

Fuzzy Translation of INK-ideal of INK-algebras

Kaviyarasu. M Indhira. K.



Abstract: In this paper, the ideas of fuzzy translation (FT) to F-INK-ideals in INK-algebras are presented. The concept of (FE) fuzzy extensions and (FM) fuzzy multiplications of F-INK-ideals with a few related properties are examined. Additionally, the connections between fuzzy translations, fuzzy extensions (FE) and fuzzy multiplications (FM) of F-INK-ideals are investigated.

Keywords:INK-algebra, fuzzy INK-ideal, (FT) fuzzy translation, (FE) fuzzy extension, (FM) fuzzy multiplication.

I. INTRODUCTION

BCK-algebras and BCI algebras are curtailed to two Boolean algebras. The previous was brought up in 1966 by Imai and Iseki, and the last was natives around the same time due to Iseki. In 1991, Xi applied the idea of fuzzy sets to BCK-algebras math. In 1993, Jun and Ahmad applied it to BCI-algebras. After that Jun, Meng, Liu and a few specialists explored further properties of fuzzy-BCK-algebras and fuzzy subset(ideal). In 2017, Indhira and Kaviyarasu presented fuzzy-INK-ideal in INK-algebras and presented (IF) intuitionistic fuzzy-INK-ideal in INK-algebras. Lee et al. furthermore, Jun talked about (IT) fuzzy translation, (FE) fuzzy extensions and (FM) fuzzy multiplications of F-subalgebras and ideal in BCK/BCI-algebras. They examined relations among fuzzy translation, F-extension and F-multiplications. In this paper, we investigated translation properties and extension condition fuzzy-INK-ideal applied in Fuzzy-INK-algebra.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, some elementary aspects that are necessary for this paper are included.

An algebra (\dot{U} ; °, 0) is named a INK-algebra on the off chance that it fulfils the accompanying conditions for every p, q, r \in \dot{U} .

- $((p \circ q) \circ (p \circ r)) \circ (r \circ q) = 0$
- $\bullet \qquad ((p \circ r) \circ (q \circ r)) \circ (p \circ q) = 0$
- $\mathbf{p} \circ \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{p}$
- $p \circ q = 0$ and $q \circ p = 0$ imply p = q.

Revised Manuscript Received on October 30, 2019.

* Correspondence Author

Kaviyarasu. M, Department of Mathematics, Vellore Institute of Technology University, Vellore, India, Tamilnadu-632014 Email: kavitamilm@gmail.com

Indhira. K*, Department of Mathematics, Vellore Institute of Technology University, Vellore, India, Tamilnadu-632014. Email: kindhira@vit.ac.in

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

A F_S \aleph in a INK-algebra \grave{U} is named a F-INK-subalgebra of \grave{U}, \aleph $(p \circ q) \ge \min{\{\aleph(p), \aleph(q)\}}, \forall p, q \in \grave{U}$.

Let $(\dot{U}, \circ, 0)$ be a INK-algebra. A subset Y of \dot{U} is named a INK-subalgebra of \dot{U} if $p \circ q$ in Y.

A subset A of a INK- algebra Ù is named an INK-ideal of Ù if,

- $0 \in A$,
- $(r \circ p) \circ (r \circ q) \in A$ and $q \in A$ imply $p \in A. \forall p, q, r \in \dot{U}$.

A F_s % in a INK-algebra \grave{U} is named a fuzzy-INK- ideal of \grave{U} , if.

- $\aleph(0) \ge \aleph(p)$
- $\aleph(p) \ge \min \{ \aleph(r \circ p) \circ (r \circ q), \aleph(q) \}, \forall p, q, r \in \dot{U}.$

III. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper, we take $T = 1 - \sup\{\aleph(p) / p \in U\}$ for every fuzzy set \aleph of U.

- **A. Definition** Let $\aleph \mathcal{K} \subseteq \dot{U}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \in [0, T]. \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{T}: \dot{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is calledaF- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation (F- $\tilde{\alpha}$) of \aleph if it satisfies $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{T}(p) = \aleph(p) + \tilde{\alpha}$, for all $p \in \dot{U}$.
- **B.** Theorem Prove that every $F\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}^T$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \dot{U} , if \aleph is a fuzzy INK-ideal of \dot{U} , for very $\tilde{\alpha} \in [0, T]$.

Proof.we consider \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \grave{U} and let $\tilde{\alpha} \in [0, T]$.

