Abstract: The current study analyses the runoff response using Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) during rainfall incidents over the sub-basin of Deo River, Panch Mahal, Gujarat, India. The SWAT model is developed for the Deo river sub-basin having catchment area of 194.36 km², with 7 sub-basins comprising of 94 Hydrological Response Units (HRUs). Two rain gauge stations present in the study area (viz., Deo dam and Shivarajpur) were chosen to evaluate the efficiency of the SWAT model. To conduct SWAT model Calibration and Validation, the Soil and Water Assessment Tool-Calibration Uncertainty Program (SWAT-CUP) with Sequential Uncertainty Fitting (SUFI-2) algorithm has been used. The model was run for the period from 2000 to 2017 considering 2 years (2000-2001) warm up period with a calibration period of 2002 to 2012 and a validation period of 2013 to 2017. The sensitivity of the basin parameters was evaluated and found Curve Number as the most sensitive parameter, hence, it can be considered to improve the model’s runoff simulation efficiency. The study found that the model performed good with a Coefficient of Determination (R²) and Nash–Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) as 0.89 and 0.87 during calibration and 0.88 and 0.81 during validation respectively giving data at daily scale. The findings of this study revealed that SWAT model is helpful for runoff prediction and flood forecasting for extreme rainfall occurrences in Deo river basin.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Hydrological models represent the hydrologic cycle conceptually. These models are developed by understanding the physics of hydrological process and use physical equations to describe these procedures. There are mainly three types of hydrological models; Black Box Models, Deterministic Models and Conceptual Models. Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) is a Deterministic model which uses non-linear partial differential equations and requires a large amount of data and computational time. There are two-level system; one is sub-basin identification and other is HRU distribution using land use classes and soil types.

II. STUDY AREA

Deo river is selected for the present study which flows in Dahod, Panch Mahals and Vadodara districts of Gujarat. Deo river is the right bank tributary of the Dhadhar river. Its other name is Dev river in the name of god. At 26 km distance Deo dam is located on Deo river having catchment area of 194.36 sq. km., which is selected as study area. The basin lies between 22° 22΄ N to 22° 31΄ N latitude and 73° 30΄ E to 73° 42΄ E longitude. The average annual precipitation is 1072 mm (42.21 inches) in the basin. The maximum average temperature felt here is of 39℃ in the month of May and the minimum average temperature of 13℃ for the month of February. The average annual wind speed recorded at the Deo dam weather station is 6.53 m/s.
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III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Description of SWAT Model

SWAT model is based on physical processes and is semi-distributed. SWAT spatially distributes the model in sub-units called sub-watersheds which are named as Hydrological Response Units (HRUs). Using the master water balance approach, SWAT model computes runoff volumes and peak flows expressed as:

\[ SW_t = SW_o + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (R_{day} - Q_{surf} - E_i - W_{seep} - Q_{gw}) \]  

Where, \( SW_t \) = Final soil water content (mm), \( SW_o \) = Initial soil water content (mm), \( t \) = Time in days, \( R_{day} \) = Amount of precipitation on day \( i \) (mm), \( Q_{surf} \) = Amount of surface runoff on day \( i \) (mm), \( E_i \) = Amount of evapotranspiration on day \( i \) (mm), \( W_{seep} \) = Amount of percolation and bypass exiting the soil profile bottom on day \( i \) (mm) and \( Q_{gw} \) = return flow on day \( i \) (mm).

B. Description of Sequential Uncertainty Fitting (SUFI)-2

In SUFI-2, the uncertainty is expressed as the difference between actual and simulated factors. The SUFI-2 is the combination of uncertainty analysis along with calibration to obtain parameter uncertainties that ensure prediction uncertainties grouping the majority of the measured data, while developing minimum possible prediction uncertainty band. Uncertainty of the input parameter in SUFI-2 is shown as homogeneous, i.e. uniform distribution, whereas uncertainty of the model output is measured as 95% parameter prediction uncertainty (i.e., 95PPU). 95 Percentage Prediction Uncertainty is considered to calculate P-factor. R-factor measures the strength of calibration or uncertainty analysis, R-factor is average thickness of 95 Percentage Prediction Uncertainty band divided by measured data deviation.

C. Input Data for SWAT Model

The input data required for SWAT such as, Digital Elevation Model (DEM), LU/LC, Soil data, Hydro-meteorological data were either prepared or procured from different sources.

DEM is the basic unit for the catchment delineation process in SWAT and used to determine the drainage network of the landscape and parameters affecting the basin. For the present study, CARTOSAT-1 DEM (30 m x 30 m resolution) was downloaded from ISRO’s bhuvan.nrsc.gov.in website. The DEM shows highest elevation as 761 m and lowest as 66 m of study area. The study area’s northern and southern parts are having low elevation range while the greater altitude is observed in the eastern and western parts. DEM was used to obtain slope, direction of flow and accumulation, network of streams and outlet points.
Daily minimum temperature (°C) and maximum temperature (°C) data were collected from State Water Data Centre (Gandhinagar). Rainfall data of Deo dam and Shivrampur rain-gauge stations were collected for the years 2000-2017. Deo dam weather station was chosen for temperature data and model period was set to be 2000 to 2017 due to unavailability of previous years datasets. Daily inflow data from 2002-2017 was collected from the office of Deo dam.

