

Richard Selase Gbadegbe, Edem Kwami Buami, Charles Kumah, Bijou Asemsro, Maxwell Selase Akple

Among the many materials utilized in the production of automotive components are textile materials. Long-term use of vehicle seats causes the textile material used to cover the seats to degrade. Because of this, drivers and vehicle owners critically inspect such deteriorated car seat covers before getting a new one. This study is aimed at identifying the various factors that influence drivers and vehicle owners' decisions about the choice of seat covers. In addition, to determine the factors that influence customers' perception of locally manufactured seat covers by upholstery artisans. Structured questionnaire and online google form were used to collect data from 1042 respondents across the 16 regions of Ghana. The five main factors that customers focused on were identified using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation. Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) was used to predict the perception factors of local upholstery artisans and customers using the Smart PLS software. Textile resistance and accessibility, durability, quality, perception and aesthetics were the selection factors. The findings showed that consumers of automotive textile materials take into account the aforementioned aspects before making purchases, therefore manufacturers should pay attention to these factors to increase efficiency. The study concludes that local upholstery artisans need to concentrate on these factors in their operations.

Keywords: Ghana, Automotive Textiles, Car Seat, Factor Analysis, PLS-SEM

I. INTRODUCTION

Textiles were first employed in the vehicle industry in the 19th century for automobile folding roofs [1]. Since then, there has been a noticeable increase in the use of textiles in vehicle building, with the size of the global market expected

Manuscript received on 19 October 2023 | Revised Manuscript received on 27 October 2023 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 November 2023 | Manuscript published on 30 November 2023. *Correspondence Author(s)

- **Dr. Richard Selase Gbadegbe***, Department of Industrial Art, Ho Technical University, Ho, Ghana. Email: <u>rgbadegbe@htu.edu.gh</u>, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7226-3120
- Edem Kwami Buami, Department of Industrial Art, Ho Technical University, Ho, Ghana. Email: <u>ebuami@htu.edu.gh</u>, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6043-9910
- Charles Kumah, Department of Industrial Art, Ho Technical University, Ho, Ghana. Email: ckumah@htu.edu.gh, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7542-8851

Bijou Asemsro, Department of Fashion Design and Textile, Ho Technical University, Ho, Ghana. Email: <u>basemsro@htu.ed.gh</u>, ORCID ID: 0009-0005-6342-0293

Prof. Maxwell Selase Akple, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ho Technical University, Ho, Ghana. Email: <u>makple@htu.edu.gh</u>.ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6339-5149

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an <u>open access</u> article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

to reach 28.6 billion USD in 2021 [2]. It was estimated that the average amount of automotive textiles utilized in the production of car components is between 15 and 25 kilos [1, 3, 4]. The production of car components involves the use of a wide variety of textile products (i.e., fiber types and designs). The major uses of automobile textiles include seats, flooring, airbag materials, safety seat belts, interior lining, door lining, and interior lining. Vehicle carpets (including car mats) and upholstery fabrics account for 3.5 kg and 4.5 kg of the textiles used in automobiles, respectively [1].

The term "automotive textiles" refers to a class of specialist textile materials with a specific range of application and superior technical performance [5]. suggest that these materials primarily possess enhanced functional attributes, including superior insulation, increased tensile strength, and augmented heat resistance, rather than serving solely decorative or aesthetic functions. Various natural and synthetic fibers, including cotton, linen, ramie, nylon and polyester can be used to produce automotive textiles. (nomex, kevlar, spandex etc.). Textile materials, including fibers, filaments, yarns, and fabrics, which fall outside the category of apparel-grade textiles, are among the many varieties of automotive textiles that are frequently used for car components. Woven, nonwoven, coated, knitted, and composite textiles (the latter refers to blends of various fabrics or combinations of textiles with plastic elements) are just a few of the many different types of textiles that are typically utilized by vehicle manufacturers [6, 7].

Automotive textile materials are used in a variety of vehicle components for important reasons. These include automotive fabrics for seat comfort (car seat covers), passenger safety and concealed component safety (airbag fabrics, safety belts, air/fuel filters, and hoses). Other purposes include hygienic and security concerns, aesthetics of visible vehicle components (door panels, headrest lining, and carpets) and aesthetics in general [4, 5, 8, 25, 26].

The most essential feature of the interior that most car users notice when the door is opened is the car seat. This acts as the interaction between people (the passengers) on one hand and the car on the other hand. Among the crucial factors taken into account by both car buyers and passengers are the comfortability (i.e., physiological comfort) and aesthetics (i.e., appearance and beauty) of the car seat [1, 9].

Car seat coverings are made from both natural and



artificial fibers, with the artificial fibers absorbing less moisture than the natural ones. Artificial seat cover fibers perform far worse in terms of thermal comfort than natural ones in the hotter tropical climates. Owing to extended durations in cars caused by traffic jams or long journeys, automobile passengers expect a certain standard from the textiles used in crafting seat covers. As a result, the auto industry is elevating the physiological comfort, technological, mechanical, and visual attributes of materials used for car seat covers [1].

The quality of the automobile textiles material used in the production of seat cover degrades (i.e., abrasion, colour fading, a loss in texture quality etc.) as the car continues to be used by passengers and car owners. Insufficient circulation and transfer of heat, air, and moisture between the human body and elements of the car seat, including the textile cover, lining, and foams, hasten their wear and tear. Subpar seat covers do not appeal to passengers, particularly in the case of commercial vehicles. Consequently, many car owners and drivers frequently swap out degraded textiles used in car seat cover production.

Car owners and drivers in Ghana engage the services of local artisan professionals in the field of automotive textile upholstery to repair or replace worn-out seat covers. To meet the thermo-physiological standard, it is necessary to choose premium automobile textiles with the necessary specifications. Additionally, to combine cut fabric sections without tearing the fabric or the thread, special sewing machines that are stronger and customizable for sewing multi-layered, thick materials are needed to make car seat coverings. The use of the proper seam, which must adhere to regulatory standards, is another crucial factor taken into account while sewing seat coverings [8, 10, 11].