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^{T}(0) &= \boldsymbol{\aleph}(\boldsymbol{p}) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^{T}(\boldsymbol{p}) \\ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^{T}(\boldsymbol{p}) &= \boldsymbol{\aleph}(\boldsymbol{p}) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &\geq \min\{\boldsymbol{\aleph}(\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{p}) \ *(\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{q}), \ \boldsymbol{\aleph}(\boldsymbol{q})\} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &= \min\{\boldsymbol{\aleph}(\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{p}) \ *(\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{q}) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \ \boldsymbol{\aleph}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\} \\ &= \min\{\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, T}^{T}((\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{p}) \ *(\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{q})), \ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, T}^{T}(\boldsymbol{q})\} \end{split}$$

for all p, q, $r \in U$. Hence complete the proof.

C. Theorem. Let \aleph be a F-subset of \grave{U} such that the F- $\~q$ -translation $\aleph_{\~q}$, $^T(p)$ of \aleph is a F-INK- ideal of \grave{U} then \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \grave{U} .

$$\begin{split} \textbf{Proof.} & \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^{T} \text{ is aIF of } \dot{\boldsymbol{U}}. \text{ Let } \boldsymbol{p}, \, \boldsymbol{q} \in \dot{\boldsymbol{U}}. \\ & \boldsymbol{\aleph}\left(\boldsymbol{0}\right) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \quad = \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{0}\right) \\ & \geq \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{p}\right) \\ & = \boldsymbol{\aleph}\left(\boldsymbol{p}\right) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \\ & \boldsymbol{\aleph}\left(\boldsymbol{0}\right) \geq \boldsymbol{\aleph}(\boldsymbol{p}). \end{split}$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\aleph}\left(\boldsymbol{p}\right) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} &= \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{p}\right) \\ &\geq \min \; \left\{\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}\left((\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{p})\;^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{q})\right),\; \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{q}\right)\right\} \\ &= \min \; \left\{\boldsymbol{\aleph}((\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{p})\;^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{q})) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},\; \boldsymbol{\aleph}\left(\boldsymbol{q}\right) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right\} \\ &= \min \; \left\{\boldsymbol{\aleph}((\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{p})\;^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}^{*}\boldsymbol{q})),\; \boldsymbol{\aleph}\left(\boldsymbol{q}\right)\right\} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\label{eq:continuity} \begin{split} &\aleph\left(p\right) \quad \geq \min \; \{\aleph((r^*p) \; *(r^*q)), \; \aleph\left(q\right)\}. \\ &\text{Hence } \aleph \; \text{is a F-INK-ideal of } \grave{U}. \end{split}$$

D. Theorem Let \aleph be a Fs of \grave{U} such that F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ - translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\overset{T'}{}$ of \aleph is a FI of \grave{U} , if (p^*e) * f=0then, $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\overset{T'}{}$ $(p) \ge \min \{\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\overset{T'}{}$ (e), $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha$

Fuzzy Translation of INK-ideal of INK-algebras

$$\begin{split} \textbf{Proof.} \text{Let } e, \text{b, p} \in \grave{\textbf{U}}, \text{ then } (p^*e) * \text{f} = & 0. \\ \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T}(p) & \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(p^*e), \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}}}^{T'}(e)\right\}. \\ & \geq \min \left\{\min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(p^*e) * \text{b}, \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(f)\right\}, \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(e)\right\} \\ & = \min \left\{\min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(0), \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(f)\right\}, \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(e)\right\} \\ & = \min \left\{\min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(f)\right\}, \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(e)\right\} \\ \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(p) & = \min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(e)\right\}, \aleph_{\check{\mathfrak{q}},}^{T'}(f)\right\} \end{split}$$

E. Theorem.Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ in [0, T'] and let \aleph be a F-INK-ideal of \hat{U} . if \hat{U} is a INK-algebra, then the F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, T of \aleph is a F-INK-subalgebra of \hat{U} .

```
\begin{split} \textbf{Proof.} \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T'}(p^*q) &= \aleph(p^*q) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &\geq \min\{\aleph(r^*(p^*q))\ *(r^*q),\ \aleph(q)\} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &\geq \min\{\aleph((p^*q)\ *q),\ \aleph(q)\} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &\geq \min\{\aleph(0),\ \aleph(q)\} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &\geq \min\{\aleph(p),\ \aleph(q)\} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &= \min\{\aleph(p) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},\ \aleph(q) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\} \\ &= \min\{\aleph_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T'}(p)\},\ \aleph_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T'}(q)\}. \end{split} Hence \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T'} is a fuzzy INK-subalgebra of \tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}.
```

of Ù, then X is a F-INK-subalgebra of Ù.

