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Abstract: Let G = (V, E) be a graph with p vertices and q edges. A Homo Cordial Labeling of a graph G with vertex set V is a bijection from V to {0, 1} such that each edge uv is assigned the label 1 if f(u) = f(v) or 0 if f(u) ≠ f(v) with the condition that the number of vertices labelled with 0 and the number of vertices labelled with 1 differ by at most 1 and the number of edges labelled with 0 and the number of edges labelled with 1 differ by at most 1. The graph that admits a Homo Cordial labelling is called Homo Cordial graph. In this paper we prove that the spider graph \( SP(1^n, 2^m) \) is Homo-Cordial graph and further study on the generalisation of labelling spider graph \( SP(1^n, 2^m) \)
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I. INTRODUCTION

A graph G is a finite nonempty set of objects called vertices and edges. All graphs considered here are finite, simple and undirected. Gallian [1] has given a dynamic survey of graph labelling. The origin of graph labelings can be attributed to Rosa. A Path related Homo Cordial graph was introduced by Dr. A. Nellai Murugan and A. Mathubala [2, 3, 4]. Motivated towards the labelling of homocordial labelling of graphs. In this paper we prove that spider graph \( SP(1^n, 2^m) \) is Homo Cordial labelling graph. Further to generalise the concept of homo cordial labelling of spider graph \( SP(1^n, 2^m) \) we have ascertained the ways in which the number of labels assigned with 0 and number of labels assigned with 1 so as to identify the phenomena of spider graph \( SP(1^n, 2^m) \) to be called a homo cordial labelling graph.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: A tree is called a spider if it has a centre vertex C of degree R > 1 and all the other vertex is either a leaf or with degree 2. Thus a spider is an amalgamation of k paths of various lengths. If it has \( X_1 \)'s paths of length \( a_1 \), \( X_2 \)'s paths of length \( a_2 \) etc. We shall denote the spider by \( SP(a_1, a_2, a_3, ... a_m) \) where \( a_1 \neq a_2 \neq a_3 \neq ... a_m \)

and \( x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_m = R \)

III. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: The Spider graph \( SP(1^n, 2^m) \) is a homo cordial labelling graph

Proof: Let \( G = SP(1^n, 2^m) \) be a Spider graph.

We know that a tree is called a spider if it has a centre vertex C of degree R > 1 and all the other vertex is either a leaf or with degree 2. Thus a spider is an amalgamation of k paths with various lengths. If it has \( X_1 \)'s of length \( a_1 \), \( X_2 \)'s of length \( a_2 \) etc. We shall denote the spider by \( SP(a_1, a_2, a_3, ... a_m) \) where \( a_1 \neq a_2 \neq a_3 \neq ... a_m \)

and \( x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_m = R \)

Define the Vertex set \( V(SP(1^n, 2^m)) = \{u, v_i, u_j; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2t\} \) and edge set \( E(SP(1^n, 2^m)) = \{e_i = u_j; 1 \leq i \leq m, e_j = u_j; 1 \leq j \leq t, e_j = v_i; 1 \leq j \leq t\} \)

Now to label the vertices let us consider the bijective function \( f: V \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \) such that such that each edge uv is assigned the label 1 if \( f(u) = f(v) \) or 0 if \( f(u) \neq f(v) \) with the condition that the number of vertices labelled with 0 and the number of vertices labelled with 1 differ by at most 1 and the number of edges labelled with 0 and the number of edges labelled with 1 differ by at most 1. We define the labelling of vertices as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(u) = 0 & \quad f(v_i) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i \equiv 1(\text{mod} \ m) \\
    f(v_i) = 0 & \quad f(u_j) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad j \equiv 1(\text{mod} \ t) \\
    f(u_j) = 0 & \quad f(j \equiv 0(\text{mod} \ t)) \quad \text{where} \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2t
\end{align*}
\]

Then the induced edge labelling for the graph
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\[ G = SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \]

where

- \( f^+(uv_i) = 0 \) for \( i \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \)
- \( f^+(uv_i) = 1 \) for \( i \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \)
- \( f^+(uv_j) = 1 \)
- \( f^+(u_iu_j) = 0 \) where \( 1 \leq j \leq 2t \)

Noticing the induced edge labelling, we find that the number of vertices labelled with 0 is \( n \) and the number of vertices labelled with 1 is \( n+1 \) and that the number of edges labelled with 0 is \( n+1 \) and the number of edges labelled with 1 is \( n \). Hence

\[ \begin{align*}
|v_j(0) - v_j(1)| &\leq 1 \\
|e_j(0) - e_j(1)| &\leq 1
\end{align*} \]

Therefore the Spider graph \( G = SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \) is a homo cordial labelling graph.

