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Abstract: Intrusion Detection is the practice of recognizing items or events that do not follow an expected behavior or do not coordinate with other normal items in the dataset. Network traffic is increasing identifiable event to growing use of the web services and smart devices. The NSL-KDD is widely utilized dataset in the analysis of Intrusion Detection over computer networks. The dataset contains high dimensional data and also the imbalanced class. Due to this kind of dataset the imbalanced classification problem arrives. To overcome the deficit of data instances in one particular class, create extra data samples on that minority class. Detection of network anomalies from high dimensional dataset is critical and taking too much of time to process, so it is carried out using bio inspired feature selection technique. In the proposed system, the synthetic minority over-sampling Technique is used, which is one kind of effective method to rectify the class imbalance problem. Then the bio-inspired based features selecting process is carried out using Modified FireFly Algorithm (MFFA) and the resultant optimized dataset is taken for further process. After the features selection, the obtained dataset is fed into tree based J48 algorithm for build the Intrusion Detection System and detect the normal and anomalies in the network. Then, the ensemble bagged J48 classification is performed to improve the prediction accuracy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In computer network Intrusion Detection System (IDS) is a significant component of information security systems. Invaders in the networks are endeavoring to entry unrecognized or unacknowledged resources in the network system. It is compulsory needed to observe regularly then analyses the all activities of the users in the network and the system behaviors. When changing the system configuration parameter, the behaviors of the systems are become unreliable. Hence the systems are to be kept with the regular monitoring of its behavioral patterns for both anomaly and also the normal activities. In real-time detection method, the IDS are categorized into two types such as Host based IDS (HIDS) and Network based IDS (NIDS). HIDS audits the internal activities of a network system where as Network based IDS audits the networks traffic logs and analyzes the feasible intrusions over the network. The IDS is classified into two categories such as misuse detection and anomaly detection. Misuse detections or signature based detections considers the premeditated set of behaviors or signatures to reveal known intrusions. In anomalies detection, it first builds a normal behavior profile then by using that profile it discloses unknown or unauthorized connections by checking whether the system state diverges to its well-established known common activity. In this proposed system data mining techniques are used to detect all kinds of intrusions in the network. In Network Intrusion Detection System, the network attacks are grouped as Denial Of Service (DOS), Probe, User To Root (U2R) and Remote To Local (R2L) [11].

- In DOS, the attackers don't assent appropriate user's approach over the network resources and overloads them. So in real time the authorized user's request is not completed correctly. When this attack is occurs, the resources over the network are becomes as inaccessibility state to its designated user.
- Probe attack gathers the detailed information around the network resource and analyzes the known vulnerabilities over the network to detect the inadequacy that may occur in order to compromising the systems.
- In U2R, an unaccounted user of this group can escape the security reign and access network using common user account that exploited some enervate as an attempt the system to achieve like root user's privileges.
- R2L attacker can send a particular packet to a particular machine over a network without knowing the account of that machine and tries to get the privileges, but it does not having a proper network account [1][3].

In this proposed system, the first and important challenge is the minority class that normally has insufficient data. One kind of approach for manipulating imbalance data is to create additional samples from minority classes and trounce its dearth of data. The Synthetic Minority over-sampling Technique is one of the effective technologies in this present situation and attains the extra sample creation to solve the class imbalance problem. It is based upon generating data samples on the lines pairing a point and one its K-nearest neighbors [2].
Second challenge is to discover appropriate features by using proposed Modified FireFly Algorithm (MFFA) based optimization technique from the NSL-KDD and using that resultant dataset and enhances the optimal model using data mining techniques to recognize the various types of attacks and also the normal samples. This proposed work is arranged in the following approach: In section 2 the literature survey is described, Section 3 defines the description of NSL-KDD dataset and Section 4 explains the techniques used for class imbalanced problem, MFFA based feature selection, J48 machine learning technique and also the ensemble bagging technique. The section 5 describes the results and its discussion. In section 6 the outcomes are summarized and concluded [3][12].