F. Theorem If the F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ T of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal

```
\begin{split} \textbf{Proof. Let } & \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T} \text{ of } \boldsymbol{\aleph} \text{ is a F-INK-ideal of } \dot{\boldsymbol{U}}. \\ \boldsymbol{\aleph}(p^*q) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} &= \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(p^*q) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(r^*(p^*q)) * (r^*q)) \right\}, \; \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(q) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(0), \; \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(q) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(p), \; \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(q) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(p), \; \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(q) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(p), \; \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(q) \right\} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ \boldsymbol{\aleph}(p^*q) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} &= \min \left\{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(p), \; \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},}^{T}(q) \right\}. \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}\text{herefore, } \boldsymbol{\aleph} \text{ is a fuzzy INK-subalgebra of } \dot{\boldsymbol{U}}. \end{split}
```

G. Theorem.If the F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, T of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \tilde{U} . Then if necessity be a FI of \tilde{U} .

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Proof.} \text{ Let } f\text{-}\tilde{\alpha}\text{-translation } \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^{-T} \text{ of } \tilde{U}. \text{ Then we have } \\ \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}(p) & \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}((r^*p) \ ^*(r^*q))\right\}, \ \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}(q)\} \\ \text{put } r = 0 \\ \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}(p) & \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}((0^*p) \ ^*(0^*q))\right\}, \ \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}(q)\} \\ \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}(p) & \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}(p^*q)\right\}, \ \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}(q)\} \\ \text{Therefore, } \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{,}}^{-T}(p) \text{ is fuzzy INK-ideal of } \tilde{U}. \end{array}
```

H. Theorem.Let the F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^{T}$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \dot{U} , $\tilde{\alpha} \in [0,T]$. If $p \leq q$. Then $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^{T}(p) \geq \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^{T}(q)$.

$$\begin{split} \textbf{Proof. Let } p, q \in \grave{U} \text{ such that } p \leq q. \text{ then } p^*q = 0 \text{ and hence,} \\ & \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(p) \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}((r^*p) \ ^*(r^*q))\right\}, \ \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(q) \right\} \\ \text{put } r = 0 \\ & \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(p) \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}((0^*p) \ ^*(0^*q))\right\}, \ \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(q) \right\} \\ & \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(p) \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(p^*q)\right\}, \ \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(q) \right\} \\ & \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(p) \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(0)\right\}, \ \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(q) \right\} \\ & \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(p) \geq \aleph_{\check{\alpha}_s}^{T}(q). \end{split}$$

