

Fuzzy Almost Generalized E-Continuous Mappings in Smooth Topological Spaces

B. Vijayalakshmi, A. Vadivel

Abstract: We introduce and study several interesting properties of fuzzy almost generalized e -continuous mappings in smooth topological spaces with counter examples. We also

introduce fuzzy $r - \frac{fT_1e}{2}$ -space, r -fuzzy g^e -space, r -fuzzy regular g^e -space and r -fuzzy generalized e -compact space. It is seen that a fuzzy almost generalized e -continuous

mapping, between a fuzzy $r - \frac{fT_1e}{2}$ -space and a fuzzy topological space, becomes fuzzy almost continuous mapping.

Index Terms: $fage$ -continuous, $r - fge$ -space, $r - fge$ -regular space, $r - \frac{fT_1e}{2}$ -space.

I. INTRODUCTION

See all undefined notions, of fuzzy sets in [28], of fuzzy topological spaces in [[1]-[4]] [[10]-[15]], [[19]-[24]] and [26], of e -open sets in topology in [[5]-[9]].

Definition 1.1 Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a mapping from a fts (X, τ) to another (Y, σ) . Then f is called fuzzy e -irresolute [27] if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a r -fge set in X for any r -fge set λ in Y .

II. FUZZY ALMOST GENERALIZED e -CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

Definition 2.1 A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$ is said to be fuzzy almost generalized e -continuous (in short, $fage$ -Cts) mapping if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is r -fuzzy generalized e -open (in short, r -fgeo) set in X for every r -fuzzy regular open (in short, r -fro) set λ in Y .

Theorem 2.1 Let f be $fage$ -Cts mapping iff $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is r -fuzzy generalized e -closed (in short, r -fgec) set in X for every r -fuzzy regular closed (in short, r -frc) set λ in Y .

Revised Manuscript Received on July 08, 2019.

B. Vijayalakshmi, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College, C. Mutlur, Chidambaram – 608 102.

A. Vadivel, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College (Autonomous), Karur – 639 005.

Example 2.1 Consider the identity mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \eta)$, where $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and fuzzy topologies (in short, FT) $\tau, \eta : I^X \rightarrow I$ on X , given by

$$\tau(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \eta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(x) &= 0.4, \lambda_1(y) = 0.6, \lambda_1(z) = 0.5; \\ \lambda_2(x) &= 0.6, \lambda_2(y) = 0.4, \lambda_2(z) = 0.4; \\ \lambda_3(x) &= 0.6, \lambda_3(y) = 0.6, \lambda_3(z) = 0.5; \\ \lambda_4(x) &= 0.4, \lambda_4(y) = 0.4, \lambda_4(z) = 0.4. \end{aligned}$$

Then f is a $fage$ -Cts mapping.

Remark 2.1 It follows from Definitions, that every fuzzy generalized e continuous (in short, fge -Cts) mapping is an $fage$ -Cts mapping.

The forthcoming example shows that the converse of the Remark 2.1 is not true.

Example 2.2 Consider the identity mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \eta)$, where $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and FT $\tau, \eta : I^X \rightarrow I$ on X given by

$$\tau(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \delta_1, \delta_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \eta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \delta_3, \delta_4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(x) &= 0.3, \delta_1(y) = 0.4, \delta_1(z) = 0.5; \\ \delta_2(x) &= 0.6, \delta_2(y) = 0.5, \delta_2(z) = 0.5; \\ \delta_3(x) &= 0.4, \delta_3(y) = 0.4, \delta_3(z) = 0.4; \\ \delta_4(x) &= 0.5, \delta_4(y) = 0.4, \delta_4(z) = 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

Then f is $fage$ -Cts mapping but f is not fge -Cts mapping. Since ω is $\frac{1}{2}$ -fuzzy open set in Y , but $f^{-1}(\omega)$

is not $\frac{1}{2}$ -fgeo in X .

Theorem 2.2 The statements (i) - (iv) are equivalent for every fuzzy mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$.