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Arunkumar D describes the several methods involved in the IDS and in which way they operate when an attack occurred [1]. Dina Elreedy et al. present a theoretical and experimental analysis of the SMOTE method and explore the accuracy of how faithful it emulates the underlying density. This is the one of the mathematical analysis of the SMOTE method. Moreover, they analyze the effect of the different factors on generation accuracy, such as the dimension, size of the training set and the considered number of K neighbors [2]. Mageswary G, Karthikeyan M analyzed the features in NSL-KDD dataset using statistical based features selection techniques such as Pearson’s Correlation, Chi-square, Gain ratio and Symmetrical uncertainty and generates four modified datasets. By using that datasets the tree based Intrusion Detection models are built using J48, REP Tree and simple CART algorithms. To acquire better performance the algorithms are combined using ensemble method and built perfect Intrusion Detection System [3]. Bhagat Singh Raghuvanshi et al. analyze the minority oversampling problem, to solve that they uses synthetic minority oversampling technique (SMOTE). It increases the significance of the minority class samples for determining the decision region of the classifiers [5]. Wathiq Laftah Al-Yaseen a wrapper described the feature selection method that is based on firefly algorithm and support vector machine. The firefly optimization algorithm has been effectively employed in diverse combinatorial problems. To improve the performance of intrusion detection by removing the irrelevant features and reduces the time of classification by reducing the dimension of data.[9] Neeraj Bhargava et al. described about decision tree analysis using j48 algorithm and discuss about the idea of multivariate decision tree with process of classify instance by using more than one attribute at each internal node [10]. Selvakumar B, Muneeswaran K analyzed about filter and wrapper based method with firefly algorithm in the wrapper for selecting the features. The resulting features are subjected to C4.5 and Bayesian Networks (BN) based classifier with KDD CUP 99[12].

III. DATASER DESCRIPTION

The NSL-KDD is a refined version of benchmark KDD Cup 99 dataset which affects from enormous amount of unnecessary record. The NSL-KDD dataset attacks are grouped into one of the following four categories: DOS, R2L, U2R and Probe. There are 42 features in every instance. The last class attribute identifies that the connection is normal behavior or attacks category. The Table 1 displays the feature names that are present in the NSL-KDD dataset. It has the following benefits when compared to KDD dataset.

1. “Unnecessary records are removed to permit the classifiers to produce fair results.”
2. “A sufficient set of records are accessible by train and also testing dataset, which is sensible and enables to perform tests on the entire set.”
3. “From each solid level group, the number of specific record sets is conversely genealogical to the records percentages in the original KDD dataset.”