**Example 3.2**: Consider the Spider graph \( SP\left(1^2, 2^2\right) \)

![Spider Graph](image)

In order to generalise the Spider graph \( SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \) for the various types we classify according to the values of \( m \) and \( t \) as follows

- **Case.(i)** \( m \neq t \)
- **Case.(ii)** \( m = t \)
- **Case.(iii)** \( m \neq f \neq t \)

Where we define a relation between the possibilities of \( 1^m \) with that of \( 2^t \) as

- \( A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, m\} \), where \( A_1 \) is the possibilities of \( 1^m \)
- \( A_2 = \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \), where \( A_2 \) is the possibilities of \( 2^t \)

then the relation \( R = A_1 x A_2 \) is defined as either \( \sim \), \( = \) or \( \neq \), as in Case.(i), Case.(ii) and Case.(iii) respectively.

Suppose for \( m=2 \) and \( t=3 \) we have \( A_1 = \{1, 2\} \) and \( A_2 = \{1, 2, 3\} \) therefore we have

\[ R = A_1 x A_2 = \{(1,1)(1,2)(1,3)(2,2)(2,3)(2,1)\} \]

we identify that \( (1,2)(1,3)(2,3) \) belongs to Case.(i) , \((1,1)(2,2) \) belongs to Case. (ii) and \((2,1) \) belongs to Case.(iii) giving rise to the number of elements in the relation \( R \) and for \( m=2 \) and \( t=3 \) we have total number of elements \( = 2x3=6 \) and hence in general \( mnxn \) elements for a spider graph \( SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \)

**Definition 3.3**: For a Spider graph \( SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \) let us define a relation \( R \) from \( A_1 \) to \( A_2 \) defined as a subset of the Cartesian product \( A_1 x A_2 \) such that \( A_1 = \{x : 1 \leq x \leq m\} \) and \( A_2 = \{y : 1 \leq y \leq t\} \).

The number of vertices among each of the possibilities of \( 1^m \) is 1 excluding the centre vertex and the number of edges contributed for each of the possibilities of \( 1^m \) is 1. Also the number of vertices among each of the possibilities of \( 2^t \) is 2 excluding the centre vertex and the number of edges contributed for each of the possibilities of \( 2^t \) is 2.

**Definition 3.4**: Basic Spider graph \( SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \) for \( m=1 \) and \( t=1 \). The Spider graph \( SP\left(1^1, 2^1\right) \) is defined to have the vertex set \( V\left(SP\left(1^1, 2^1\right)\right) = \{u, u_1, v_1, v_2\} \) and the edge set \( E\left(SP\left(1^1, 2^1\right)\right) = \{u_1u, uv_1, v_1v_2\} \) with number of vertices \( SP\left(1^1, 2^1\right) = 4 \) and number of edges \( SP\left(1^1, 2^1\right) = 3 \).

**Note.1**: For each possibility of \( 1^m \) the number of vertices increases by 1 and edges increase by 1 and for each possibility of \( 2^t \) the number of vertices increases by 2 and edges increases by 2.

**Note.2**: By Labelling procedure adopted in Theorem.3.1 to prove Spider graph \( SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \) is homo cordial graph we notice that the basic Spider graph \( SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \) for \( m=1 \) and \( t=1 \) has 4 labels (both vertices and edges) assigned with 0 and 3 labels (both vertices and edges) assigned with 1.