Available literature revealed that some studies have looked into the physical characteristics of textiles used for vehicle upholstery. Additionally, investigations on the evaluation of the thermal-physiological characteristics and burning behaviors of automobile upholstery textiles woven with aramid and wool yarns as well as the comfort features of automotive upholstery textile were undertaken. Additionally, studies on the effects of the seam on the characteristics of technical and non-woven textiles used to make car seat covers have been conducted [1, 10, 12, 13].

All of the studies mentioned above involved experimental and property analyses and were carried out in industrialized nations. However, research on automotive textile has not been done in developing nations like Ghana. Therefore, there has not been any empirical research on the knowledge, perception, and consumption of automobile textiles with the necessary specifications for seat cover material. This study aims to close this gap by identifying the variables that affect car owners', drivers', and automotive upholstery professionals' selection of vehicle seat cover materials. Additionally, the study aims to ascertain the relationship between customers' perceptions of locally made car seat covers and the factors that affect their decision to purchase them.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Sampling and Data Collection

Drivers and car owners in Ghana who had recently changed their seats' covers or undergone upholstery work participated in the study. Data were gathered by administering questionnaires to respondents using the convenience sample technique. Due to its speed, simplicity, ease of use, and ability to generate hypotheses, this sampling strategy was chosen [14]. Data was gathered from one-thousand and forty-two (1042) respondents, including drivers and car owners, who were selected across 16 regions of Ghana. The questionnaire that served as the data collection tool was adjusted to fit the needs of this investigation. For added validity and ambiguity detection, the instrument was piloted or pre-tested. Following the pre-testing, the data collection tool was improved, and the final questionnaire was converted to a google form. Two major forms were used to distribute the questionnaire to respondents: a face-to-face interview and an online google form (i.e., social media accounts like Facebook, WhatsApp, and email). While the online google form was given to a variety of respondents who had changed their car seat covers, face-to-face interviews with respondents were conducted at various lorry stations and upholstery shops. Five-hundred and six (506) respondents' answers to the online google forms and five-hundred and thirty-six (536) answers to the face-to face interview were obtained. Before distributing the questionnaires to the respondents, the purpose of the study was explained to them, and their permission was sought.

B. Measurement

There were two sections to the questionnaire. The first section concentrated on the respondents' demographic factors, including gender, the type of vehicle they owned or drove, their level of education, and their driving history. The second section had nine (9) constructs that were made up of factors that affected the choice of automobile textiles for seat covers. Participants were asked to express their degree of concurrence using a 5-point Likert scale for questions under each construct: "SD" representing strongly disagree, "D" for disagree, "N" indicating neutral, "A" signifying agree, and "SA" denoting strongly agree. The constructs examined encompassed facets such as appearance, material properties (be it fabric or leather), durability, aging, safety, susceptibility to stains, cost-effectiveness, environmental considerations, and perception.

C. Data Analysis

Version 22 of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Software was used to modify, code, and enter the obtained data. In order to analyze the respondents' demographic features, frequency and percentages were calculated. Using Principal Component Analysis (PCA), the elements were divided into more manageable and significant components. Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), which was used to assess the study's hypothesis, utilized Smart PLS. Constructs were initially measured to make sure the model was appropriate for further research.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.L975211121223 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijitee.L9752.11121223</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijitee.org</u>





III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Demographic Characteristics

Table I illustrates that, out of the 1,042 respondents in the study, a significant 85.8% were male, with the remaining 14.2% being female. This was expected because men were more engaged in matters relating to vehicle than women. Private-car drivers made up the majority of survey participants (95.5%). Both private and commercial car drivers came second with 3.5%, followed by Commercial car drivers who made up the minority with 1.1%. The majority of the participants in the study had tertiary education (98.3%). This is related to the majority of participants' capacity to buy private cars. Majority of participants (47.9%) had more than ten years of driving experience.

Table-I: Profile	of Respondents	Demographics
------------------	----------------	--------------

Variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	894	85.8
	Female	148	14.2
Type of vehicle	Private	995	95.5
	Commercial	11	1.1
	Both	36	3.5
Education level	Primary	9	0.9
	Secondary	9	0.9
	Tertiary	1024	98.3
Driving			
experience	1-5 years	222	21.3
	6-10 years	321	30.8
	Above 10 years	499	47.9
VehicleSeat	Monthly	80	7.7
frequency	2-6 months	78	7.5
	Yearly	233	22.4
	More than 1 year	651	48.09

B. Reliability Test Analysis

The internal consistency of the questions on the questionnaire were examined using the reliability analyses. These analyses assess how consistent the various measures are to make sure that the measurements' results are not overly variable. Since each item on the scale measures the same construct and is highly correlated, this is the guiding principle when doing an internal consistency test [15]. In order to assess the reliability of the items falling within the constructs, the Cronbach alpha test was used in this study. Cronbach's alpha values for the constructions ranged from 0.553 to 0.822, according to the data shown in Table II. For every construct, the Cronbach alpha values exceeded 0.5.

C. Factor Analysis

In this research, the underlying dimensions and perception of automotive seat cover items were ascertained using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.L975211121223 DOI: 10.35940/ijitee.L9752.11121223 Journal Website: www.ijitee.org Some correlations among a number of items are above 0.30 according to the initial correlation matrix analysis result that was inspected. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) index and anti-image correlation were used to estimate the Measures of Sampling Adequacy (MSA). These were done to see if the scaled items' covariance was high enough to ensure the implementation of factor analysis. .For further factor analysis, the KMO calculated for the data set was 0.700, which is acceptable. Additionally, the majority of the MSAs diagonals for the Anti-image Correlation were above 0.5, indicating that the dataset met the requirements for factor analysis. The Bartlett Test of Sphericity was calculated to see if the correlation matrix was an identity matrix and hence appropriate for factor analysis. The results of the Bartlett's test indicated that the data set was factorable (p < 0.000). In this work, components were extracted using a screen plot and Varimax rotation with Kaiser Normalization analysis using Kaiser's criterion for eigenvalues. The 44 items on the Likert scale were reduced to 14 significant factors using factor analysis. In the initial PCA, certain variables were limited. The screen plot and the 14 factors that were recovered with eigenvalues greater than 1.0 are shown in Figure 1 and Table III, respectively. Rotation apart, the initial factor accounted for 21.059% of the variance. The 14-factor accounted for 74.495% of the whole cumulative variance.

