Seismic Study of Precast Steel-Reinforced Concrete Building using Shake Table Test

Mohammad Arastu, Khalid Moin



Abstract: Precast Steel-Reinforced Concrete (PSRC) structural frame systems for moment-resisting, comprised of Prefabricated Steel (S) girders and Precast Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC) columns. This structural system has the advantage of inherent stiffness and damping during a seismic event. PSRC's moment-resisting frame system is also known for its construction efficiency, lightweight, and low cost. Earlier investigations have shown PSRC systems helpful in designing and constructing buildings while maintaining ample strength and high ductility during seismic incidents. Despite much previous research, the use of the PSRC structural system in India is still limited. Previous studies have accepted a vital need to test comprehensive structural systems, both experimentally and analytically - to validate the knowledge collected to date and act as evidence of concept for the PSRC moment-resisting frame system. This paper aims to facilitate more recognition and use of the PSRC structural system as a feasible choice for traditional RCC lateral resisting systems. A shake-table test was conducted to evaluate the PSRC building performance during maximum considered earthquake events. The comparative study of experimental and numerical results of the 1/4th scaled building is presented.

OPEN ACCESS

Keywords: Composite Structures; Crack; Earthquakes/ Earthquake Loading; Failure/ Failure Mode; Hybrid Structures; Nonlinear Analysis; Structural Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Modernizing steel and concrete structures provides attractive alternatives to reinforced concrete systems. PSRC structural systems for moment-resisting comprise Prefabricated Steel (S) girders and Precast Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC) columns. They have the advantage of inherent stiffness and damping during a seismic event. PSRC's moment-resisting frame system is also known for its construction efficiency, lightweight, and low cost. [4,6].

PSRC frame systems have been shown to retain numerous advantages from economic and construction viewpoints [8,10] compared to RCC or steel frame systems.

Manuscript received on 01 May 2023 | Revised Manuscript received on 06 May 2023 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 June 2023 | Manuscript published on 30 June 2023. *Correspondence Author(s)

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an <u>open access</u> article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

RCC columns are nearly ten times more efficient than steel columns in axial strength and axial stiffness [9]. On the other hand, the deck slabs supported on steel girders are significantly lighter than the RCC beam-slab system, leading to significant reductions in the total building load, costs of the foundation, and earthquake forces. In previous years, the PSRC structural systems for moment-resisting have mainly been used for buildings in developed countries' low seismicity areas. In recent years, researchers have attempted to develop seismic design guidelines for PSRC systems in high seismic-risk regions [4,5,6].

The Indian subcontinent has a history of earthquakes. The intensity and high frequency of earthquakes is the Indian plate driving into the Asian region at approximately 47 mm/year [7]. Significant earthquakes like the Jabalpur earthquake (1997), the Chamoli earthquake (1999), the Bhuj earthquake (2001), and the recent Nepal earthquake (2015) have highlighted the need for an extensive study to understand the behavior of PSRC structures under seismic loading. Hence, the performance of such PSRC structures needs to be assessed experimentally and numerically under moderate/severe earthquakes.

One efficient and practical method of assessing the performance of a building under seismic loading is shake-table testing. The present study aims to evaluate the engineering parameters such as natural period, story acceleration, velocity, displacement, and damage pattern of 1/4th scaled PSRC building structures against the horizontal forces produced by scaled El-Centro earthquake [2] using a shake table. One bay and four stories, a three-dimensional building structure, and the scaled 1940 EL-Centro (N.S. component) time history of 0.4g to 2.0g PGA have been used for the study.

II. SCALING AND GEOMETRY OF STRUCTURE

One bay and four stories PSRC structure have been scaled down on a $1/4^{\text{th}}$ scale according to the scale factors obtained from similitude consideration for earthquake loading [1]. The summary of these scale factors is given in <u>Table 1</u>. The structure's overall dimensions have been chosen based on the limitation of the sizes and capacity of the shake table (2m x 2m plan dimensions).