D. Model Set-up

The main steps involved in GIS interface of ArcSWAT are Watershed delineation, HRU analysis, Write Input Tables (weather data) and SWAT run. Flow chart of SWAT model is shown in Fig. 5.

Watershed is delineated with DEM as main input and for HRU analysis Land use map and soil map are required. HRU (Hydrologic Response Unit) is a distinctive mixture of land use, soil and slope characteristics. 94 HRUs were generated giving thresholds of 5% for land use, 5% for soil and 10% for slope. Then rainfall (mm) and maximum and minimum temperature (°C) were given as input for SWAT Run. At last SWAT run is performed entering the starting and ending date of simulation, Print-out setting set to daily and the number of warm up years. Finally SWAT check was run to get the details of Water balance in the Deo river sub-basin.

E. Model Calibration and Validation

It is important to carry out Calibration in the development of any hydrological model. That shows precise and realistic physical procedures taking place within the basin. Management parameters, ground water parameters, soil parameters and main channel parameters are more influential to the runoff system have been used. This study considered a calibration period of eleven years, from 2000-2012, including two years of warm-up, i.e. 2000 and 2001. To execute the calibration technique, ten parameters were used.

Sensitivity Analysis describes the rate of change in model outputs regarding changes in model inputs. Because it takes into account the spatial heterogeneity, the SWAT model has many number of parameters. Sensitivity analysis was conducted using the technique of Global Sensitivity Analysis using Latin Hypercube Sampling and One-At-a-Time (LHS-OAT). Ten Runoff parameters were used.
to analyze sensitivity giving lower and upper bound ranges of
the parameters and using Replace (R) and Absolute (V) methods
to get the parameter ranges more smaller .

Validation of the model was performed at the same
observation stations used for Calibration. All the input
parameter ranges used for calibration in this method stayed
unchanged. A validation period of five years, i.e. from
2013-2017, was taken for the current research.

F. Model Performance Evaluation Indices

Model performance is evaluated by various indices, which
are as follows: (1) Coefficient of Determination (R^2) and (2)
Nash–Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) to evaluate the model
performance for the data acquired at two rain gage stations.
Computing P and R factors can be used to analyze a better
evaluation of the model during calibration and validation.

Coefficient of Determination (R^2) ranges from 0.0 to 1.0,
where greater value indicates a better agreement. R^2 is
calculated by equation as follows:

\[ R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i + X') (Y_i - Y')^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - X')^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - Y')^2} \]  

(3)

Where, \( n \) is the number of measured data, \( X_i \) and \( Y_i \) are
the measured and predicted data at time i, \( X' \) and \( Y' \) are the mean
of measured and predicted data.

Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency is the second method used. This
objective function best illustrates the model performance. Its
value lies between -∞ to 1, where NSE= 1 shows that the
observed values are same as the simulated values. Negative
values show that the mean measured value is greater than
the simulated values. The NSE indicates the degree of fitness by
drawing 1:1 for observation versus simulation data. The
effectiveness of NSE can be determined according to the
equation:

\[ NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - Y_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - X')^2} \]  

(4)

Where, \( n \) is the number of measured data, \( X_i \) and \( Y_i \) are
the measured and predicted data at time i, \( X' \) is the mean
of measured data.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Evaluation of SWAT Check Results

Water Balance Components of Deo River Sub-Basin
Generated by SWAT

From the Fig. 8., it can be said that from total precipitation,
49% is obtained as surface runoff, 13% as percolation, 36%
as evapotranspiration and 1% as deep recharge and lateral
flow. So, 49% of precipitation flows as surface runoff. Curve
number value obtained is 84.91 which is near to 100 so it
indicates that runoff will be more.

Fig. 8. Water Balance Components of Deo River
Sub-basin Generated by SWAT Check

Simulated Runoff by Different Land Use Classes

It can be observed from Fig. 9. that maximum runoff is
contributed by barren land (22%) then followed by urban
land cover (18%). More runoff is observed from agricultural
(17%) and pasture land (15%) than forests (14%) and bushes
(14%).

Fig. 9. Simulated Runoff by Different Land Use Classes

Comparison of Average Monthly Observed and Simulated
Runoff of Calibration Period

Average monthly observed and simulated runoff of Deo
river basin are shown in Fig. 10. Bar chart shows that in the
months of June, July and August simulated runoff is more
than observed runoff while in the months of September and
October observed runoff is more than simulated runoff.
Comparison of Observed and Simulated Runoff

B. Calibration And Validation Results

For Calibration $R^2$, NSE, PBIAS and RSR values obtained from SWAT-CUP are 0.89, 0.87, -3.8 and 0.37 respectively. For Validation $R^2$, NSE, PBIAS and RSR values obtained are 0.88, 0.81, -30.6 and 0.43 respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Calibration and Validation Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2000-2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2013-2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scatter graph of Daily Observed and Simulated Stream Flow During Calibration (2000-2012) and Validation (2013-2017) are shown in Fig. 11.