The items and their factor loadings for the rotated components are displayed in Table IV. For better clarity, loadings less than 0.25 were left out. However, items having factor loadings below the recommended minimum of 0.40 for factor analysis [16] were removed in order to control the size of the rotating 14-factors. Additionally, [17] proposed that when a scale has fewer than 10 items, mean inter-item correlations can be used to gauge internal consistency. Additionally, according to [18] and [17][27], items with mean inter-item correlations between 0.2 and 0.4 are considered appropriate. Inter-item correlations for factors in the rotated matrix above 0.4 and more than four items for a factor were considered in this investigation as a standard for creating the factor structure matrix as shown in Table V.



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

17

Construct	Code	Items	CITC	Cronbach alpha
	AES1	Design of textile	0.328	
	AFS2	Colour of textile	0 390	
	AES3	Texture of textile	0.429	
Aesthetics	AES4	Conspicuous stitches presence	0.117	0.553
	AES5	Inconspicuous stitches presence	0.247	
	AES6	Seat cover design	0.463	
	MAT1	Air flow of textile	0.412	
Material				
	MAT2	Air retentive textile	0.386	0.612
	MAT3	Water repellent textile	0.341	
	MAT4	Heat repellent textile	0.458	
	MAT5	Comfortability of textile	0.235	
	DUR1	Preference of textile to leather	0.097	
	DUR2	Preference of leather to textile	0.146	
	DUR3	Textile more durable than leather	0.335	
	DUR4	Leather more durable than textile	0.175	
	DUR5	Heat from textile than leather	0.416	
	DUR6	Heat from Leather than textile	0.281	
5 1 11	DUR7	Textile deteriorates than leather	0.474	
Durability	DUR8	Leather deteriorates than textile	0.262	
	DUR9	Fraying of thread in textile vehicle seat cover	0.606	
	DUR10	Fraying of thread in leather vehicle seat cover	0.513	0.782
	DUR11	Folding of leather vehicle seat cover	0.481	
	DUR12	Folding of fabric vehicle seat cover	0.464	
	DUR13	Peeling of textile vehicle seat cover	0.563	
	DUR14	Peeling of leather of vehicle seat cover	0.338	
	DUR15	Textile fading of vehicle seat cover	0.481	
	DUR16	Colour fading of leather vehicle seat cover	0.421	
Ageing	AGE1	Textile heat resistant of vehicle seat cover	0.503	
	AGE2	Textile colour fading resistance	0.503	0.669
Safety	SAF1	Textile flame resistance	0.701	
	SAE2	Textile resistance to acid and solvent	0.701	0.822
	MAI1	Vehicle seat cover textile resistance to dirt	0.701	
Maintenance	1012 111		0.755	0.822
	MAI2	Vehicle seat cover textile resistance to Oil	0.619	
	MAI3	Vehicle seat cover textile easy to launder	0.683	
	ECO1	Vehicle seat cover textile availability	0.574	
Г	ECO2	Vehicle seat cover textile cost	0.547	0.607
Economy	ECO3	Preference of simple design to complex design	0.209	0.607
	ECO4	Preference of complex design to simple designs	0.293	
	ECL1	Recycling of vehicle seat cover	0.520	0.684
Ecology	ECI 2	Dollution throat of vabials sout cover	0.520	
	EULZ DED 1	Follution timeat of venicle seat cover	0.520	
Demonstion	PERI	Local seat covers more comfortable	0.447	
Perception	PER2	Local seat covers easily usable	0.793	0.715
	PER3	Local seat covers more beautiful	0.792	0./15
	PER4	Local seat covers stronger and robust	0.663	

Table- II: Evaluation of Questionnaire Item Internal Consistency

Note: (1) The CITC is corrected item-total correlation for measuring internal consistency of the items on the questionnaire. If the determined CITC of any scaling item is greater than 0.3, the item should be maintained in the questionnaire; otherwise, the item should be deleted. (2) The Cronbach α test shows the internal consistency reliability of the constructs. If α is greater than 0.7, it shows that the internal reliability is strong

Component	Initial Eigenvalues		Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings				
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	9.266	21.059	21.059	9.266	21.059	21.059	5.526	12.558	12.558
2	3.342	7.596	28.656	3.342	7.596	28.656	3.411	7.751	20.310
3	2.825	6.420	35.075	2.825	6.420	35.075	3.382	7.687	27.997
4	2.722	6.187	41.263	2.722	6.187	41.263	3.076	6.992	34.988
5	2.291	5.208	46.470	2.291	5.208	46.470	2.650	6.023	41.011
6	1.746	3.967	50.438	1.746	3.967	50.438	2.147	4.881	45.892
7	1.696	3.854	54.291	1.696	3.854	54.291	1.941	4.412	50.304
8	1.557	3.539	57.830	1.557	3.539	57.830	1.641	3.729	54.033
9	1.431	3.251	61.082	1.431	3.251	61.082	1.631	3.706	57.739
10	1.411	3.207	64.288	1.411	3.207	64.288	1.630	3.705	61.444
11	1.241	2.820	67.109	1.241	2.820	67.109	1.512	3.436	64.880
12	1.156	2.627	69.736	1.156	2.627	69.736	1.464	3.328	68.208
13	1.056	2.400	72.137	1.056	2.400	72.137	1.464	3.327	71.535
14	1.038	2.358	74.495	1.038	2.358	74.495	1.302	2.960	74.495