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

Mohammad Arastu*, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering & Technology, Jamia Millia Islamia University, New Delhi, India. Email: <u>mohd.arastu@gmail.com</u>, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9991-7318

Professor Khalid Moin, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering & Technology, Jamia Millia Islamia University, New Delhi, India. Email: <u>mohd.arastu@gmail.com</u>, ORCID ID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6547-3357</u>

Seismic Study of Precast Steel-Reinforced Concrete Building using Shake Table Test

		Dimension	Gravity Force Neglected Prototype Material
	Force	F	S^2
Loading	Pressure	FL ⁻²	1
	Acceleration	LT ⁻²	S^{-1}
	Gravitational acceleration, g	LT^{-2}	Neglected
	Time	Т	S
	Linear dimension	L	S
Geometry	Displacement	L	S
	Frequency	T-1	S-1
	Modulus of Elasticity	FL ⁻²	1
Material Properties	Stress	FL ⁻²	1
	Strain	-	1
	Mass Density	FL-4T ²	1
	Poison's ratio	-	1

Table 1: Summarv	of Scale Factor	rs for Earthquake	e Response of	the Structure
I upic I. Dummary	of Deale I actor	b for Darmyuane	, itesponse of	the bulucture

The column center-to-center dimensions were 1750 mm in both directions. The model was fixed at the base with a shake table using a 300x300x8mm base plate and 4-M12 bolts under each column. The base plan and framing plan of the scaled PSRC structure model is shown in Fig. 1.



A Precast RCC square column of 100mmx100mm with four longitudinal bars of 8mm diameter and 2mm diameter stirrups at 50mm c/c along the height was used. The prefabricated steel tubes of 25x25x2mm size were used for the beam at all levels and in both directions. The 300mm length at both ends of the beam was embedded with a Precast RCC column, as shown in Fig 2. The central part of the beam was joined with plates and bolts. The sequence of the joining prefabricated steel beams and precast RCC columns is shown in Fig 3. The story height was kept at 750mm c/c of the beam on all floors. Column-beam general arrangement for the four-story structure and the final 1/4th scaled model for testing is shown in Fig 4(a) and Fig 4(b).



2









All material properties were tested in the laboratory before the shaking table test. The longitudinal and transverse bars' yield strength was 500N/mm² and 250N/mm², respectively. The average tested compressive strength of micro concrete used was 25 MPa in seven days. The stress-strain curve used for numerical modelling has been considered as per Indian standards and shown in Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 5(b).



IV. INPUT GROUND MOTION

The 1940 El Centro earthquake scaled time history was used at different peak ground acceleration (PGA) values shown in Fig. 6. The displacement time histories shown in Fig. 7 were generated from scaled El-Centro (N-S Component) time histories and were used for shaking the table.



Published By:



Seismic Study of Precast Steel-Reinforced Concrete Building using Shake Table Test

V. SHAKE-TABLE TESTING

The free vibration was given to the model by pulling with the help of a rope. Top-story acceleration was recorded, as shown in Fig. 8. The Fast Fourier Transform of records was carried out using the standard software, as shown in Fig. 9. Before conducting the shake table test, the natural frequency of the structure in the first mode has been evaluated experimentally as 10.70 Hz and damping considered is 0.0417. The ground input motion was given with increased PGA values, and a free vibration test was carried out after each cycle test to calculate the structure's natural frequency. The results of natural frequency are reported in Table 2





Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.G95750612723 DOI: 10.35940/ijitee.G9575.0612723 Journal Website: <u>www.ijitee.org</u>

Published By:

© Copyright: All rights reserved.





Table 2: Natural Free	uencies and Dampin	g Ratio of the model	after each	cvcle of testing
Tuble 2. Futurul 1 Free	queneres and Dumpin	5 mano or the mouth	unter cuem	cycle of testing

Parameters	0.4g	0.8g	1.2g	1.6g	2.0g	Unit
Natural Frequency:	9.20	8.84	7.45	7.20	6.950	Hz
Damping Ratio:	0.0482	0.046	0.0448	0.0423	0.0478	

The recorded top story maximum acceleration values against each ground acceleration at the base are given in Table 3.

