally, girl students appeared more effective in yielding positive results than boy students during mind mapped taught classes. Finally, apart from fewer limitations, this study recommends certain future guidelines for better understanding and development in the very topic. For instance, age and culture based mind-mapped analysis may be considered for computer science and software engineering major courses as a future research.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Database system (DB) is one of the most important courses in computer science and software engineering disciplines. This subject includes requirements collection, design, development and validation phases [1]. At each level, students are supposed to interlink the learned concepts. It can also be considered that the success or the failure of DB would be dependent on its phases [2]. For instance, design would create issues if the requirements are not gathered properly or development will surely have problems if the design is not well drawn [3]. Similarly, on the other hand, teaching database requires teachers and students to have a similar level of understanding at each phase. In other words, teaching DB requires to have a use of different teaching methods and strategies to ensure the student learning [4].

DB requires several skills for both learners and teachers. In terms of soft skills, DB course requires strong analytical and problem-solving skills to understand and find out the effective technological solution. It means that a DB student should be an innovative person in order to learn the concepts effectively. These required skills most often bring some challenges to teachers and students while learning the DB course. On the meantime, these difficulties or weaknesses in the DB subject may lead students 1) to gain less marks in the major courses and 2) to not be a good developer. It is said as several small mobile application development or web development applications are given to fresh graduates or developers. In most of the small or medium application development cases, clients do not hire independent DB developers. Hence, the developer is required to do both DB and programming jobs at the same time.

To analyze the problem correctly is a biggest challenge in software engineering courses [5]. One of the main causes of DB course learning difficulty is to learn the problem solutions from the abstractions. In simple words, teaching DB demands conversion of the problem’s abstraction to reality for effective development like programming. The effective way to convert abstractions into reality is visualization [6]. Several authors [7]–[9] have also established a fact that visual learning is more effective method of teaching than descriptive or narrative. Shehla et al., [4] have also used one of the famous visual teaching methods (i.e., mind mapping) to trend programming students. They observed better learning results in mind map teaching method than descriptive or narrative method. At teaching level, DB and programming can be considered in the same cup of teaching method as both require several similar skills. For instance, like DB, for learning and teaching programming someone need skills like analytical, problem-solving and innovation skills. Therefore, this study also setup the objective to see the impact of mind map method on the student learning in DB courses. This study also considers the gender based learning style. Because, several past studies have claimed that boys and girl students are never at the same page of learning [10]–[19].

The forthcoming section presents the related studies which has applied similar method to improve or compare the results. The section after the related work discusses the methods that are carried out in this study to extract the results.
Results are discussed in the section “Results and Discussion”. The last section concludes the results with certain future recommendations.

II. RELATED WORK

Human mind likes visual and graphical stuff. Information passed through images remains in the mind for a long time. Tony Buzan [20], founder of the mind mapping method, says that mind actually works with images and associations. In his book “How to Mind Map”, he explains that the Typed “orange” word does not appear in the mind if someone listen the word “orange”. In fact, orange image comes out in the mind. The author further explains that vitamin ‘C’ makes association with orange. Furthermore, the mind mapping process is divided into 4 main characteristics: The subject attention is crystallized in a central image, the main themes of the subject radiate from the central image as branches, branches comprise a key image or key word printed on an associated line, and the branches form a connected nodal structure [21]. The following Figure 1 summarizes the mind map of fruits with images and associations.

Fig. 1. Mind map of fruits [adopted from [20]].

Based on this mind processing way, several studies have been conducted on the mind mapping method to improve the performance of the mind related jobs. For instance, Lui et al., [21] performed experimental research approach to confirm the mind mapping effects on teaching and learning. Their initial comments are quite similar as in this study as they also started with the aim to check the impact of mind mapping effectiveness in teaching and learning. They studied experimental and quasi-experimental studies with twenty (20) menu items. In the results, they found that mind mapping has positive effect on teaching and learning. They further found that achievements, usage, country and subjects could bring a greater change in the results. In this study, we have also tried to see that how can DB subject teaching be influenced with mind mapping method. This study also adds gender variable for gaining detailed explanations.

Teaching programming is always a tough job for teachers. Several students considered programming is a toughest subject. This all can be mapped with the way someone teaches and learns the programming. Shehla et al., [4] conducted experimental study to see whether mind mapping method can improve the students learning or not. They used brainstorming and conceptualization tools to train students’ problem analyzing capability to make them able to design effective solutions. Their focus was text and block-based programming environments. Shehla’s study involved a good number sample of 160 students from 2 universities. At the end, their results confirm that programming, either text-based or block-based, is an easy course for teaching and learning if mind map method is followed. This study also considers that problem analyzing is a critical job for designing effective solutions in the DB systems. Therefore, we also hope that teaching through mind mapping method can improve DB students’ problem analyses approach for effective solutions.