16.778 1.767 78.249 17 .772 1.756 80.004 18 .724 1.646 81.650 19 .674 1.531 83.181 20 .628 1.428 84.609 21 .606 1.377 85.986 22 .526 1.195 87.182 23 .500 1.136 88.318 24 .461 1.048 89.365 25 .454 1.033 90.398 26 .445 1.012 91.410 27 .386.878 92.288 28 .371.844 93.132 29 .360.819 93.951 30 .354.805 94.755 31 .300.681 95.437 32 .286.651 96.088 33 .254.576 96.664 34 .224.508 97.172 35 .203.461 97.633 36 .181.411 98.044 37 .167.380 98.425 38 .157.358 98.783 39 .113.257 99.040 40 .104.237 99.277 41 .091.208 99.485 42 .086.167 99.681 43 .073.197 99.848	15	.874	1.987	76.482
17 $.772$ 1.756 80.004 18 $.724$ 1.646 81.650 19 $.674$ 1.531 83.181 20 $.628$ 1.428 84.609 21 $.606$ 1.377 85.986 22 $.526$ 1.195 87.182 23 $.500$ 1.136 88.318 24 $.461$ 1.048 89.365 25 $.454$ 1.033 90.398 26 $.445$ 1.012 91.410 27 $.386$ $.878$ 92.288 28 $.371$ $.844$ 93.132 29 $.360$ $.819$ 93.951 30 $.354$ $.805$ 94.755 31 $.300$ $.681$ 95.437 32 $.286$ $.651$ 96.088 33 $.254$ $.576$ 96.664 34 $.224$ $.508$ 97.172 35 $.203$ $.461$ 97.633 36 $.181$ $.411$ 98.044 37 $.167$ $.380$ 98.425 38 $.157$ $.358$ 98.783 39 $.113$ $.257$ $.99.040$ 40 $.104$ $.237$ $.99.277$ 41 $.091$ $.208$ $.99.485$ 42 $.086$ $.167$ $.99.681$ 43 $.073$ $.197$ $.99.848$ 44 $.67$ $.152$ $.100.000$	16	.778	1.767	78.249
18.7241.646 81.650 19 .6741.531 83.181 20 .6281.428 84.609 21 .6061.377 85.986 22 .5261.195 87.182 23 .5001.136 88.318 24 .4611.048 89.365 25 .4541.033 90.398 26 .4451.012 91.410 27 .386.878 92.288 28 .371.844 93.132 29 .360.819 93.951 30 .354.805 94.755 31 .300.681 95.437 32 .286.651 96.088 33 .254.576 96.664 34 .224.508 97.172 35 .203.461 97.633 36 .181.411 98.044 37 .167.380 98.425 38 .157.358 98.783 39 .113.257 99.040 40 .104.237 99.277 41 .091.208 99.485 42 .086.167 99.681 43 .073.197 99.848 44 .67.152.100.000	17	.772	1.756	80.004
19.674 1.531 83.181 20 .628 1.428 84.609 21 .606 1.377 85.986 22 .526 1.195 87.182 23 .500 1.136 88.318 24 .461 1.048 89.365 25 .454 1.033 90.398 26 .445 1.012 91.410 27 .386.878 92.288 28 .371.844 93.132 29 .360.819 93.951 30 .354.805 94.755 31 .300.681 95.437 32 .286.651 96.664 34 .224.508 97.172 35 .203.461 97.633 36 .181.411 98.044 37 .167.380 98.425 38 .157.358 98.783 39 .113.257 99.040 40 .104.237 99.277 41 .091.208 99.485 42 .086.167 99.681 43 .073.197 99.848 44 .67.152100.000	18	.724	1.646	81.650
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	.674	1.531	83.181
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	.628	1.428	84.609
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	.606	1.377	85.986
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	.526	1.195	87.182
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	.500	1.136	88.318
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	.461	1.048	89.365
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	.454	1.033	90.398
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	.445	1.012	91.410
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27	.386	.878	92.288
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	.371	.844	93.132
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29	.360	.819	93.951
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	.354	.805	94.755
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	.300	.681	95.437
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32	.286	.651	96.088
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33	.254	.576	96.664
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34	.224	.508	97.172
36 .181 .411 98.044 37 .167 .380 98.425 38 .157 .358 98.783 39 .113 .257 99.040 40 .104 .237 99.277 41 .091 .208 99.485 42 .086 .167 99.681 43 .073 .197 99.848 44 .67 .152 100.000	35	.203	.461	97.633
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36	.181	.411	98.044
38 .157 .358 98.783 39 .113 .257 99.040 40 .104 .237 99.277 41 .091 .208 99.485 42 .086 .167 99.681 43 .073 .197 99.848 44 .67 .152 100.000	37	.167	.380	98.425
39 .113 .257 99.040 40 .104 .237 99.277 41 .091 .208 99.485 42 .086 .167 99.681 43 .073 .197 99.848 44 .67 .152 100.000	38	.157	.358	98.783
40 .104 .237 99.277 41 .091 .208 99.485 42 .086 .167 99.681 43 .073 .197 99.848 44 .67 .152 100.000	39	.113	.257	99.040
41 .091 .208 99.485 42 .086 .167 99.681 43 .073 .197 99.848 44 .67 .152 100.000	40	.104	.237	99.277
42 .086 .167 99.681 43 .073 .197 99.848 44 .67 .152 100.000	41	.091	.208	99.485
43 .073 .197 99.848 44 .67 .152 100.000	42	.086	.167	99.681
44 .67 .152 100.000	43	.073	.197	99.848
	44	.67	.152	100.000

Method of Extraction: Principal Component Analysis/Assessment Loadings < 0.25 are omitted