I. Theorem.If \aleph be a FS of \aleph such that that the F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^T$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \hat{U} , the set I_{\aleph} = $\{p \in \hat{U} \mid \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^T(p) = \aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^T(0)\}$ is fuzzy INK-ideal of \hat{U} .

Proof. Obviously, $0 \in I_{\aleph}$. Let $p, q, r \in \mathring{U}$ be such that $(r^*p) *(r^*q) \in I_{\aleph}$ and $q \in I_{\aleph}$. Then,

$$\begin{array}{ll} (T^*p) & \text{``}(T^*q) \in I_{\aleph} \text{ and } q \in I_{\aleph}. \text{ Then,} \\ \aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T((r^*p) * (r^*q))\}, & \aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T(q) = \aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T(0) = \aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T(q) \text{ and} \\ \aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T(p) & \geq \min \left\{\aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T((r^*p) * (r^*q))\}, & \aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T(q)\right\} \\ \aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T(x) & \geq \aleph_{\tilde{e},}^T(0), \text{ this implies that} \\ \aleph(p) + \tilde{\alpha} & = \aleph(0) + \tilde{\alpha} \text{ or} \end{array}$$

 $\aleph(p) = \aleph(0)$ so that $q \in I_{\aleph}$.

Therefore, I_{\aleph} is a INK-ideal of \hat{U} .

IV. FUZZY \tilde{q} -MULTIPLICATION OF FUZZY INK-IDEAL

- **A. Definition** Let \aleph be a FS of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ and $\eta \in [0,1].\aleph_{\eta}^{M} : \dot{\mathbf{U}} \to [0,1]$ is said to be a F- η -multiplication of \aleph if it mollifies $\aleph_{\eta}^{M}(\mathbf{p}) = \eta$. $\aleph(\mathbf{p}), \forall \mathbf{p}$ in $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$.
- **B.** Theorem let \aleph be a FS of \grave{U} such that F- η -multiplication $\aleph_{\eta_i}{}^{\mathsf{M}}$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of $\grave{U}, \forall \eta$ in [0,1], then \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \grave{U} .

Proof. Let \aleph_{η}^{M} is a F-INK-ideal of \dot{U} for some η in (0, 1]. Then $\eta \aleph(0) = \aleph_{\eta}^{M}(0)$ $\geq \aleph_{\eta}^{M}(p)$ $= \eta \aleph(0)$ And so $\aleph(0) \geq \aleph(p)$ $\eta \aleph(p) = \aleph_{\eta}^{M}(p)$ $\geq \min \left\{ \aleph_{\eta}^{M}(r^{*}p) * (r^{*}q), \aleph_{\eta}^{M}(q) \right\}$ $\geq \min \left\{ \eta \aleph(r^{*}p) * (r^{*}q), \eta \aleph(q) \right\}$ $\eta \aleph(p) = \eta \min \left\{ \aleph(r^{*}p) * (r^{*}q), \aleph(q) \right\}$

And so

$$\aleph$$
 (p) $\geq \min{\{\aleph(r^*p) * (r^*q), \aleph(q)\}}.$

Hence ℜ is a fuzzy INK-ideal of Ù.

C. Theorem.If \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \grave{U} , then the F- η -multiplication $\aleph_{\eta}{}^{M}$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \grave{U} .

Proof. Let ℵ be a F-INK-idealof Ù.

 $\aleph_{\eta}^{M}(0) = \eta \aleph(0)$

 $= min \; \{ \textbf{X}_{\eta}^{\ \ M}((r^*p) \; {}^*(r^*q)), \; \textbf{X}_{\eta}^{\ \ M}(q) \}$ Hence $\textbf{X}_{\eta}^{\ \ M}$ of X is a fuzzy INK-ideal of \grave{U} .

D. Theorem.Let η in [0,1] and let \aleph be a fuzzy INK-ideal of a INK-algebra \grave{U} . Then the F-η-multiplication $\aleph_{\eta}^{\ M}$ of \aleph is a F-INK-subalgebra of \grave{U} .