Table 3: Top Story Acceleration of the model after each cycle of testing

Parameters	0.40g	0.80g	1.20g	1.60g	2.00g
Top Story measured PGA	2.15g	2.65g	2.87g	3.43g	3.97g

It was observed visually that no cracks developed within the precast RCC column-Steel beam joints during the complete test from 0.4g to 2.0g. The precast RCC columns started cracking at the base due to tensile and compressive forces at 0.6g and were completely damaged at 2.0g, as shown in Fig. 10(a) and Fig 10(b). The steel beam failure occurs at the first and second story at the steel-to-steel junction, as shown in Fig. 10(c) and Fig. 10(d), and no failure is noticed at the precast RCC to the steel junction.



VI. NON-LINEAR DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

The structures deform inelastically during the maximum considered earthquake (MCE) [2]. Hence structural performance must be checked during the post-elastic behavior of the system. Dynamic non-linear analysis (also called Time History Analysis) should be used to evaluate seismic performance because the elastic analysis cannot determine the structure's post-elastic behavior during such events. Moreover, to estimate the seismically induced needs that exhibit inelastic behavior, the structures' maximum inelastic displacement demand should be determined adequately. The dynamic non-linear analysis method applies the ground acceleration time history to the structure. Dynamic equilibrium equations are solved using direct integration methods [2]. Initial conditions are set by continuing the structural state from the end of the previous non-linear gravity analysis.



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijitee.G95750612723 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijitee.G9575.0612723</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijitee.org</u> Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

Seismic Study of Precast Steel-Reinforced Concrete Building using Shake Table Test

Direct-integration methods are sensitive to time-step size, which should be decreased until results are unaffected. Material and geometric nonlinearity, including P-delta effects, have been simulated during non-linear direct-integration time-history analysis. A scaled time history of 1940 EL-Centro (N.S. component) from 0.4g to 2.0g PGA with an increment of 0.4g has been applied to the structure's base.

Table 4. Top Story Acceleration of the model after cach cycle of testing						
Parameters	0.40g	0.80g	1.20g	1.60g	2.00g	
Top Story measured PGA	2.47g	3.11g	3.30g	3.87g	4.33g	

Table 4: Top Story Acceleration of the model after each cycle of test	Table 4: Top Stor	Acceleration o	of the model after	each cycle of testin
---	-------------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------------

A building performance level is a combination of the structure's performance levels and the nonstructural components. A performance level describes a limiting damage condition, which may be considered satisfactory for a given building with specific ground motion [8]. The performance of the structure is determined by hinge formation. Various types of plastic hinges: uncoupled/coupled moment, torsion, axial force, and shear hinges, are available. After yielding, plastic hinges will form at different locations, indicating the occupant's risk (Fig. 11). No hinges will be created before point B, where the structure will show linear behavior, and after that, one or more hinges will start to form.



The El-Centro time history was applied at the base of both structures from 0.4g to 2.0g PGA with an increment of 0.4g. The direction of monitoring the building's behavior was the same as the ground acceleration direction. For columns, program-defined auto PM2M3 interacting hinges were used at both ends and for beams, M3 auto hinges were used according to FEMA 356 [3]. Column bases are assumed to be fixed at the base level. The beams and columns are modelled as non-linear frame elements with lumped plasticity; hinges are defined according to the section properties at both ends of the columns and beams. From the numerical analysis, it has been observed that there is no hinge formation at 0.4g acceleration, and the first thing forms at the base of the column at 0.6g; subsequently, the formation of beams occurs in beams. The structure does not reach the collapsed state at 2.0g acceleration. The hinge formation patterns at different PGA values are shown in Fig 12(a) to Fig. 12(d).



© Copyright: All rights reserved.



VII. CONCLUSIONS

It was observed visually that no cracks developed within the precast RCC column-Steel beam joints during the complete test from 0.4g to 2.0g. The precast RCC columns started cracking at the base due to tensile and compressive forces at 0.6g and were completely damaged at 2.0g. The steel beam failure occurred at the first and second story at the steel-to-steel junction, and no failure was noticed at the precast RCC to the steel junction. The non-linear dynamic analysis was also used to investigate the performance of Precast Steel-Reinforced Concrete structures. The numerical results show that the top story acceleration values recorded from the shake table test are the same with approx. 15% difference from those obtained from numerical analysis. The hinge formation patterns almost match the failure pattern observed during the shake table test. The study concludes that the Precast Steel-Reinforced Concrete Building can also be used in high seismic zones.

