Abstract: A novel cryptographic algorithm, namely Significant Secure Biometric Key (SSBK) algorithm is proposed. The novel algorithm is compared with the existing cryptographic algorithms like Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), key exchange algorithm like Diffie-Helman and also with Symmetric Random Biometric Key (SRBK) algorithm, and finally we prove the proposed algorithm is superior than existing algorithm based on few parameters. A sample plain text is taken and converted to cipher text and the key from the biometric feature is used for encryption and decryption. In the key generation process, the bi-modal biometrics, namely Ear and Lip features are taken. The concatenated key values obtained from ear and lip can be of minimum 8 bits to the maximum of 1024 bits based on the type of algorithm used.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The development of communication has led in a huge amount of digital data over public shared media. Cryptography provides security for the data over the shared medium. Therefore secure transmission is important. Generally, keys are so long to remember and storing them is unsafe. So we propose a method to generate a cryptographic key using biometrics. These keys are constant throughout the person lifetime. Thus, the keys square measure generated directly from biometric knowledge and it’s not hold on within the info. Since it creates a lot of complexity to crack or guess the crypto keys, this approach has reduced the complicated sequence of the operation to get a crypto- keys within the ancient cryptography system. [1], [3], [4], [13],[16]

1.1. STEPS FOLLOWED FOR KEY GENERATION IN EAR AND LIP BASED BIOMETRICS

a) Input image – A sample image is taken.
b) Converting the image from the RGB form to a GRAY form.
c) Filtering- Various frequency domain filters are applied to analyze the performance of noise removal.
d) Enhancement- The better filtered image is taken for further process such that even tone is maintained Throughout (Tonal regularization)
e) Image reshaping- Adjusting the mean value of the pixel.
f) Extraction- Concentrating on a particular area on the image (Viz Concha of the Ear)
g) Concatenation- Calculating the gradient using Prewitt’s operator.
h) Gradient image-
i) Sorting- Re arranging the pixel value for generating the binary key.
j) Key generation. [10]
k) After performing the above process, the binary value is obtained from Ear and Lip biometric feature. The 8-bit binary value is shown below:
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II. AES ANALYSIS

i. AES is based on block cipher with length of 128 bits.[15]

ii. AES takes into account three distinctive key lengths: 128, 192, or 256 bits.[5]

iii. Encryption comprises of 10 rounds of preparing for 128-bit keys, 12 rounds for 192-bit keys, and 14 rounds for 256-bit keys.[6]

iv. Except for the last round for each situation, every other round are indistinguishable [2]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    k_0 & k_4 & k_8 & k_{12} \\
    k_1 & k_5 & k_9 & k_{13} \\
    k_2 & k_6 & k_{10} & k_{14} \\
    k_3 & k_7 & k_{11} & k_{15}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\downarrow
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    w_0 & w_1 & w_2 & w_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Fig 3: Eight bit key from LIP

Fig 4: Key representation as words in AES [2]

Fig 6: Concatenated key (Ear and Lip) used in AES for Pre-round

Fig 7: Sample data taken for encryption

Fig 8: The Key at the end of encryption and cipher text
III. THE BIOMETRIC KEYS IN KEY EXCHANGE ALGORITHM- DIFFIE-HELMAN ALGORITHM

Step 1: USER A and USER B selects a random number 11 (q=11)

Select $\alpha$ such that it should be a primitive root of q.
Considering $\alpha$=2.

Step 2: USER A selected a private key $X_a = 9(00001001)$- (Ex: KEY OBTAINED FROM EAR FEATURE) and

USER B selected a private key $X_b = 5(00000101)$- (Ex. KEY OBTAINED FROM LIP FEATURE)

Step 3: USER A and USER B computes public values,

USER A: $Y_a = \alpha^{X_a} \mod q$

= $2^9 \mod 11$

USER B: $Y_b = \alpha^{X_b} \mod q$

= $2^5 \mod 11$

= $32 \mod 11$

$Y_b = 10$

$Y_a \equiv 6 \mod 11$

Step 4: USER A and USER B exchange public numbers,

Step 5: USER A receives public key $Y_b=10$ and USER B receives public key $Y_a=6$

Step 6: USER A and USER B compute symmetric keys

USER A: $K = Y_b^X_a \mod q$

= $(10)^9 \mod 11$

= 10

USER B: $K = Y_a^X_b \mod q$

= $(6)^5 \mod 11$

= 10

Step 7: K=10 is the shared secret.

