

Urban Improvement of Comprehensive Schools And Out of School Educational Establishments in Ukraine

Gelena Kovalska, Iryna Merylova, Irina Bulakh

Abstract: *Renewal of architectural planning in urban and rural areas can contribute to new educational institutions for population according to regulations and standards. The research was conducted to provide recommendations concerning improvement of educational establishments network in conditions of urban compaction, as well as territorial educational districts in urban and rural areas. The calculation method of general and out-of-school educational institutions network of different levels was improved. Various layouts of subject-oriented educational institutions were suggested, taking into account accessibility radius within territorial educational districts.*

Keywords : *network of educational institutions, territorial educational district, out-of-school educational institutions, educational networks improvement.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Based on current regulations, education institutions network is an integral component of microdistricts. Comprehensive schools take much space, they are methodology centers for out-of-school education and are located in residential environment within walking distance or transport accessibility. The experience shows that the process of successive separation into districts (with necessary public service network, including education institutions, with adherence to calculation capacity and regulatory accessibility radiuses) can be possible in conditions of suburban development, which was quite typical of the last century.

At present there is almost no vacant space in cities. Residential development is implemented by compacting existing quarters. As a result, the structure, planning concept and architectural features of districts are altered and social institutions lack in numbers. Consequently, educational institutions are overloaded, children attend schools and out-of-school activities in other districts, which are quite far from their places of residence and beyond regulatory accessibility radiuses. Thus, one of the main social and

economic, architectural and urban tasks, which makes this research relevant, is to improve and develop educational institutions network in conditions of practical deficiency of territories, especially in the areas of organized residential development [1], [2].

One more significant problem, which influences educational network layout, deals with demographic peculiarities of various locations, unbalanced load of educational institutions for a certain time, depending on their locality. It leads to the necessity to calculate the pupil rate for different planning conditions, to design and create flexible network of various educational institutions with dynamic planning structure.

With implementation of continuing education principles in Ukraine, there is a list of relevant issues concerning architectural planning and urban interrelation of preschool and school institutions with out-of-school ones, vocational schools and higher educational establishments [3], [4]. There is a challenging task to integrate educational institutions network into an urban layout of educational centers, where training and financial facilities of out-of-school education are integrated in preschool, school, vocational and other education institutions, as well as in public services.

The situation in rural areas is complex enough. In terms of local government reorganization, a key prerequisite for united territorial communities, their demarcation, attraction centers, quantitative index, etc. is to develop an accessible network of educational institutions. The location of social services, including high quality educational institutions for growing generation, defines the maximum distance from the community center. It is impossible only to develop the educational network and to create new educational areas in order to solve a problem of necessary number of schools for population. It is necessary to reconstruct fundamentally the whole network of educational institutions according to new architectural and urban planning principles. Unfortunately, this problem is not studied enough in Ukraine. Scientific research in various periods, concerning design and construction issues of education institutions, deals mostly with typological aspects of educational buildings architecture. Thus, taking into account the lack of relevant research and necessity to reconstruct educational network, there is a burning reason for searching efficient solutions to the above-mentioned problem.

Revised Manuscript Received on October 05, 2019.

* Correspondence Author

Gelena Kovalska, Doctor of Architecture, Professor, Department of Theory of Architecture, Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Kyiv, Ukraine. Email: gelena.k@ukr.net

Iryna Merylova, PhD of Architecture, Associate Professor, Department of Architectural Planning and Design, Prydniprovsk State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Dnipro, Ukraine. Email: iryna.merylova@gmail.com

Irina Bulakh*, PhD of Architecture, Associate Professor, Department of Design architectural environment, Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Kyiv, Ukraine. Email: irabulakh81@gmail.com

Scientific theory of the research is in necessity to restructure the whole system of education and modernize training and financial facilities under the current conditions of new social and economic relations in Ukraine, instable demographic population composition that are integral to changes in state policy of urban planning [5], [6].