$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}$

Construct	Code	Items	CITC	Cronbach alpha
	AES1	Design of textile	0.328	
	AES2	Colour of textile	0.390	
	AES3	Texture of textile	0.429	
Aesthetics	AES4	Conspicuous stitches presence	0.117	0.553
	AES5	Inconspicuous stitches presence	0.247	
	AES6	Seat cover design	0.463	
	MAT1	Air flow of textile	0.412	
Material	MAT2	Air retentive textile	0 386	
	MAT3	Water repellent textile	0.300	0.612
	MAT4	Heat repellent textile	0.458	
	MAT5	Comfortability of textile	0.430	
	DUR1	Preference of textile to leather	0.097	
	DUP2	Dreference of leather to textile	0.146	
	DUR2	Taxtile more durable than leather	0.140	
	DURJ	Leather more durable than textile	0.335	
	DUR4	Leather more durable than leather	0.175	
	DURJ	Heat from Loother than textile	0.410	
	DUR0	Taxtile deteriorates then leather	0.281	
Durability	DUR/	Leather deteriorates than textile	0.474	
Durubinty	DURO	Eraving of thread in tartile vahials sout asymp	0.202	
	DUR9	Fraying of thread in leather vehicle seat cover	0.000	0.782
	DURIU	Flaying of lasther vahials seat asver	0.313	
	DUR11	Folding of fabric vabials seat cover	0.461	
	DUR12	Politing of fautile vehicle seat cover	0.404	
	DUR15	Peeling of leather of vehicle seat cover	0.303	
	DUR14	Taxtile feding of vahiale seat cover	0.556	
	DURIS	Colour fading of loother vahials seat cover	0.401	
Againg	AGE1	Taytile beat registent of vehicle seat cover	0.421	
Ageing	AOLI	Textue heat resistant of vehicle seat cover	0.505	0.669
	AGE2	Textile colour fading resistance	0.503	0.007
Safety	SAF1	Textile flame resistance	0.701	
	SAF2	Textile resistance to acid and solvent	0.701	0.822
	MAI1	Vehicle seat cover textile resistance to dirt	0.735	
Maintenance	MAI2	Vehicle seat cover textile resistance to Oil	0.619	0.822
	MAI3	Vehicle seat cover textile easy to launder	0.683	
	ECO1	Vehicle seat cover textile availability	0.574	



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

19

	ECO2	Vehicle seat cover textile cost	0.547	
Economy	ECO3	Preference of simple design to complex design	0.209	0.607
	ECO4	Preference of complex design to simple designs	0.293	
	ECL1	Recycling of vehicle seat cover	0.520	0.684
Ecology	ECL2	Pollution threat of vehicle seat cover	0.520	
	PER1	Local seat covers more comfortable	0.447	
Perception				
reneeption	PER2	Local seat covers easily usable	0.793	0.715
	PER3	Local seat covers more beautiful	0.792	0.715
	PER4	Local seat covers stronger and robust	0.663	_

Table-	V	Factor	Structure	Matrix

Code	Item			Component		
cout		1	2	3	4	5
RES1	Textile fading of vehicle seat cover	.428				
RES2	Textile heat resistant of vehicle seat cover	.660				
RES3	Textile colour fading resistance	.706				
RES4	Textile flame resistance	.763				
RES5	Textile resistance to acid and solvent	.808				
RES6	Vehicle seat cover textile resistance to dirt	.722				
RES7	Vehicle seat cover textile resistance to Oil	.750				
RES8	Vehicle seat cover textile easy to launder	.708				
RES9	Vehicle seat cover textile availability	.606				
RESI0	Vehicle seat cover textile cost	.456	20.4			
DURI	Textile more durable then leather		.804			
DUR2	Leather deteriorates then textile		.540			
DUR3	Eraving of thread in leather vehicle seat cover		.775			
DUR5	Folding of leather vehicle seat cover		.030			
DURG	Colour fading of leather vehicle seat cover		482			
OUA1	Textile deteriorates than leather		.402	735		
OUA2	Heat from textile than leather			.535		
OUA3	Fraving of thread in textile vehicle seat cover			.688		
QUA4	Peeling of textile vehicle seat cover			.815		
QUA5	Peeling of leather of vehicle seat cover			.712		
PER1	Local seat covers more comfortable				.514	
PER2	Local seat covers easily usable				.916	
PER3	Local seat covers more beautiful				.906	
PER4	Local seat covers stronger and robust				.809	
AES1	Design of textile					.787
AES2	Colour of textile					.592
AES3	Texture of textile					.633
AES4	Heat repellent textile					.582
ALSJ						.073
10-						
	Ĩ					
8-						
a 6-						
n						
143						
ler						
ш ^о						
- 4						
	٩					
	De					
2	Ø					
2-	000					
	000000					
	0000000					
		000000	0000	0000000	00000	-0
0-						- I
	1 3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19 24	23 25 27	29 31	33 35 37	39 41 43	
			20 31	55 55 51		
	Compor	ent Numb	er			

Figure 1: Explanation of Variance Using a Scree Plot

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.L975211121223 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijitee.L9752.11121223</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijitee.org</u>

_





D. Final PCA

A final PCA was performed for the remaining 30 items in the 5-factor solution using the obtained components from Table 4. The resulting PCA had a KMO of 0.78, indicating a sufficient sample size, and was significant (p<0.000) for the Bartlett's test of sphericity. It explained a total variance of 66.224%. This demonstrates that the correlation matrix was an identity matrix, and that factor analysis was thus acceptable for it. The calculated Cronbach alpha value was 0.86. The researchers debated and improved the final labels (i.e., construct names) for the final PCA based on the item groups and literature comparisons.

Factor one: Resistance and Accessibility of Textile Materials Used in Vehicle Seat Covers: The initial factor addressed the durability of seat cover materials in response to environmental challenges. This factor encompasses ten items, predominantly centered on resistance-associated concerns. This first factor explained 25.819% of the overall variance with an eigenvalue of 7.74. With a Cronbach alpha of 0.89, it is evident that a significant determinant influencing the choices of automotive textile by vehicle owners and operators for car seat covers is the material's resilience, particularly against acidic substances and other solvents. According to a study, synthetic leather (PVC) and woven materials used in vehicle textiles did not exhibit any surface alterations during an abrasion resistance test. Because of their composition and finishing techniques, this suggests that these textiles have good resistance [19][28][29]. According to a different study, the abrasion resistance of the upholstery fabric for car seats is a crucial factor in determining its suitability [9].