III. METHOD

In order to measure the impact of mind mapping method, experimental approach is carried out in this study. Both teachers and students from department of Computer Science (CS) Sukkur IBA University were involved to perform the experiments. In summary, total 68 students from 2 sections (i.e., Section-A and Section-B from degree program i.e., CS and Software Engineering) were enrolled in the DB course in the Fall-2019 semester. The course was offered in the 4th semester of degree program. Following Table 1 shows the class distribution based on section and gender parameters.

Table- I: Students Distribution based on Section and Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section-A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section-B</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To initiate the experiments, the theory and lab teachers were trained with Tony Buzan mind mapping method’s guidelines. The teachers were given method against each topic to teach to both sections: descriptive-teaching method or mind-mapped teaching method. Only one thing was controlled that the method of topic was not descriptive to both sections at the same time. For instance, topic “Integrity Constraints” was taught with descriptive-teaching method to Section-A and with mind-mapped teaching method to Section-B. It was planned to control the threats to validity and also to measure whether the learning is by chance or by method change. Both sections were given almost equal opportunity to experience both methods. At the each topic end, students were given a class quiz to assess their learning. The quizzes were conducted right after the topic finished. It was planned to measure the classroom learning. The following Figure 2 presents the example of teaching material DB course through mind mapping presentation method. Appendix-A presents the methods against each topic followed in the both sections.
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are articulated and discussed into two segments: method based discussion and gender based discussion. The first segment basically covers the main part of the objective to see general impact of teaching and learning methods. However, the second segment is kept with the intention to see how the detailed implications occur on the results if the gender variable is involved. This can be considered the limitation of the study as it involves only gender variable to measure the diverting impacts of learning. But on the other hand, this way had helped us to ignite some useful future directions.

As mentioned earlier, the students were enrolled into two sections. Based on the descriptive results, students from both sections learnt better in mind mapping method (MM). The descriptive method (DM) students’ results are not as effective as in MM. The average difference between the both methods is 13% and above. The figure 3 displays the side-by-side comparison of both methods in the both students’ sections.

Table- II: Obtained results and difference against each topic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Topic Title</th>
<th>Descriptive Method</th>
<th>Mind mapping Method</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Introduction to DBMS</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Introduction to the Relational Model</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Relational Algebra</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Set Operations</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Database Design using E-R Model</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reducing E-R Diagrams to Relational Schemas</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Enhanced ER Design</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Relational Database Design</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Decomposition Using Multivalued Dependencies</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Semistructured-Dat a</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>The form of an XML Schema</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Transaction Management</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The remaining topics’ average results difference is 15% and above in the mind mapping method. Based on the vertical difference, at Sukkur IBA University, one thing is clearly claimed that students enjoy mind mapping teaching methodology more than descriptive methods.
In order to learn detailed results, as mentioned earlier, this study classified MM results based on the gender variable. In the general view, girl students performed better during MM learning classes. The Figure 4 below displays the result categorized based on gender variable.

![Gender based result distribution in Mind Mapping Method](image)

Figure 4. Gender based result distribution in Mind Mapping Method

The girl students obtained average 88% in the MM learnt classes. On the other hand, boys of the class managed 80% of average results. Though the difference is not much high in both types of students; but girls managed to obtain better results in 9 topics than boys. Lastly, only one-time boy group of students got higher average marks than girls. These results can be interpreted with the general cultural perception here in Pakistan that girls are good with images and visualization.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the results, this study has found certain factors to promote the mind map method for teaching database system course. The method is found interesting among computer science and software engineering students. The study participants obtained significantly better results during the mind mapped teaching method than the descriptive teaching method. This study is not free from several limitations but can be considered as a gentle startup. For instance, the study is based on the smaller sample size from only one country. It is recommended that the future studies may be carried out on the larger scale to design a designated method for the course. Moreover, this approach is not only suitable for database system course but may be explored and experienced in all the computer science and software engineering major courses. Similarly, this study involved gender based results differences and eventually that appeared to be a significant impacting variable. It is, therefore, age, culture and different class settings can also be research for making wider claims.
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APPENDIX-A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPICS</th>
<th>TOPIC TITLE</th>
<th>SECTION-A</th>
<th>SECTION-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Introduction to DBMS</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Introduction to the Relational Model</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Relational Algebra</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Set Operations</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Database Design using E-R Model</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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