**Definition 3.5**: One part of Spider graph \( SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right) \) for a Spider graph \( SP\left(1^1, 2^1\right) \) from the basic Spider graph \( SP\left(1^1, 2^1\right) \) we add one part denoted by \( T(F_i) \) and the resulting Spider graph is
The resulting graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ is said to increase the number of labels assigned as 0 by 1 and the number of labels assigned as 1 by 1 to the existing basic Spider graph $SP(1^1, 2^2)$.

**Definition 3.6:** One part of Spider graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$.

For a Spider graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$, there is one part $T(F_2)$ denoted by $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ and the resulting Spider graph is $SP(1^1, 2^2)$. The resulting graph $SP(1^1, 2^2)$ is said to increase the number of labels assigned as 0 by 2 and the number of labels assigned as 1 by 2 to the existing basic Spider graph $SP(1^1, 2^2)$.

**Theorem 3.7:** If a Spider graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ is homocordial, then

$$T_0(SP(1^m, 2^2)) = T_0(SP(1^1, 2^2)) + (m - 1)T_0(F_1)$$

and

$$T_1(SP(1^m, 2^2)) = T_1(SP(1^1, 2^2)) + (m - 1)T_1(F_1)$$

**Proof:** Consider the Spider graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ which is proved as homocordial graph as in Theorem 3.1. Let us prove the theorem by method of Mathematical induction on $m$, where $m$ is the number of paths from the centre vertex.

We have $SP(1^1, 2^2)$ which is the basic Spider graph and contains labelling of 4 0's (both vertices and edges) and 3 1's (both vertices and edges). On adding one part $T(F_1)$ we find that there is an increase in label assigned with 0 by 1 and label assigned with 1 by 1. Hence $SP(1^1, 2^2)$ has 5 0's and 4 1's. Hence

$$T_0(SP(1^1, 2^2)) = T_0(SP(1^1, 2^2)) + (2 - 1)T_0(F_1)$$

$$T_0(SP(1^1, 2^2)) = T_0(SP(1^1, 2^2)) + T_0(F_1)$$

$$T_0(SP(1^1, 2^2)) = 4 + 1 = 5$$

Similarly

$$T_1(SP(1^1, 2^2)) = T_1(SP(1^1, 2^2)) + T_1(F_1)$$

We have $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ which is the basic Spider graph and contains labelling of $m$ 0's (both vertices and edges) and $m$ 1's (both vertices and edges). On adding one part $T(F_1)$ we find that there is an increase in label assigned with 0 by 1 and label assigned with 1 by 1. Hence $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ has $m$ 0's and $m$ 1's.

**Corollary 3.8:** If for Spider graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ which is homocordial labelling graph removal of each part $T(F_1)$ reduces the total number of vertices and edges labelled with 0 by 1 and total number of vertices and edges labelled with 1 by 1 and reduces to the basic Spider graph $SP(1^1, 2^2)$.

**Proof:** Consider the Spider graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ which is homocordial labelling graph. Now we remove one part $T(F_1)$ and one part $T(F_1)$ consists of total number of vertices and edges labelled with 0 as 1 and total number of vertices and edges labelled with 1 as 1. Continuing this procedure $m$-1 times we can reduce the Spider graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ to the basic Spider graph $SP(1^1, 2^2)$. Hence the proof.

**Theorem 3.9:** If Spider graph $SP(1^m, 2^2)$ is homocordial graph then
Corollary 3.10: If for Spider graph \( SP^{(1', 2')}_2 \) which is homo cordial labelling graph removal of each part \( T(F_2) \) reduces the total number of vertices and edges labelled with 0 by 2 and total number of vertices and edges labelled with 1 by 2 and reduces to the basic Spider graph \( SP^{(1', 2')} \).

Proof: Consider the Spider graph \( SP^{(1', 2')} \) which is proved as homo cordial graph as in Theorem 3.1. Let us prove the theorem by method of Mathematical induction on t, where \( t \) is the number of paths from the centre vertex.

Let us prove for the 1st positive integer \( t \) i.e \( t=2 \).