There are 41 features assigned to detect the various attacks or normal activity in the network traffic. The last feature specifies the pattern, either as Normal or Anomaly such as, Denial Of Service (DOS), Probe, User To Root (U2R) and Remote To Local (R2L)[3][4][11]. Table 1 Features in NSL-KDD. Figure I show the structure of the proposed system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F#</th>
<th>Features name</th>
<th>F#</th>
<th>Features name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f1</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>f22</td>
<td>Is_guest_login</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f2</td>
<td>Protocol type</td>
<td>f23</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f3</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>f24</td>
<td>Srv_count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f4</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td>f25</td>
<td>Srv瑟rerror_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f5</td>
<td>Src_bytes</td>
<td>f26</td>
<td>Srv瑟rerror_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f6</td>
<td>Dst_bytes</td>
<td>f27</td>
<td>R瑟rerror_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f7</td>
<td>Land</td>
<td>f28</td>
<td>Srv瑟rerror_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f8</td>
<td>Wrong_fragment</td>
<td>f29</td>
<td>Same瑟rv_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f9</td>
<td>Urgent</td>
<td>f30</td>
<td>Diff瑟rv_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f10</td>
<td>Hot</td>
<td>f31</td>
<td>Srv_diff_host_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f11</td>
<td>Num瑟failed_logins</td>
<td>f32</td>
<td>Dst_host瑟count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f12</td>
<td>Logged瑟in</td>
<td>f33</td>
<td>Dst_host瑟count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f13</td>
<td>Num瑟compromised</td>
<td>f34</td>
<td>Dst_host瑟same瑟srv瑟rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f14</td>
<td>Root瑟shell</td>
<td>f35</td>
<td>Dst_host瑟diff瑟srv瑟rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f15</td>
<td>Su瑟attempted</td>
<td>f36</td>
<td>Dst_host瑟same瑟src瑟port_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f16</td>
<td>Num瑟root</td>
<td>f37</td>
<td>Dst_host瑟srv瑟diff瑟host瑟rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f17</td>
<td>Num瑟file瑟creations</td>
<td>f38</td>
<td>Dst瑟host瑟serrat rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f18</td>
<td>Num瑟shells</td>
<td>f39</td>
<td>Dst_host瑟srv瑟serrat rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f19</td>
<td>Num瑟access瑟files</td>
<td>f40</td>
<td>Dst瑟host瑟errat rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f20</td>
<td>Num瑟outbound瑟cmds</td>
<td>f41</td>
<td>Dst瑟host瑟srv瑟errat rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f21</td>
<td>Is瑟host瑟login</td>
<td>f42</td>
<td>Class瑟name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Algorithm for SMOTE
1. Setting the minority class instances set A, for each y belonging to the insufficient minority classes (A), the k-nearest neighbors of x are obtained by computing the Euclidean distance between y and every other sample in set A.
2. The sampling rate sr is set according to the imbalanced proportion. For every y belonging to the minority class (A), N samples (i.e., y1, y2, ..., yn) are randomly selected from its k-nearest neighbors, and they construct the set A_y.
3. For each sample y_k belonging to A_y where (k = 1, 2, 3, ..., N) the equation (1) is used to generate the new samples
   \[ Z = y_0 + w(y - y_0) \]  
   where w - uniform random variable in the range between 0 and 1 [5].

4.2 Feature selection using firefly algorithm
The features selection leads to identify the subgroup of features from the NSL-KDD dataset. The feature selection technique reveals and chooses the subgroup of feature that is relating to identify the intrusion over the network. The classifier pattern that is built with an efficacious subset of pertinent feature and acquires improved predictive accuracy than the classifiers pattern built using the whole set of features. Other benefits of feature selection consist of the contraction in the amount of needful training data, a process that is easier to understand, diminished processing time, and improve the classification accuracy. In this proposed system the bio-inspired based Meta heuristic firefly algorithm is included in the feature selection process and constructing necessary features for improves the efficiency of network Intrusion Detection System. The heuristic and meta-heuristic are the two types of stochastic methods. Heuristic is defined as, to finding or to reveal by using trials and errors method. Meta heuristic technique is the upgraded version of heuristics method. Firefly optimization technique is also the Meta heuristic technique, which is developed by Xin-She Yang, where it is assumed that the firefly’s brightness is used for their attraction with each other [6]. The firefly algorithm has two major issues; they are disparity of brightness and formulations of the attractiveness. Thus the lure among the two fireflies i & j are diverge with respect to the distance or spacing and brightness which reduces with their spacing from its source. Another one factor is the absorption coefficients due to the media which significance the attractiveness [11]. Thus the brightness of one firefly with in radius r and someone else firefly within brightness B is described in equation (2).
   \[ B(r) = B_0 e^{-\gamma r} \]  
   where B_0 - Original brightness of the fireflies 
   r - Distance between any two fireflies 
   \gamma - Light absorbing coefficient which regulates the reduction on light intensity.

The firefly’s attractiveness is proportionate to the brightness detected by another one firefly. The attractiveness-A of the firefly is described in the equation (3)
   \[ A(r) = A_0 e^{-\gamma r} \]
Where \( A_0 \) is the attraction at \( r = 0 \), at that time the \( i^{th} \) firefly is attracts by \( j^{th} \) firefly, and the motion is formulated in equation (4)

\[
V_{ij}^{t+1} = A_0 e^{-\gamma r} (V_j^t - V_i^t) + \beta (R - 0.5)
\]

(4)

Where \( \beta \) - randomization parameter
\( R \) - random number generated between 0 and 1
\( t \) - iteration number.