Factor two: Seat Cover Textile Durability: The second factor comprised six items detailing the automotive textile's capacity to withstand wear and tear, pressure, and damage over an extended period. This factor had an eigenvalue of 2.91 which explained 9.694% of the overall variance. The Cronbach's alpha was calculated to be 0.82. The result showed that durability of the textile, particularly peeling and folding-off for leather materials, was the second factor buyers took into account when choosing automotive textile car seat coverings. Durability is influenced by various aspects, including whether the vehicle is utilized for private or commercial functions. As per earlier research, knitted fabric emerges as the most resilient automotive textile. This fabric, characterized by a consistent weave where both the weft and warp consist of identical threads, minimizes the impact on seam degradation during cut-off due to its orientation [20].

Factor three: Quality of Textile Materials for Vehicle Seat *Covers:* This factor, which described the quality of the automobile textile, was composed of five items. The factor had an eigenvalue of 2.79 and accounted for 9.131% of the overall variance. Cronbach's alpha was calculated to be 0.78. The third factor suggests that consumers prioritize quality, considering aspects such as resistance to tearing, heat retention, and issues like thread loss or fraying. Furthermore, this factor encompasses the deterioration and bending out of shape of the automotive textile. Drawing from the extant literature, [21] defines quality in the apparel industry as a product free from issues such as staining faults, sewing anomalies, fabric defects, discrepancies in size measurements, mismatches in colour or stripe patterns, and cutting errors. This finding underscores that quality stands as a pivotal consideration in the selection of automotive textiles for vehicle seat covers. Specifically, phenomena like peeling or

fraying serve as crucial quality benchmarks for consumers when choosing these materials.

Factor four: Public Perception of Domestically Produced Vehicle Seat Cover Materials: This particular factor consists of four items detailing customer perceptions regarding automotive textiles used for seat covers. It registered an eigenvalue of 2.38, representing 7.946% of the total variance, with a Cronbach alpha coefficient of 0.80. This dimension captures the diverse viewpoints customers hold concerning seat covers crafted from local automotive materials. Based on the findings, there's a prevailing sentiment among customers that locally-produced seat covers are both more user-friendly and aesthetically pleasing. This preference may stem from the flexibility of locally-made covers to be readily customized to cater to individual customer requirements.

Factor five: Aesthetics of Vehicle Seat Cover Textiles: This dimension encompasses five elements associated with the visual appeal of car fabrics. It represents 5.728% of the overall variance and has an eigenvalue of 1.72. The determined reliability coefficient, Cronbach's alpha, for this dimension is 0.81. The results show that customers ranked aesthetics as the 5th factor when selecting automotive textiles for vehicle seat cover. Hari (2020) posits that aesthetics comprises features including designs, forms, texture, denier (the fibre's thickness), luster (the fibre's shine), and colour (its dyeing capacity). Concurrently, [22] expand this understanding of automobile textile aesthetics to encompass fragrance, a factor they found to significantly impact market demand and competitiveness. Consequently, this element also plays a pivotal role in determining the choice of textiles for automotive seat covers.

E. Perception of Locally Fabricated Car Seat Covers and Customer's Influencing Factors Measurement Model

Reliability and Validity Analysis

The reliability of the constructs was measured using the Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability (CR) which were ≥ 0.70 ; suggesting a strong internal reliability and thus satisfactory and reliable constructs (Table VI). Construct validity was carried out to assess whether the scales measured the concept intended to measure in the study. The Average Variance Extracted (AVE) was > 0.50; an indication of an acceptable criterion and thus fulfilling convergent validities.

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
	_	(CR)	
Aesthetics (A)	0.725	0.835	0.631
Durability	0.772	0.825	0.573
Economy (E)	0.73	0.881	0.788
(D) Material (MT)	0.824	0.895	0.74
Perception (PC)	0.84	0.895	0.682
Safety (S)	0.824	0.918	0.848

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.L975211121223 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijitee.L9752.11121223</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijitee.org</u>

To determine the distinctiveness of the constructs, a discriminate validity was carried out using the [23] Criterion (Table VII). It was observed that square root of the AVE values for the constructs were greater than the correlation with all other constructs.

Table vii: Discriminant valuity by Fornen and Laker Criterion						
Constructs	Aesthetics	Durability	Economy	Material	Perception	Safety
Aesthetics	0.794					
Durability	0.216	0.61				
Economy	0.315	0.516	0.888			
Material	0.43	0.416	0.536	0.86		
Perception	0.173	0.322	0.414	0.21	0.826	
Safety	0.334	0.363	0.555	0.662	0.19	0.921

Table VIII Discriminant Validity by Fornall and Lakor Critorian

Figures bolded on the diagonal show the square root of the AVEs; figures below the diagonal are the construct correlations Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)

The SEM was done to determine the relationship between the perception variables and influencing factors. The Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) analysis indicates that the model did not have any co-linearity since all the VIF values were below 4 (Table VIII). Table VIII and Figure 2 show the path coefficients (i.e., standardized beta (b)) and path diagram to examine the hypothesis of vehicle seat cover customers perception of locally fabricated seat cover. The computed values were between -1 and +1, where a higher absolute value indicates a stronger predictive relationship between the constructs. It was

observed that three constructs (i.e., Aesthetics, Durability and Economy) has positive signs showing a positive influence on the perception construct (For instance, if Aesthetics increased and perception also increased). These influencing factors were statistically significant. This implies that vehicle seat cover customers consider economy, aesthetics, and durability as first, second and third factors respectively which influence their perception of a locally fabricated vehicle seat covers.