We have \( SP^{(1', 2')} \) which is the basic Spider graph and contains labelling of 40’s (both vertices and edges) and 31’s (both vertices and edges). On adding one path \( T(F_2) \) we find that there is an increase in label assigned with 0 by 2 and label assigned with 1 by 2. Hence \( SP^{(1', 2')} \) has 60’s and 51’s. Hence

\[
T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) + (2-1)T_0(F_2)
\]

\[
T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) + (t-1)T_0(F_2)
\]

\[
T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = 4 + 2 = 6
\]

Similarly

\[
T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) + (t-1)T_1(F_2)
\]

\[
T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) + (2-1)T_1(F_2)
\]

\[
T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) + T_1(F_2)
\]

\[
T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = 3 + 2 = 5
\]

Hence it is true for \( m=2 \).

Now let us assume that the result is true for \( t=k \).

\[
T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) + (k-1)T_0(F_2)
\]

\[
T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) = T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2')}\right) + (k-1)T_1(F_2)
\]

Now let us prove for \( m=k+1 \) i.e to prove

\[
T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2^{k+1})}\right) = T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2^k)}\right) + (k)T_0(F_2)
\]

\[
T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2^{k+1})}\right) = T_1\left(SP^{(1', 2^k)}\right) + (k)T_1(F_2)
\]

Consider

\[
T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2^{k+1})}\right) = T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2^k)}\right) + (k-1)T_0(F_2) + T_0(F_2)
\]

\[
T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2^{k+1})}\right) = T_0\left(SP^{(1', 2^k)}\right) + kT_0(F_2)
\]

Hence

\[
T_0\left(SP^{(1^{k+1}, 2')}\right) = T_0\left(SP^{(1^k, 2')}\right) + (k)T_0(F_1)
\]

Similarly we can prove for

\[
T_1\left(SP^{(1^{k+1}, 2')}\right) = T_1\left(SP^{(1^k, 2')}\right) + kT_1(F_2)
\]

Hence the theorem by induction.
Hence the proof.

**Corollary 3.12**: If for Spider graph $SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)$ which is homo cordial labelling graph removal of each part $T(F) = T(F_1) + T(F_2)$ reduces the total number of vertices and edges labelled with 0 by 3 and total number of vertices and edges labelled with 1 by 3 and reduces to the basic Spider graph $SP\left(1^t, 2^t\right)$

**Proof**: Consider the Spider graph $SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)$ which is homo cordial labelling graph. Now we remove one part $T(F) = T(F_1) + T(F_2)$ which consists of total number of vertices and edges labelled with 0 as 3 and total number of vertices and edges labelled with 1 as 3. Continuing this procedure ($m - 1$) ($t - 1$) times we can reduce the Spider graph $SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)$ to the basic Spider graph $SP\left(1^t, 2^t\right)$. Hence the proof.

**Theorem 3.13**: If G is a Spider graph $SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)$ then the following are equivalent

(a) Spider graph $SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)$ is homo cordial graph

(b) $T(J\left(SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)\right)) = 2T(J\left(SP\left(1^t, 2^t\right)\right)) + (m - 1)T(J(F_1)) + (t - 1)T(J(F_2))$

and

(c) Each part $T(F)$ of Spider graph $SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)$ has 3 0's and 3 1's

**Proof**: Consider the graph G, the Spider graph $SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)$. To prove that the following are equivalent we claim

(a) Implies (b)

(b) Implies (c)

(c) Implies (a)

For (a) implies (b)

By considering the Theorem 3.1 and the labelling procedure we can claim the results in Theorem 3.11 and hence (b) is true

For (b) implies (c)

By considering the result obtained in theorem 3.11 and from the corollary 3.12 we are done.

For (c) implies (a)

By considering (c) we can obtain by adding each part $T(F)$ to the basic Spider graph $SP\left(1^t, 2^t\right)$ consecutively

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In this paper we have considered Spider Graph $SP\left(1^m, 2^t\right)$ and proved that it is homo cordial labelling graph and have identified a generalisation method for Spider graph. We are investigating on the other types of Spider graphs which can be also labelled so as to prove that they are homo cordial labelling graph.

**REFERENCES**

1. J.A. Gallian, A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling. Twentieth edition 2017