- (1) f is faeg Cts.
- (2) for each fuzzy point x_p in X and each r -fro q -nbd δ_2 of $f(x_p)$, $\exists f(x_p)$ q -nbd λ of $x_p \ni f(\lambda, r) \leq \delta_2$.
- (3) $\forall \lambda$ in X , $f(geC_\tau(\lambda, r)) \leq eC_\tau(f(\lambda), r)$.
- (4) $\forall \delta_2$ in Y , $geC_\eta(f^{-1}(\delta_2), r) \leq f^{-1}(eC_\eta(\delta_2, r))$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): We know that $f(x_p)$ is a fuzzy point in $Y \forall x_p \in I^X$. Then Now, let $\delta_2 \in I^Y$ be a r -fro $\ni f(x_p)q\delta_2$. For, $\lambda = f^{-1}(\delta_2)$ since f is fage continuous and δ_2 is r -fro set in X , we have λ is r -fgeo set of X and $x_p \in \lambda$. Therefore, $f(\lambda, r) = f(f^{-1}(\delta_2), r) \leq \delta_2$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let $x_p \in geC_\tau(\lambda, r)$. Then $x_p q \lambda$ and $f(x_p) q f(\lambda)$ implies $f(x_p) \in eC_\tau(f(\lambda), r)$ and $x_p \in f^{-1}(eC_\tau(f(\lambda), r))$. Therefore, $geC_\tau(\lambda, r) \leq f^{-1}(eC_\tau(f(\lambda), r))$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) and (4) \Rightarrow (1) are clear.

Theorem 2.3 A fage-Cts mapping $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ with (X, τ_1) be an r -fuzzy $T_{\frac{1}{2}}e$ (in short, $fT_{\frac{1}{2}}e$)-space is fuzzy almost continuous (in short, fa-Cts).

Proof. Let $\lambda \in I^Y$ be any r -frc. As f is fage-Cts, $f^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^X$ is r -fgec and since X is r - $fT_{\frac{1}{2}}e$ -space,

$f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is r -fuzzy closed (in short, r -fc) set in X . Thus f is fa-Cts.

Example 2.3 Consider the identity mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \eta)$, where $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and FT τ , $\eta : I^X \rightarrow I$ on X , given by

$$\tau(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \eta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \delta_3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

with
 $\delta_1(x) = 0.3, \delta_1(y) = 0.4, \delta_1(z) = 0.5;$
 $\delta_2(x) = 0.6, \delta_2(y) = 0.5, \delta_2(z) = 0.5;$
 $\delta_3(x) = 0.6, \delta_3(y) = 0.5, \delta_3(z) = 0.4;$
 $\delta_4(x) = 0.3, \delta_4(y) = 0.4, \delta_4(z) = 0.4;$
 $\delta_5(x) = 0.8, \delta_5(y) = 0.4, \delta_5(z) = 0.5.$

Then f is a fuzzy generalized e -irresolute (in short, fge-Irr.) and fuzzy e -irresolute (in short, fe-Irr.) mapping.

Theorem 2.4 If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is fge-Irr. and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is fage-Cts, then $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is fage-Cts.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in I^Z$ be an r -fro. Since g is fage-Cts, $g^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^Y$ is r -fgeo. As f is fge-Irr., $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\lambda)) \in I^X$ is r -fgeo. This implies $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^X$ is r -fgeo. Thus, $g \circ f$ is fage-Cts.

Theorem 2.5 If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an fuzzy continuous (In short, f-Cts) mapping and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is an fage-Cts mapping, where Y is r - $fT_{\frac{1}{2}}e$ -space, then $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is fa-Cts mapping.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in I^Z$ be any r -frc. Since g is fage-Cts mapping, $g^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^Y$ is r -fgec. As Y is r - $fT_{\frac{1}{2}}e$ -space, $g^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^Y$ is r -fc. This implies $Y - g^{-1}(\lambda)$ is r -fo. As f is f-Cts, $f^{-1}(Y - g^{-1}(\lambda)) \in I^X$ is r -fo $\Rightarrow X - f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\lambda))$ is r -fo $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\lambda))$ is r -fc $\Rightarrow (g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda)$ is r -fc Hence, $g \circ f$ is fa-Cts.

III. FUZZY GENERALIZED e -COMPACT SPACE AND FUZZY REGULAR g^e -SPACE

Here we introduce the definition of fuzzy generalized e (in short, fge)-compact space and fuzzy regular g^e (in short, frge)-space. Also some interesting theorems involving fge compact space and frge-space are established.

Definition 3.1 An fts (X, τ) is said to be r -fge-compact space if every r -fuzzy generalized e open (in short, r -fgeo) cover of X has a finite subcover.

Theorem 3.1 An fage Cts surjection image of a fge compact space is fuzzy nearly (in short, fn) compact.

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an fage-Cts surjection mapping and $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be an r -fro cover of Y . Since X is fge compact, $\{f^{-1}(\lambda_i)\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a family of r -fgeo cover of X . So, there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $\{f^{-1}(\lambda_i)\}_{i \in \Lambda_0}$ covers X . Since f is 1-1, onto, $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Lambda_0}$ will be finite r -fro subcover of the r -fro cover $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ of Y . So, Y is fn compact.