The number of dimensions is \( D \) (\( d=1...D \))

\( r_{ij} \) - distance between fireflies \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) place.

The equation (5) describes that distance.

\[
r_{ij} = \| V_i - V_j \| = \sqrt{\sum_{d=1}^{D} (V_{id} - V_{jd})^2}
\]

(5)

The attractiveness of a firefly can be defined in equation (4), the random parameter \( \beta \) is computed randomly.

### 4.3 4.2.1 The pseudo code of firefly algorithm

Algorithm Firefly Algorithm

Input: Population size (\( n \)), Maximum of iteration (\( \text{maxIter} \)), Absorption coefficient (\( \gamma \)), Randomization parameter (\( \beta \)), Attractiveness value (\( A_0 = 1 \))

Output: Optimal firefly position with its fitness

1. Generating an initial population of \( n \) firefly \( X_i \), where \( i = 1,2,.....n \) using uniform distribution.
2. Evaluate all the fireflies by using a fitness function.
3. Light intensity \( I_i \) at \( X_i \) is determined by fitness function
4. Iteration = 0
5. while (Iteration < \( \text{maxIter} \)) do
   Iteration = Iteration + 1
   for i = 1 to n do
      for j = 1 to i do
         if (\( I_j > I_i \)) then
            Move firefly i towards firefly j by using equation (4) end if
      Assess the new solution by updating the light intensity end for
   end for
6. Rank the fireflies based on fitness and discover the current finest firefly
7. end while

### 4.4 Steps for movement of fireflies in standard method

1. Develop a random solution set \( x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \).
2. Calculate the intensity for each solution member \( I_1, I_2, ..., I_n \).
3. Move each firefly I on facing to the other brighter fireflies. Moves randomly if there is no other brighter firefly is available in that direction.
4. Amend the solution set.
5. If the termination criterion is achieved stop the process otherwise go back to step 2 [8][9][10][11].

### 4.5 Proposed Modified FireFly Algorithm(MFFA)

In standard firefly optimization technique the movements of the brightest fireflies are in random manner and it may decrease its brightness depending on the direction. So the performance goes to decrementated in that iteration. In the proposed system the brightest firefly is not allowed to the random movement and controlled to move in the particular way in which it enhances its brightness. It will not curtail the algorithm performance in terms of global best recommendation in that specified level of iteration. The modification in this proposed system is to determine the movement and path of the brightest firefly using unit vectors \( r_1, r_2, ..., r_m \) which are generated in random manner. Then select a direction \( R \), among the randomly originated \( m \) kinds of paths. If the firefly travels in chosen path \( R \), the brightness of the brightest firefly is increasing in that iteration. The movements of the brightest firefly can be controlled using equation (5).

\[
y = y + \alpha R
\]

(5)

where \( \alpha \) - random step length

The brightest firefly will continue its ongoing position only when the direction does not appear among the solutions which are created in random manner. Moreover, for each firefly i, rather than taking \( A_0 = 1 \), it is suitable best solution to allocate a source attractiveness. This attractiveness is fully based on the intensity of the firefly which is based on the objective function. One of the solutions is to consign the ratio of the intensity of fireflies. Assume if the firefly i is positioned at the location \( x^i \) is brighter than a firefly \( j \), which is positioned at location \( x^j \). Then the firefly positioned at \( x^i \) will migrate towards firefly i, as given equation (3) but \( A_0 \) is described in equation (6).

\[
A_o = \frac{I_j}{I_{ij}}
\]

(6)

Where \( I_j \) - the intensity at \( \gamma = 0 \) for firefly i and \( I_{ij} \) - the intensity at \( \gamma = 0 \) for firefly j and \( I_0 \neq 0 \).

If we take \( A_0 = I_0 \) and if the intensity is vast then the motion of firefly j towards i may be expanded. Withal based on the solution space it is good to alter \( A_0 \). In either case it should be directly proportional to the intensity at the source \( I_0 \).