Funding/ Grants/ Financial Support	No, I did not receive it.
Conflicts of Interest/	No conflicts of interest to the
Competing Interests	best of our knowledge.
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval and consent to participate with evidence.
Availability of Data and Material/ Data Access Statement	Not relevant.
Authors Contributions	All authors have equal participation in this article.

DECLARATION

REFERENCES

- Deyuan Zhou, Changtuan Guo, Xiaohan Wu and Bo Zhang. (2016). 1. Seismic Evaluation of Mutlitower Connected Building by Using Three Software Programs with Experimental Verification. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Shock and Vibration, Article ID 8215696. [CrossRef]
- 2 T.K. Datta. (2010). Seismic Analysis of Structures. John Wiley & Sons (Asia) Pte Ltd. [CrossRef]
- Mehmet Inel, Hayri Baytan Qzmen (2006), " Effect of plastic hinge 3 properties in non-linear analysis of reinforced concrete building," Engineering Structures Journal.
- Cordova, P.P. and Deierlein, G.G. (2005), Validation of the Seismic 4. Performance of Composite RCS Frames: Full -Scale Testing, Analytical Modelling, and Seismic Design, Report No. 155 the John A. Blume Earthquake Engineering Center, Stanford University.
- 5. Noguchi, H. and Uchida, K. (2004). "Finite Element Method Analysis of Hybrid Structural Frames with Reinforced concrete Columns and Steel Beams." Journal of Structural Engineering, 130(2), 328-335. [CrossRef]
- Liang Xuemei, Gustavo J. and James K. Wight (2004), "Seismic 6 behavior of RCS beam-column-slab subassemblies designed following a connection deformation-based capacity design approach," 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, B.C., Canada.
- Bendick, R.; Bilham, R.; Blume, F.; Kier, G.; Molnar, P.; Sheehan, 7 A.; Wallace, K. (2002), Earthquake Hazards and the Collision between India and Asia, NOAA Science Review
- Bugeja, M., Bracci, J.M., and Moore, W.P. (1999). "Seismic Behavior 8. of Composite Moment Resisting Frame Systems," Technical Report CBDC-99-01, Dept. of Civil Engrg., Texas A & M University.
- Sheikh, T.M., Yura, J.A., and Jirsa, J.O. (1987). "Moment 9 Connections between Steel Beams and Concrete Columns," PMFSEL Report No. 87-4, the University of Texas at Austin, Texas.

10. Griffis, L.G. (1986). "Some Design Considerations for Composite-Frame Structures," AISC Engineering Journal, Second Quarter, pp. 59-64.

AUTHORS PROFILE



Mohammad Arastu pursuing PhD from Jamia Millia Islamia University, completed his M.Tech. (Earthquake Engineering) in 2013 with Gold Medal and B.Tech. (Civil) in 2009. He is a member and charted engineer with the Indian Institute of Engineers (India). He has more than ten years of experience in structural analysis and design of Residential, Commercial, Industrial, Hospital, and Airports projects at national and international levels. mohd.arastu@gmail.com



Professor Khalid Moin completed his PhD in 1997 at IIT Roorkee, M.Tech. in structures from IIT Delhi in 1990 and B.Sec. Engg. (civil) from AMU in 1985. He has more than 37 years of teaching experience at the UG and PG level, more than 29 years of research experience and more than 20 years of consultancy experience. The major areas of research are Structures, structural dynamics and Earthquake Engineering. He is

also a member of the Indian Society of Earthquake Technology, IIT Roorkee, the Member of Building Committee, Jamia University, and Member of Task Force Committee for finalising the XII Five year Plan of the University. He has organised more than nine national and international conferences/symposia/workshops.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.