IV. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM IS ALSO COMPARED WITH SYMMETRIC RANDOM BIOMETRIC KEY (SRBK) ALGORITHM.
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A. SIGNIFICANT -SECURE BIOMETRIC KEY ALGORITHM (SSBK) ENCRYPTION/DECRIPTION OF SSBK

Fig 12: Decryption flow chart

A. SRBK ANALYSIS ON VARIOUS PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMORY OCCUPIED</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRBK</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Throughput</th>
<th>Encryption</th>
<th>Decryption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.55 Mbps</td>
<td>7.53 Mbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INPUT FILE SIZE(Kb)</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>240</th>
<th>320</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENCRYPTION TIME (MILLISECONDS)</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>56</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>76</th>
<th>88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DECRYPTION TIME (MILLISECONDS)</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>46</th>
<th>72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

V. PROPOSED NOVEL METHOD
### Workflow of Decryption

**CIPHER TEXT**: 1.01001E+62

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUND</th>
<th>LEFT OPERATION</th>
<th>RIGHT OPERATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>00000101001101010100000100101111 (BUFFER 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>01001001010101010100000001000011 (BUFFER 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>0000101001110000101001111000010111 (BUFFER 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>01001001010101010100000001000011 (BUFFER 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>0000101001110000101001111000010111 (BUFFER 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>01001001010101010100000001000011 (BUFFER 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>0000101001110000101001111000010111 (BUFFER 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>01001001010101010100000001000011 (BUFFER 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>0000101001110000101001111000010111 (BUFFER 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>01001001010101010100000001000011 (BUFFER 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01E+30</td>
<td>0000101001110000101001111000010111 (BUFFER 19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEVIDING THE BITS INTO LEFT AND RIGHT BITS**

- **ROUND 9**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30
- **ROUND 8**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30
- **ROUND 7**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30
- **ROUND 6**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30
- **ROUND 5**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30
- **ROUND 4**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30
- **ROUND 3**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30
- **ROUND 2**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30
- **ROUND 1**: 1.01E+30, 1.01E+30

**OUTPUT**: 1.01001E+62
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Features of SSBK Algorithm

1. The security level is enhanced by the increased number of rounds.
2. The biometric key is 32-bit in SSBK whereas it is 16 bits in SRBK algorithm.
3. Each round has internally stored buffer which stores the current value and also fed as an input for the next round.
4. Throughput of the proposed method is higher than the existing algorithm since the process time for encryption and decryption time is less. (results are discussed in later section)
5. The security is likewise improved by the utilization of biometric keys, as the underlying worth changes which thusly modifies the info esteem for the progressive stages.
6. This is best known for fast, secure, significant and hence the name Significant-Secure Biometric Key algorithm.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The following comparisons are made to show the proposed method is better in terms of memory, encryption time, decryption time and throughput.

Memory: As shown in fig.13, the SSBK algorithm occupies the least memory next to SRBK algorithm. The other encryption algorithms occupy the successive places in the graph. The encryption time is determined by using encryption algorithm which converts plain text to cipher text. The throughput is determined dependent on the encryption time. It shows the speed of encryption. The throughput of the encryption is the ratio of the total plaintext in bytes encrypted to the encryption time. The process time of the CPU is the time taken to perform a particular dedicated set of calculations. In other words, it highlights the load on CPU. The encryption time is proportional to the load on CPU. Various analysis have been performed using different file size of data. It is observed that the obtained throughput of SSBK outperforms the existing algorithms like AES, DES and RSA.

Fig 15: Memory occupied by various algorithms

Fig 16: Input data (Kb) Vs CPU process time-Encryption
Fig 18: Throughput (Mb/sec)- Encryption

Fig 19: Throughput (Mb/sec)- Decryption

Fig 20: Various key length comparison

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Thus the proposed cryptographic algorithm is used for trusted communication between parties, avoiding few disadvantages of other existing algorithms. The proposed algorithm uses 32-bit (SSBK) biometric key which is the most important parameter to be noted. Thus the biometric key generation is flexible and can be altered based on the cryptographic algorithm used. The proposed algorithm uses simple operations, thus taking less time for processing and works faster for encryption and decryption which gives high throughput. Finally, it is proved that the proposed algorithm is performing better in terms of memory, encryption and decryption time and also throughput than the other existing algorithms. The biometric key is also generated from EAR and LIP which offer more security for communicating the data. The future work will include testing/simulations on the various biometric features, with multimodal biometric key generations and performing the key on the various file size of text, audio/video data and can concentrate on reducing the encryption/decryption process time and to overcome attack.
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