Two of the construct's paths (i.e., Material=>Perception and Safety=>Perception) had negative standardized beta values with Material => Perception not statistically significant. This implies that the type of materials being used for fabrication of vehicle seat covers do not have any influence on how seat cover customers perceived local upholstery artisans. The path diagram generated based on 10,000 bootstrapping samples is illustrated in Figure 2. The adjusted $R^2 = 0.4338$ value was obtained in the study, an indication of moderate predictive power. Computed adjusted $R^2 \approx 0.25$, $R^2 \approx 0.50$ and $R^2 \approx 0.75$ are considered to have weak, moderate and substantial predictive powers, respectively [24]. The Q² value shows the PLS predictive relevance where if the computed Q^2 value is greater than zero (> 0) indicate a meaningful prediction. The Q^2 computed in this study was 0.878 indicating that the PLS model was relevant in predicting some relationships between the exogenous and endogenous constructs.

The Standardized Root Mean Squared Residual (SRMR) value was used to measure the model fitness. The SRMR value obtained (SRMR = 0.072) was higher than the acceptable value for a good fit model of less than 0.08. This suggests that the PLS model has a weak fitness.

Table VIII:	Structural	Model	Results
-------------	------------	-------	---------

Path	β	t-values*	p-values*	VIF
Aesthetics=>Perception	0.059	2.38	0.017	1.48
Durability => Perception	0.157	5.72	0.000	1.66
Economy => Perception	0.372	10.238	0.000	1.75
Material => Perception	-0.032	0.842	0.400	1.96
Safety => Perception	-0.072	2.038	0.042	1.96

Note: SRMR = 0.072; $Q^2 = 0.878$; Adjusted $R^2 = 0.4338$ *Based on 10,000 bootstrapping samples



22

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.L975211121223 DOI: 10.35940/ijitee.L9752.11121223 Journal Website: www.ijitee.org





IV. CONCLUSION

Car seats are a crucial component of a car's interior since they serve as the main point of interaction between a human (the driver) and a machine. The seats are commonly covered and safeguarded using automotive materials like textiles or leather. Nevertheless, customers (i.e., drivers) who commonly request automotive textile materials consider a number of variables before making their decision. This paper discusses the variables that influence the selection of a textile for car seat coverings. Five primary dimensions were discerned through factor analysis, namely: textile resistance and accessibility, durability, quality, perception, and aesthetics. These dimensions crucially affect the selection criteria for automotive seat cover textiles. Indicators of the items' internal cohesion (with a Cronbach alpha value at 0.87), adequacy of the sample size (KMO standing at 0.78), and the significance of Bartlett's test of sphericity (p<0.000) all exhibit commendable results. In general, a total variance of 66.224% was explained by the final PCA. The factor analysis suggests that before making a purchase, consumers of car seat vehicle textiles prioritize textile resistance to environmental factors. According to this implication, customers would then evaluate whether the automotive textiles will be resistant to abrasion, acids, and other solvents that are expected to come into contact with the textile. The durability of the automotive textile in terms of usage comes next. This demonstrates the durability of the automotive textile. Quality, perception, and aesthetics were ranked third, fourth, and fifth on the customers' priority list, respectively. This study demonstrates that consumers of automotive textiles will undoubtedly consider a few important factors before making a purchase. The study unequivocally demonstrates that in order to increase productivity, automotive textile producers should concentrate on the aforementioned aspects while manufacturing automotive textiles. Additionally, the SmartPLS has a fair amount of prediction power for models with inadequate model fits, identifying affordability, attractiveness, and durability as the first, second, and third characteristics, respectively, that affect how customers perceive locally made seat covers. This suggests that purchasers for car seats believed that when local upholstery artisans produced car seat coverings, customers took into account the cost (economy), the aesthetics of the end product, and the longevity of the seat cover.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

Funding/ Grants/ Financial	No, I did not receive any financial
Support	support for this article.
Conflicts of Interest/	There is no conflicts of interest to the
Competing Interests	best of my knowledge.
Ethical Approval and	The article does not require ethical
Consent to Participate	approval and consent to participate.
Availability of Data and	
Material/ Data Access	Not relevant.
Statement	
Authors Contributions	All authors have equal contributions
Autions Colluibutions	for this article.

REFERENCES

1. Şardağ S, Türk M. Thermo-physiological properties and burning behaviours of automotive upholstery fabrics woven with aramid and

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.L975211121223 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijitee.L9752.11121223</u> <i>Journal Website: <u>www.ijitee.org</u> wool yarns. Journal of Industrial Textiles. 2021; 51(3):486-510. https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083720974042

- Yaqoob H, Teoh YH, Sher F, Jamil MA, Murtaza D, Al Qubeissi M, et al. Current status and potential of tire pyrolysis oil production as an alternative fuel in developing countries. Sustainability. 2021; 13(6):3214. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063214
- Singha K. Strategies for in automobile: strategies for using automotive textiles-manufacturing techniques and applications. Journal of Safety Engineering. 2012;1(1):7-16.
- Athalye A. Automotive textiles. Int J Textile Eng Processes. 2015;1:42-52.
- 5. Rani S, Rose NM, Yadav S, Poonia N. Automotive Textiles: An Overview.
- Chen J. Nonwoven textiles in automotive interiors. Applications of nonwovens in technical textiles: Elsevier; 2010. p. 184-201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1533/9781845699741.2.184</u>
- Arenas JP. Applications of acoustic textiles in automotive/transportation. Acoustic textiles: Springer; 2016. p. 143-63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-1476-5_7</u>
- Schwarz IG, Kovačević S, Kos I. Physical–mechanical properties of automotive textile materials. Journal of Industrial Textiles. 2015;45(3):323-37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083714532113</u>
- Jerkovic I, Pallares JM, Capdevila X. Study of the abrasion resistance in the upholstery of automobile seats. AUTEX Research Journal. 2010;10(1):14-20.
- 10. Ujevic D, Kovacevic S. Impact of the seam on the properties of technical and nonwoven textiles for making car seat coverings. International Nonwovens Journal. 2004(1):1558925004os-1300111. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558925004os-1300111
- 11. Jones I, Stylios GK. Joining textiles: Principles and applications: Elsevier; 2013. https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857093967
- 12. Avcu Ö, Gürkan Ünal P. Mechanical properties of double-layered woven fabrics used in car seat upholstery. The Journal of the Textile Institute. 2018;109(11):1409-17. https://doi.org/10.1080/00405000.2018.1423901
- El-Homossani M, Gawad MA, Khalifa TF, Hafez HS. Achieving optimum thermal comfort properties for automotive seat fabrics. International of Advance Research in Science and Engineering. 2015;4(11):424-35.
- Dudovskiy J. The ultimate guide to writing a dissertation in business studies: a step-by-step assistance, July 2016 edition. eBook Journal of Mixed Methods Research. 2016;4(1):6-16.
- Hair JF, Sarstedt M, Ringle CM, Mena JA. An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. Journal of the academy of marketing science. 2012;40:414-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-011-0261-6</u>
- Hagger MS, Orbell S. A confirmatory factor analysis of the revised illness perception questionnaire (IPQ-R) in a cervical screening context. Psychology & Health. 2005;20(2):161-73. https://doi.org/10.1080/0887044042000334724
- Pallant J. SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS: McGraw-hill education (UK); 2020. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003117445
- Briggs SR, Cheek JM. The role of factor analysis in the development and evaluation of personality scales. Journal of personality. 1986;54(1):106-48.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1986.tb00391.x