### 4.6 J48 algorithm

The algorithm usually uses the top down construction as a basic technique as an attempt to induce the decision tree for classification. The J48 classifier algorithm is generated by trimmed C4.5 decision tree for classifications. This decision tree is treated as the most relevant supervised classification technique that includes the simplest and suitable fastest steps which are used for classification and learning. In J48 each and every form of data is rift into minor subset based on a decision. It analyzes the normalized information gain that exactly the result of splitting the data by selecting an attribute. The regulated information gain of attribute is used for decision making. The splitting procedure is terminated if a subset belonging to the similar class in every instance. J48 creates a decision node by using the expected values of the class. J48 decision tree classification technique can able to tackle distinct characteristic, varying attributes costs and missing attribute values of the data. Here precision can be improved by pruning.

**Algorithm:**

1. Generate the root node by using the better data value.
2. Performs training by utilize the selected attributes and using instances in the NSL-KDD dataset
   (a) Based on threshold value calls intelligent agent and makes binary classification.
   (b) For every attributes calling the intelligent agent and choose the potential data and find the gain in that particular point then construct left node.
Repeats this procedure until the right node is created.
3. Generates left and right nodes of all sub tree by use the step 2.
4. Storing the IF-THEN rules developed by the training modules and intelligent agent.
5. Choose the intrusion data for testing using agent.
6. Classifying the data as normal behavior or attack.
7. If chosen data is not a normal category, use rules and agent and find out the attack type.
8. Informs the details about abnormal behavior to administrator [10],[11].

4.7 Ensemble Bagging

The bagging is one of the most popular ensemble techniques, which is used to constructing an efficient model and improve the accuracy of classification techniques. In bagging dataset is distributed into different bootstrap replicates. Each reproduction is drawn independently from the original dataset with replacement. Afterwards, by averaging across the output of component models, the final output is calculated.

Algorithm contains a pseudo code for the bagging technique

// Bagging builds an ensemble of classification models for a training scheme where every model //gives an equivalent weighted prediction.

BaggingJ48

Input:
DS , set of T training instances;
n, the number of models in the ensemble;
bagged J48, // classifier – learning scheme;

Output:
The ensemble of composite model, MD

Method :
for i = 1 to n do
  builds bootstrap sample, DSi by sampling DS with replacement;
  use DSi and J48 to derive a model, MDi;
endfor;

To use the ensemble to classify an instance, J:
Let each of the n models classify J and return the majority vote;

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This proposed system focused on bio-inspired based features selection and decision tree based data mining technique for produce the IDS model and detect the normal and anomalies which are grouped into Denial Of Service (DOS), Probe, User To Root (U2R) and Remote To Local (R2L). The proposed system first focused on class imbalance problem and rectify it by SMOTE and generate modified dataset by using NSL-KDD dataset, second thing is selecting the optimal features in that modified dataset using MFF algorithm then Intrusion Detection System is created by using J48 tree based data mining algorithm and finally to improve prediction result, the ensemble based bagged J48 classification technique is used and built the effective Intrusion Detection System. Table 2 and Figure 2 show the class distribution presents in NSL-KDD.

Table 2 class distribution in original dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI No.</th>
<th>Normal and anomaly</th>
<th>Number of records in NSL-KDD dataset for each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>DOS</td>
<td>9224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>UR2</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>R2L</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>2089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>12049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 class distributions in original dataset

In NSL-KDD dataset the UR2, R2L and Probe are the minority classes. SMOTE (synthetic minority oversampling technique) is used and to incorporate new minority instances between actual minority instances in NSL-KDD dataset. The synthetic training instances are created by randomly choosing one or more of the k-nearest neighbors for each and every sampler in the minority class. The modified dataset is stored and the optimal features are created using that dataset. Table 3 and Figure 3 show the class distribution after applying SMOTE technique in NSL-KDD dataset.