Definition 3.2 An fts



(X, τ) is said to be r -fuzzy regular generalised e (in short, frge)-space if every r -fgeo set in X is r -fro.

Remark 3.1 There are spaces which are not r -frge-spaces.

Example 3.1 Consider the fts, (X, τ) where $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and FT

$$\tau(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \delta_1, \delta_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(x) &= 0.3, \delta_1(y) = 0.4, \delta_1(z) = 0.5; \\ \delta_2(x) &= 0.7, \delta_2(y) = 0.4, \delta_2(z) = 0.5; \\ \delta_3(x) &= 0.8, \delta_3(y) = 0.5, \delta_3(z) = 0.5; \\ \delta_4(x) &= 0.8, \delta_4(y) = 0.4, \delta_4(z) = 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

Then the fts (X, τ) is not r -frge-space, since δ_3 and δ_4 are r -fgeo sets in X but not r -fro in (X, τ) .

Definition 3.3 An fts (X, τ) is said to be r -fge-space if every r -fgeo set in X is r -fo.

Remark 3.2 There are spaces which are not r -fge-spaces.

Example 3.2 Consider the fts, (X, τ) where $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and FT

$$\tau(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \delta_1, \delta_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(x) &= 0.3, \delta_1(y) = 0.5, \delta_1(z) = 0.2; \\ \delta_2(x) &= 0.4, \delta_2(y) = 0.5, \delta_2(z) = 0.5; \\ \delta_3(x) &= 0.5, \delta_3(y) = 0.7, \delta_3(z) = 0.5; \\ \delta_4(x) &= 0.4, \delta_4(y) = 0.6, \delta_4(z) = 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

Then the fts (X, τ) is not r -fge-space, since δ_3 and δ_4 are r -fgeo but not r -fo in X .

Remark 3.3 It is obvious from Definition 3.2 and 3.3 that every r -frge space is r -fge-space.

Example 3.3 Consider the fts, (X, τ) where

$X = \{x, y, z\}$ and FT

$$\tau(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \delta_1, \delta_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(x) &= 0.3, \delta_1(y) = 0.5, \delta_1(z) = 0.2; \\ \delta_2(x) &= 0.5, \delta_2(y) = 0.5, \delta_2(z) = 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

Then the fts (X, τ) is r -fge-space but not r -frge-space since λ is r -fgeo and r -fo but not r -fro set in (X, τ) .

Theorem 3.2 Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be fge-Cts surjection mapping. Then Y is r -fuzzy connected if X is r -fuzzy connected space and r -frge-space.

Proof. Suppose (Y, σ) be not r -fuzzy connected, Then $\exists \lambda \in I^Y$ such that $\lambda \neq 0_Y, 1_Y$ and λ is both r -fo and r -fc in $Y \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is both r -fgeo and r -fgec in $X \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is both r -fro and r -frc in $X \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is both r -fo and r -fc in X . Also, $f^{-1}(\lambda) \neq 0_X, 1_X$. This contradicts that X is a r -fuzzy connected space, hence, Y is r -fuzzy connected.

Theorem 3.3 Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an fage Cts surjective mapping and X be r -frge-space as well as r -fn compact space. Then Y is r -fn compact.

Proof. Let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be any r -fro cover of Y . Since f is fage Cts, $f^{-1}(\lambda_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a family of r -fgeo sets in X . X is r -frge-space. So, $f^{-1}(\lambda_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a family of r -fro sets in X . X is r -fn compact. So, $\exists \Lambda_0$ of $\Lambda \ni X \leq [f^{-1}(\lambda_i)_{i \in \Lambda_0}]$. Then $Y = f(X) \leq (\lambda_i)_{i \in \Lambda_0}$. So, $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Lambda_0}$ is a finite subcover of r -fro sets of Y . So, Y is r -fn compact.

Theorem 3.4 If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an fge Cts injective mapping and Y is a r -fuzzy Hausdorff space, then X is r -fuzzy Hausdorff if it is r -frge-space.

Proof. Let $x_p \neq y_q \in I^X$. Then $f(x_p) \neq f(y_q)$ in Y . Since Y is r -fuzzy Hausdorff, there exists r -fuzzy neighborhoods U and V of $f(x_p)$ and $f(y_q)$ respectively such that $U \wedge V = 0_X$. Since f is an r -fuzzy continuous mapping, $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are r -fuzzy open in $X \Rightarrow f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are r -fuzzy open in X . [Since X is r -fuzzy Hausdorff] $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are r -fuzzy open in X and contains respectively the fuzzy points x_p and y_q . Now, $x_p \in f^{-1}(U) = \lambda$, say $y_q \in f^{-1}(V) = \delta_2$, say. So, $\lambda \wedge \delta_2 = f^{-1}(U) \wedge f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(U \wedge V) = f^{-1}(0_X) = 0_X$. Thus, X is r -fuzzy Hausdorff.