- Barbu I, Fogorasi MS, Dochia M, Pustianu M, Bucevschi A, Farima D, editors. A Comparative Study on the Comfort and Safety of the Seat Cover Fabrics. Proceedings of the 4th International Congress of Automotive and Transport Engineering (AMMA 2018) IV; 2019: Springer. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94409-8_50</u>
- 20. Lange J, Ciura A, Majewski A, Wojnowska M. Study on the Influence of Selected Fabrics and Stitching on the Strength of Upholstery Covers. Materials. 2022;15(11):3854. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15113854
- Keist C. Quality control and quality assurance in the apparel industry. Garment Manufacturing Technology: Elsevier; 2015. p. 405-26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-78242-232-7.00016-3</u>
- Kumar A, Kober B. Urbanization, human capital, and cross-country productivity differences. Economics Letters. 2012;117(1):14-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2012.04.072</u>

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



23

- Fornell C, Larcker DF. Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics. Sage Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA; 1981. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3150980</u>
- Manfrin A, Apampa B, Parthasarathy P. A conceptual model for students' satisfaction with team-based learning using partial least squares structural equation modelling in a faculty of life sciences, in the United Kingdom. J Educ Eval Health Prof. 2019;16:1-9. https://doi.org/10.3352/jeehp.2019.16.36
- Naik, Dr. V. R. (2020). Development of Radiator Fan using Composite Material for Automotive Application. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 9, Issue 4, pp. 2163–2168). Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Engineering and Sciences Publication - BEIESP. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.d1653.029420
- Vinitha, B., Amritha, S., Sinduja, M., Sonia, M., Abinaya, P., & Ravindran, Dr. M. (2020). Cloth Folding Machine. In International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (JJRTE) (Vol. 8, Issue 6, pp. 4492–4495). Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Engineering and Sciences Publication - BEIESP. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.f9441.038620
- A., M., Nguyen, P. T., Lydia, E. L., Shankar, K., Hashim, W., & Maseleno, A. (2019). Big Data Analytics and Intelligence: A Perspective for Health Care. In International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (Vol. 8, Issue 6s, pp. 861–864). Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Engineering and Sciences Publication - BEIESP. <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.f1162.0886s19</u>
- Koli, H., & Chawla, Prof. M. P. S. (2022). Comparative Study of Electric Vehicle Battery Systems with Lithium-Ion and Solid State Batteries. In International Journal of Emerging Science and Engineering (Vol. 10, Issue 10, pp. 1–6). Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Engineering and Sciences Publication -BEIESP. <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijese.i2540.09101022</u>
- Adhikari, B., & Poudel, A. (2023). Comparative Study of Building Response on Adoption of NBC105: 2020 and IS 1893 (Part 1): 2016. In Indian Journal of Structure Engineering (Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp. 14–21). Lattice Science Publication (LSP). <u>https://doi.org/10.54105/ijse.c4006.053123</u>

AUTHORS PROFILE



Dr. Richard Gbadegbe is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Industrial Art of Ho Technical University in Ghana. He is currently the Dean of the Faculty of Art and Design. He holds a Bachelor of Art Degree in Industrial Art (Textiles option) from the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology in Kumasi Ghana. He also

holds a PhD Degree in African Art and Culture from the same University with speciality in Textiles and Cultural Studies. His research interests include: Automotive Textiles, Technical Textiles, Cottage Weaving, Art Education, Dye Extraction from Natural Sources, Waste Management in Textiles and the role of Art in Festivals.



Mr. Edem Kwami Buami is an Assistant Lecturer in the Industrial Art Department at Ho Technical University, Ho, Ghana. His research interests include textile art and design innovation, textile printing and finishing technology, textile design and philosophy



Mr. Charles Kumah is an Assistant Lecturer at the Department of Industrial Arts, Ho Technical University, Ho in the Volta Region of Ghana. He holds a Master of Science degree (MSc) in Textile Engineering from Jiangnan University, Jiangsu Province China, 2019. Currently, he is a Doctoral student at Donghua University,

Shanghai China majoring in Textile Engineering. His research interest include inorganic textile fibre, composite textiles and advance textile fibre materials and functional devices.



Miss Bijou Asemsro is an Assistant Lecturer in the Department of Fashion Design and Textiles of Ho Technical University. Her research interests include: fabric ornamentation, fashion design, textiles design and textile technology. She obtained MTech in Fashion and Textile Design from the University of Education, Winneba in Ghana.

Currently, she is pursuing an MPhil degree in Textile Design Technology from the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi in Ghana.



Prof. Maxwell Selase Akple is an Assoicaite Professor in the Department of Mechanical Engineering in Ho Technical University with an expertise in Material Science and Engimeering especilly Automotive Materials. He obtained a PhD in Material Physcis and Chemistry from Wuhan University of Technology (WUT), China. He has

over 30 publications in diverse peer reviewed journals.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.L975211121223 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijitee.L9752.11121223</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijitee.org</u>