```
\begin{split} \textbf{Proof.} & p, q \in \grave{U}. \text{ Now we have,} \\ & \aleph_{\eta}^{\ \ M}(p^*q) &= \eta \ \aleph \ (p^*q) \\ &\geq \eta \min \ \left\{ \aleph \ ((r^*(p^*q)) \ ^*(r^*q)), \ \aleph \ (q) \right\} \\ &\geq \eta \min \ \left\{ \aleph \ ((p^*q) \ ^*q)), \ \aleph \ (q) \right\} \\ &\geq \eta \min \ \left\{ \aleph \ (0), \ \aleph \ (q) \right\} \\ &= \eta \min \ \left\{ \aleph \ (p), \ \aleph \ (q) \right\} \\ &= \min \ \left\{ \eta \ \aleph \ (p), \eta \ \aleph \ (q) \right\} \\ & \aleph_{\eta}^{\ \ M}(p^*q) \geq \min \ \left\{ \aleph_{\eta}^{\ \ M} \ \aleph \ (p), \ \aleph_{\eta}^{\ \ M} \ \aleph \ (q) \right\}. \end{split}
```

E. TheoremIf the F- η -multiplication $\aleph_{\eta}^{\ M}$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of $\dot{U}, \eta \in [0,1]$, then \aleph is a F-INK-subalgebra of \dot{U}

$$\begin{split} \textbf{Proof.} \text{ let us take} & \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M} \text{ of } \boldsymbol{\aleph} \text{ is a F-INK-ideal of } \grave{\boldsymbol{U}}, \text{ Then} \\ & \boldsymbol{\eta} \ \boldsymbol{\aleph} \ (p^*q) = \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M} (p^*q) \\ & \geq \min \ \{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M} ((r^*(p^*q)) \ ^*(r^*q)), \ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M}(q) \} \\ & \geq \min \ \{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M} (0), \ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M}(q) \} \\ & \geq \min \ \{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M} (0), \ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M}(q) \} \\ & = \min \ \{ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M}(p), \ \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{\eta}^{\ \ M}(q) \} \\ & = \min \ \{ \boldsymbol{\eta} \ \boldsymbol{\aleph} \ (p), \ \boldsymbol{\eta} \ \boldsymbol{\aleph} \ (q) \} \\ & \boldsymbol{\aleph} \ (p^*q) = \min \ \{ \boldsymbol{\aleph} \ (p), \ \boldsymbol{\aleph} \ (q) \}. \end{split}$$





Hence ℜ is a fuzzy INK-subalgebra of Ù.

F. Theorem. The∪ and ∩of twoFT of a F-INK-ideal X of Ù is also a F-INK-ideal of Ù.

Proof. let $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^{T}$ and \aleph_{v}^{T} be FT of a F-INK-ideal \aleph of Ù.Where $\begin{array}{l} \widetilde{\alpha}, \ v \in [\ 0,1], \ \widetilde{\alpha}, \leq v. Then \ \aleph_{\widetilde{\alpha},}^{-T} \ and \ \aleph_v^{-T} \ are \ F- \ INK-ideal \ of \ \widetilde{U}. \\ \left(\aleph_{\widetilde{\alpha},}^{-T} \cap \ \aleph_v^{-T}\right) (p) & = \min \ \left\{\aleph_{\widetilde{\alpha},}^{-T} (p), \ \aleph_v^{-T}(p)\right\} \end{array}$ $= \min \{ \aleph(p) + \tilde{\alpha}, \aleph(p)_{+} v \}$

$$= \min \left\{ \aleph(p) + \tilde{\varphi} \right.$$

= $\aleph_{\tilde{\varphi}, T}(p)$.

And

$$\begin{split} (\aleph_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\!\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^T \boldsymbol{U} \aleph_{\boldsymbol{v}}^T) \; (\boldsymbol{p}) &= \max \; \{ \aleph_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\!\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^T \; (\boldsymbol{p}), \; \aleph_{\boldsymbol{v}}^T \; (\boldsymbol{p}) \} \\ &= \max \; \{ \aleph(\boldsymbol{p}) + \; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\!\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \; \aleph(\boldsymbol{p})_+ \; \boldsymbol{v} \} \\ &= \aleph(\boldsymbol{p}) + \; \boldsymbol{v} \\ &= \aleph_{\boldsymbol{v}_{\!\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^T (\boldsymbol{p}). \end{split}$$

Hence $(\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^T \cap \aleph_v^T)$ and $(\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}^T U \aleph_v^T)$ are fuzzy INK-ideal of

V. FUZZY EXTENSIONS OF INK-IDEAL

- **A. Definition**Let \aleph_1 and \aleph_2 be fuzzy subsets of \widetilde{N} . If $\aleph_1 \leq \aleph_2$ for all p in \tilde{N} , then \aleph_2 is a(F_E)F-extension of \aleph_1 .
- **B.** Definition Let \aleph_1 and \aleph_2 be F-subsets of \tilde{N} . Then \aleph_2 is named a F-INK-ideal S-extension of \aleph_1 if the succeedingstatements are valid:
- 1. \aleph_2 is a F_E of \aleph_1 .
- 2. If\(\cdot\)_isaF-INK-idealof\(\tilde{N}\),then\(\cdot\)_2isaF-INK-idealof\(\tilde{N}\). Consider a INK-algebra $\tilde{N} = \{0,1, a, b\}$.

0	0	1	a	b
0	0	1	a	b