IV. CONCLUSION

It is interesting to work under a new class of mappings viz.

fT_1 -fuzzy continuous mapping, r - fT_1 -space, r -fuzzy compact space, r -fuzzy space, r -fuzzy space in S ostak's fuzzy topological spaces. It is seen that a fT_1 -fuzzy surjection image of a r -fuzzy compact space is r -fuzzy compact.

REFERENCES

1. K. K. Azad, On fuzzy semi continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 82 (1) (1981), 14-32.
2. A.S. Bin Shahna, On fuzzy strong continuity and fuzzy precontinuity, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 44 (1991), 303-308.
3. R. N. Bhaumik and Anjan Mukherjee, Fuzzy completely continuous mappings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 56(2) (1993), 243-246.
4. C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24 (1968), 182-190.
5. E. Ekici, On r -open sets, r -sets and r -sets and decompositions of continuity, Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 33(2A)(2008), 269-282.
6. E. Ekici, Some generalizations of almost contra-super-continuity, Filomat, 21(2) (2007), 31-44.
7. E. Ekici, New forms of contra-continuity, Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, bf 24(1) (2008), 37-45.
8. E. Ekici, On r -open sets and r -sets, Mathematica Moravica, 13(1) (2009), 29-36.
9. E. Ekici, On r -open sets r -sets and decompositions of continuity and super-continuity, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eotvos Sect. Math., 51 (2008), 39-51.
10. E. Elavarasan and A. Vadivel, r -fuzzy generalized r -closed sets and maps in fuzzy topological spaces, Int. J. of current Research and Modern Education., (2017), 111-115.
11. S. Ganguly and S. Saha, A note on r -continuity and r -connected sets in fuzzy settings, Simon Stevin, 62 (2) (1988), 127-141.
12. S. Ganguly and S. Saha, A note on compactness in fuzzy setting, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 34(1) (1990), 117-124.
13. Y. C. Kim and J. W. Park, r -fuzzy r -closure and r -fuzzy r -closure sets, J. Korea Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent systems, 10(6) (2000), 557-563.
14. Y. C. Kim, A. A. Ramadam and S. E. Abbas, Weaker forms of continuity in ostak fuzzy topology, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 34(2) (2003), 311-333.
15. J. K. Kohli and A. R. Prasanna, Pseudo fuzzy continuous maps and pseudo fuzzy homeomorphism, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 93 (2) (2001), 69-76.

16. Mukherjee, Anjan and P. K. Deb, On fuzzy almost semi r -pre continuous mappings, Proc. Nat. Sem. On Rec. Dev. In Math. And its Appl., Nov.14-15 (2008), 147-154.
17. P. Smets, The degree of belief in a fuzzy event, Information Sciences, 25(1), 1981.
18. M. Sugeno, An introductory survey of fuzzy control, Information Sciences, 36(59), 1985.
19. A. S. ostak, On a fuzzy topological structure, Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo Ser. II 11 (1985), 89-103.
20. S. K. Samanta and K. C. Chattopadhyay, Fuzzy topology, Fuzzy closure operator, Fuzzy compactness and fuzzy connectedness, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 54 (1993), 207-212.
21. V. Seenivasan and K. Kamala, Fuzzy r -continuity and fuzzy r -open sets, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 8(1) (2014) 141-148.
22. V. Seenivasan and K. Kamala, Fuzzy r -Compact Spaces in Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematics and its Applications., (2014) 15-17.
23. D. Sobana, V. Chandrasekhar and A. Vadivel, Fuzzy r -continuity in ostak's fuzzy topological spaces, (in press).
24. A. Vadivel and B. Vijayalakshmi, Mixed r -fuzzy topological spaces, Int. J. of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 113(12) (2017), 115-122.
25. A. Vadivel and B. Vijayalakshmi, On fuzzy generalized r -closed sets and maps in fuzzy topological spaces, (in press).
26. A. Vadivel and B. Vijayalakshmi, Fuzzy almost r -continuous mappings and fuzzy r -compactness, (accepted).
27. A. Vadivel and B. Vijayalakshmi, Fuzzy r -irresolute mappings and fuzzy r -connectedness in smooth topological spaces, (submitted)
28. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353

AUTHORS PROFILE

B. Vijayalakshmi Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College, C. Mutlur, Chidambaram – 608 102.

A. Vadivel Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College (Autonomous), Karur – 639 005.