Table 3 class distribution after preprocessing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI No.</th>
<th>Normal and anomaly</th>
<th>Number of records in NSL-KDD dataset for each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>DOS</td>
<td>9224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>UR2</td>
<td>5632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>R2L</td>
<td>6688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>4678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>13449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 class distributions in modified dataset
The NSL-KDD dataset contains totally 42 features and the last one is class attribute. The SMOTE based modified dataset is used for generate the optimized feature set by using the bio-inspired Modified FireFly Algorithm (MFFA). Table 4 shows the resultant optimum features from the modified dataset. Then this dataset is used for built the tree based IDS model.

Table 4 shows the optimum features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F#</th>
<th>Features name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f1</td>
<td>duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f5</td>
<td>src_bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f10</td>
<td>hot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f12</td>
<td>logged_in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f13</td>
<td>num_compromised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f14</td>
<td>root_shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f17</td>
<td>num_file_creations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f23</td>
<td>count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f25</td>
<td>serror_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f30</td>
<td>diff_srv_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f31</td>
<td>srv_diff_host_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f33</td>
<td>dst_host_srv_count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f35</td>
<td>dst_host_diff_srv_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f34</td>
<td>dist_host_same_src_port_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f37</td>
<td>dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f38</td>
<td>dst_host_srv_serror_rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f3</td>
<td>service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f4</td>
<td>flag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f41</td>
<td>xattack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After selecting the optimal features, the resultant dataset is fed into the J48 decision tree algorithm and the accuracy of the model is measured. To increase and fine tune the prediction accuracy the proposed system use the ensemble based bagged J48 classification technique. The table 5 and Figure 4 show the accuracy of the Intrusion Detection System (IDS).

The algorithm J48 with MFFA based modified dataset gives the more accuracy than the other method. This model is further tuned by ensemble bagging technique and the classification result is further improved.

### 5.1 Evaluation of parameters

The performances measuring are used to determine the classifier model. The evaluation parameters are True Positive (TP), True Negative (TN), False Positive (FP) and False Negative (FN) which are used to observe the performance of classification model. The equation 7, equation 8, equation 9 and equation 10 describe the evaluation metrics of classification technique. In this proposed system we describe the four metrics they are Accuracy of the model, Precision, Detection rate and false alarm. Table 6 shows the Evaluation metrics.

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \quad (7)
\]
\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (8)
\]
\[
\text{Detection Rate} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (9)
\]
\[
\text{False Alarm} = \frac{FP}{FP + TN} \quad (10)
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Detection Rates</th>
<th>False Alarm</th>
<th>J48</th>
<th>ENSEMBLE BAGGED J48 MODEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original NSL-KDD</td>
<td>94.77%</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified NSL-KDD</td>
<td>99.61%</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>95.24%</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.66%</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In recently developed systems, the method which gives minimum amount false alarm and maximum amount of detection rates are treated as the excellent Intrusion Detection method. So in this proposed work we focus on detection rates and also the false alarm for the resultant classification model. The
method bagged J48 with MFFA based modified dataset gives greater detection rates and lesser false alarm than the J48 method. Figure 5 shows the detection rate

![Detection Rate Graph](image)

**Figure 5 Detection Rate**

False alarm is determined by the collection of normal activity that has been badly misclassified as an attack category. Figure 6 compares the false positive rate for original NSL-KDD dataset with the optimized modified dataset. The MFFA based modified NSL-KDD dataset reduced the False alarm rate than the original dataset.

![False Alarm Graph](image)

**Figure 6 False Alarm**

VI. CONCLUSION

The class imbalance problem is rectified by using the Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique and the resultant dataset is taken for further process. Then the bio-inspired based feature selection is performed to reduce the high dimensional data of NSL-KDD dataset by using Modified Firefly Algorithm (MFFA) and stored as modified dataset. After the feature selection, the obtained optimized dataset was fed into tree based J48 algorithm for built the Intrusion Detection System and detect the normal and anomalies in the network. Then, the ensemble bagged J48 classification is performed to improve the prediction accuracy. From this evaluation, we acquired the ensemble bagged J48 with MFFA based modified dataset gives more accuracy, lower false alarm and higher detection rate than the original dataset. The method bagged J48 with MFFA based modified dataset gives accuracy as 99.66%, the detection rate as 0.997 and minimum false alarm rate as 0.0001.
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