1	1	0	b	a
a	a	b	0	1
b	b	a	1	0

$$\aleph_1(0) = 0.6$$
, $\aleph_1(1) = 0.4$, $\aleph_1(a) = 0.3$, $\aleph_1(b) = 0.3$. $\aleph_2(0) = 0.7$, $\aleph_2(1) = 0.6$, $\aleph_2(a) = 0.5$, $\aleph_2(b) = 0.2$. are fuzzy INK-ideal of \tilde{N} .

C. Theorem.Intersection of any two F-INK-ideal extension of a F-INK-ideal Nof N is a F-INK-ideal extension of N.

Proof. Let \aleph_1 and \aleph_2 be a F-INK-ideal extension of a F-INK-ideal \Re of \tilde{N} . Then $\Re_1(p) \ge \Re(p)$ and $\Re_2(p) \ge \Re(p)$. Since \aleph is a fuzzy INK-ideal of \mathring{U} . \aleph_1 and \aleph_2 are F- INK-ideal of \tilde{N} .Then $\aleph_1 \cap \aleph_2$ is also a F- INK-ideal of \tilde{N} .Now

$$\begin{array}{ll} \aleph_1 \cap \ \aleph_2(p) &= \min \{ \aleph_1(p), \ \aleph_2(p) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \aleph(p), \ \aleph(p) \} = \aleph(p). \end{array}$$

Hence $\aleph_1 \cap \aleph_2$ is a F-INK-ideal extension.

D. Remark. Union of F-INK-ideal extension of a F-INK-ideal Nof N need not be a F-INK-ideal extension of N. Consider the example the fuzzy sets $\aleph \aleph_1$ and \aleph_2 of \tilde{N} is defined as follows

0	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3

1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

 $\aleph_1(0) = 0.6$, $\aleph_1(1) = 0.4$, $\aleph_1(2) = 0.3, \ \aleph_1(3) = 0.3.$ $\aleph_2(0) = 0.7$, $\aleph_2(1) = 0.6$, $\aleph_2(2) = 0.5, \ \aleph_2(3) = 0.2.$ we take p = 3, q = 1, r = 0. $\aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2(3) \ge \min \{ \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2((0*3)*(0*1)), \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2(1) \}$

 $\aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2(3) \geq \min \{\aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2(3*1), \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2(1)\}$ $\max \left\{ \aleph_1(3), \aleph_2(3) \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2(2), \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2(1) \right\}$ $\max \{0.3, 0.2\} \ge \min \{\max \{\aleph_1(2), \aleph_2(2)\}, \max \{\aleph_1(1), \aleph_2(1)\}\}$ $\max \{0.3, 0.2\} \ge \min \{\max \{0.3, 0.5\}, \max \{0.4, 0.6\}\}\$

 $0.3 \ge \min\{0.5, 0.6\}$

 $0.3 \ge 0.5$.

E. Theorem Let \aleph be a fuzzy INK-ideal of \tilde{N} . The F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ is a F-INK-ideal extension of \aleph .

Proof. If \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \tilde{N} , then we know that by theorem A, the fuzzy $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, T of \aleph is F-INK-ideal of \tilde{N} .Now, $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{\star}}^{T}(p) = \aleph(p) + \tilde{\alpha}_{\star} \ge \aleph(p)$, for all $p \in \tilde{N}$.Hence fuzzy $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{\star}}^{T}$ is a F-INK-ideal extension of \aleph .

F. Theorem.Let \aleph be a F-INK-ideal of \tilde{N} and $\tilde{\alpha} \geq \eta$, with $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\eta \in [0,T]$, then F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\kappa_{\tilde{\alpha},T}$ of κ is a F-INK-ideal extension of the F- η - translation κ_{η} of κ .

Proof. Let \aleph be a fuzzy INK-ideal of \tilde{N} . Then by theorem 3.1.5 the fuzzy $\boldsymbol{\tilde{\alpha}}\text{-translation}\;\boldsymbol{\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha},}}^T$ of $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ and the fuzzy η -translation \aleph_n^T of \aleph are fuzzy INK-ideal of \tilde{N} , for all $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\eta \in [$ 0,T].Since $\tilde{\alpha} \geq \eta$. $\aleph(p) + \tilde{\alpha} \geq \aleph(p) + \eta$. Therefore, $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{i}}^{T}(p) \geq \aleph_{\eta}^{T}(p)$. Hence $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}_{i}}^{T}$ is a fuzzy INK-ideal extension of \aleph_{η}^{T} .

- G. TheoremIf ℜ is a fuzzy INK-ideal of Ñthen the fuzzy η-multiplication of \aleph is a fuzzy INK-ideal of \tilde{N} , for all $\eta \in$ [0,1].
- **H.** Theorem.Let \aleph be a FS of \tilde{N} . $\tilde{\alpha}$ in [0,T] and η in (0,1]. If the F- η -multiplication $\aleph_{\eta}^{\ M}(p)$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \tilde{N} is a F-INK-ideal of \tilde{N} , then the F- $\tilde{\alpha}$ -translation $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}(p)$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal extension of \aleph_n^M .