C. Sensitivity Analysis

The most sensitive parameters affecting the amount of runoff for the study area are shown in Table 2. These ranks were obtained according to the objective function: the P-Value of parameters for calibration between the observed and simulated values. Parameter having least p-value has the highest sensitivity. The management practices, soils and groundwater parameters in the study area have a major influence on the streamflow by.

The management properties of the basin are always a key factor in estimating runoff. Here also management parameter like Initial SCS Surface Runoff Curve Number II is found to be the most or first sensitive showing that land use, planting, harvesting, irrigation applications, tillage operation and soil permeability of the basin influences the runoff flow.

Available Water Capacity of the soil layer (SOL_AWC) was the second sensitive parameter found. The amount of runoff obtained from the catchment area depends on soil characteristics such as the texture and the compression of soil as it is essential for development, nutrient transport. The base flow alpha factor (ALPHA_BF) was discovered to be extremely
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susceptible, a parameter describing the reaction of groundwater flow to modifications in fluid refueling. This assessment of sensitivity shows that the flow of this region is also controlled by the ground water flow.

Table II. Sensitivity Analysis Result of Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Name</th>
<th>Parameter Name in SWAT-CUP</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
<th>Sensitivity Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial SCS Runoff Curve Number II</td>
<td>R_CN2.mgt</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Water Capacity Factor</td>
<td>R_SOL_AWC().sol</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Flow Alpha Factor</td>
<td>V_ALPHA_BF.gw</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater “revap” Coefficient</td>
<td>V_GW_REVAP.gw</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Evaporation Compensation Factor</td>
<td>V_ESCO.hru</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity</td>
<td>R_SOL_K().sol</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold Depth Of Water In The Shallow Aquifer Required For Return Flow To Occur</td>
<td>V_GWQMN.gw</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Delay Time</td>
<td>V_GW_DELAY.gw</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Aquifer Percolation Factor</td>
<td>V_RCHRG_DP.gw</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold Depth Of Water In The Shallow Aquifer For “Revap” To Occur</td>
<td>V_REVAPMN.gw</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Uncertainty Analysis
P and R factors are used to determine the degree of uncertainty in the calibrated model. Range of P-factor and R-factor is 0 to 1 and 0 to ∞ respectively. P-factor of 1 and R-factor of 0 show that simulation data tightly match the measured data. To determine the efficacy of the calibrated model, to what extent these factors deviate from these suggested statistics are used. Local precipitation variations, sudden changes in climatic conditions, the dam design and reservoirs influencing the hydrology of the study area participates in the uncertainty.

In this study, by altering the boundaries of parameters through trial and error method, the upper limit and lower limit of the parameters were set to minimize uncertainty. The calibration P-factor and R-factor were discovered to be 0.46 and 0.00 respectively. The validation P-factor and R-factor were discovered to be 0.43 and 0.00 respectively. Since the P-factor lies between 0-1 and the R-factor is 0, calibration and validation can be regarded satisfactory for this research.

Average annual precipitation of 16 years (2002-2017) was found to be 929 mm. Maximum precipitation is obtained as surface runoff i.e. 49% followed by evapotranspiration (36%), percolation (13%) and deep recharge and lateral flow as 1% which is also proved by the obtained Average Curve Number as 84.91 indicating a good amount of runoff can be obtained at outlet point. Nash Sutcliff efficiency (NSE), $R^2$ value, PBIAS and RSR values obtained for calibration are 0.87, 0.89, -3.8% and 0.37 respectively and for validation as 0.81, 0.88, -30.6% and 0.43 respectively, showing a good model performance. Sensitivity analysis was also performed using LHS-OAT (Latin Hypercube Sampling and One-at-a-time) technique and out of 10 calibrated parameters 3 parameters of higher sensitivity ranks are Initial SCS runoff curve number II, Available water capacity of the soil layer and Base flow alpha factor. Examination of these sensitive parameters disclosed that both surface water and groundwater flow features influences the streamflow in this region.

In this study, by altering the boundaries of parameters through trial and error method, the upper limit and lower limit of the parameters were set to minimize uncertainty. The calibration P-factor and R-factor were discovered to be 0.46 and 0.00 respectively. The validation P-factor and R-factor were discovered to be 0.43 and 0.00 respectively. Since the P-factor lies between 0-1 and the R-factor is 0, calibration and validation can be regarded satisfactory for this research.

SWAT along with Remote Sensing and GIS can be implemented to evaluate various hydrological parameters for this study area. SWAT model is useful in estimating various water balance components with good precision. This modeling method enables to identify hydrological sensitive parameters, analyze watershed hydrology, and can assign efficient management practices in the basin. SWAT-CUP Calibration program can be used effectively rather than other Statistical methods.
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