Proof. Let \tilde{q} in [0, T], $\eta \in (0,1]$ and $\aleph_{\eta}^{M}(p)$ of \aleph is a fuzzy INK-ideal of \tilde{N} . Then \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \tilde{N} . By theorem 3.1.2, $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}, T}(p)$ of \aleph is a F-INK-ideal of \tilde{N} .Now, $\aleph_{\tilde{\alpha}, T}(p) =$
$$\begin{split} & \aleph(p) + \tilde{\alpha} \geq \aleph(p) \geq \aleph(p) \; \eta = \aleph_{\eta}^{\;\;M}(p). \\ & \text{Therefore}_{\tilde{\alpha},\;\;}^T(p) \; \text{of} \; \aleph \; \text{is a fuzzy INK-ideal extension of} \aleph_{\eta}^{\;\;M}. \end{split}$$

VI. CONCLUSION

In thisconcept of translation of F-INK-ideal in INK-algebra are familiarized and examined some of their beneficial assets. We have exposed that the F-\tilde{\alpha}-translation of a F-INK-ideal is a F-INK-ideal extension but then the converse is not factual. It is correspondingly exposed that intersection of F-INK-ideal extension of a FS- is a F-INK-ideal extension but union of F-INK-ideal extension of a fuzzy subset is not a F-INK-ideal extension. The associations are conversed between FT, FE and F-multiplications of F-INK-I in INK-algebras.



Fuzzy Translation of INK-ideal of INK-algebras

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would identical to express since gratefulness to the referee used for their respectedrecommendation and observationscaring in educating this research paper.

REFERENCES

- K. Iseki and S. Tanaka,"An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras", Math Japonica, vol 23 1978, p.p. 1-20.
- K. Iseki, "On BCI-algebras", Math. Seminar Notes, vol 8, 1980,p.p. 125-130.
- L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets", Information and Control,1965, p.p. 338-353
- M. Kaviyarasu and K. Indhira, "Fuzzy Subalgebras and Fuzzy K-ideals in INK-Algebras", International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol 113, 2017, p.p. 47-55.
- M. Kaviyarasu and K. Indhira, "On intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideals of INK-algebras", IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 263(4).2017.
- M. Kaviyarasu and K. Indhira,"Review on BCI/BCK-Algebras and Development", International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol 117(11), 2017; p.p. 377-383.
- Ahn, S. S. and Hwang, Y. S." Fuzzy p-ideals of BCI-algebras with degrees in the interval [0,1]", Communications of the Korean
- Mathematical Society, vol 27(4), 2012, p.p. 701–708. Jun, Y. B. and Meng, J. "Fuzzy p-ideals in BCI-algebras", 8 Mathematica Japonica, vol 40(2), 1994, p.p. 271-282.
- Jun, Y. B. and Roh, E. H. "Fuzzy commutative ideals of BCK-algebras", Fuzzy Sets and Systems vol 64(3) 19946 p. p 401-405.
- Jun, Y. B. "Closed fuzzy ideals in BCI-algebras", Mathematica Japonica vol38(1), 1993 p. p. 99-202.
- Jun, Y. B. 'Fuzzy quasi-associative ideals in BCI-algebras', The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 1996; 4 (3):567-581.
- Kordi, A. and Tavoosi Asl1, M. "T-fuzzy a-ideal of BCI-algebra", Advances in Algebra, vol 1(1) 2008, p.p. 57–66.
- Kordi, A. and Moussavi, A. "On fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras", PUMA, vol 18(3), 2007; p.p.301–310.
- Liu, W. J. "Fuzzy invariant subgroups and IVF-ideals", Fuzzy Sets and
- Systems, vol 8 1982, p.p. 132-139. Liu, Y. and Meng, J. "Sub-implicative ideals and sub-commutative ideals in BCI-algebras", Soochow journal of Mathematics vol 26 2000, p.p. 441 - 453.
- Liu, Y.L. and Meng, J. "Fuzzy ideals in BCI-algebras", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol 123, 2001, p.p. 227–237.
- Meng, J. "On ideals in BCK-algebras", Mathematica Japonicavol 40, 1994, p.p. 143-154.
- Meng, J. and Abujabal, H.A. S. "On closed ideals in BCI-algebras", Mathematica Japonica, vol 44, 1996, p.p.499-505.

AUTHOR PROFILE



Kaviyarasu M is working ph. D in Department of Mathematics at Vellore institute of technology (Deemed to be University), Vellore, Tamilnadu, India. He has completed Master of science in AVVM sripoondipushpam college in 2012. His research Area is algebra and fuzzy algebra. He has published 11 research papers in international

journals with in Scopus indexed.