The network of educational institutions at the level of residential districts in urban areas and united communities in rural areas should not be considered separately, but in scientific studies and design practice it is common. They should be considered as a holistic architectural and urban planning system of flexible integrated educational complexes, territorial educational clusters that unite establishments of different levels and profiles.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The concept of network development of general and out-of-school educational institutions is proposed. It is based on a comprehensive approach to provide accessibility to high quality education, which determines methodological principles and possible tools for educational networks improvement. This concept involves modern pedagogical ideas of continuity and consistency, flexibility and variability of education that help improve the structure of educational institutions network efficiently according to social and economic changes, as well as urban conditions.

To implement new pedagogical and architectural provisions with limited land and financial resources, the following architectural principles of educational institutions network improvement are proposed:

- diversity principle, which defines different types of educational institutions and buildings and contributes to multivariance of education models;
- principle of accessibility of educational institutions to the places of residence, which requires to sector responding accessibility radiuses;
- principle of uniting school territories, creation of different types of educational complexes, functional and spatial integration of education institutions into surrounding residential development;
- principle of eco-friendly educational environment, which is possible by creating comfortable sanitary conditions in every institution by means of architecture and city planning [7], [8].

One of the main issues that significantly influences the improvement of educational network is accessibility to educational institutions from the places of residence and public services, provided by relevant regulatory accessibility radiuses.

The problems of uniting territories with educational institutions, creation of different types of educational complexes can be related to the relevant and argumentative issues of educational network improvement [9]. The following types of educational complexes can be recommended for current social and economic as well as architectural conditions of Ukraine:

- according to organizational and educational structure and cooperation method of educational and financial facilities – training center, educational complex, training area,

educational cluster;

- according to education level – single-level and multilevel educational institutions;
- according to specialization and professional orientation – industry-specific and multidisciplinary educational institutions.

The theory of possible integration of school and out-of-school institutions is based on the development analysis of out-of-school institutions network. It showed the demand for out-of-school education: new types of private out-of-school institutions with separate or cooperative facilities and integration of out-of-school education into public service system are being established. This leads to a new urban concept concerning out-of-school institutions network and forecast of the future trends of territorial development, taking national and international experience into consideration [10].

III. THEORY

According to educational levels (primary school, basic general school, general secondary school), different types of education institutions of I, II, III levels should be established. They can function either autonomously or integrated in educational complexes with pre-school, out-of-school or other types of educational institutions.

In conditions of compacted development, it will be quite efficient to locate small primary or standard schools in proximity to places of residence whereas general upper secondary schools (10-12 forms) could be located in specialized schools, lyceums or subject-oriented complexes at the district level. Educational institutions with sufficient financial and educational facilities, convenient location and qualified teaching staff are known as hub schools. They can have specialized laboratories, scientific and research subdivisions and experimental workshops, as well as a boarding school with corresponding amenity premises and sports ground [11], [12].

According to current regulations and approaches to urban planning calculations of residential development, estimation methods of possible accessibility radius for educational institution with regard to residential density were suggested in the research (Formula 1).

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{N \times 1000}{W \times P \times \pi}}, \quad (1)$$

where **N** - total number of school pupils,

W - number of school children per 1000 residents,

P - residential density.

There are examples of powerful educational areas in national and foreign practice. These examples are naturally integrated in residential and public development and significantly influence functional and planning layout of the whole urban environment. Such complexes can include territorial educational districts, which have interrelated high quality educational institutions within optimal accessibility radiuses in residential development. Districts may have other institutions of



cultural and public services that contribute to educational




Fig. A. Structural models of urban territorial educational districts:

1 - territorial educational district of small city; **2** - territorial educational district in the average (large) city; **3** - territorial educational district in the largest city; **A** - territory of the educational district (within the city); **B** - territory of the intercultural educational district (within several residential districts); **C** - microdistrict; **R_{ta}** - radius of transport accessibility (up to 30 min); **R_{ps}** - radius of pedestrian speed (up to 2000 m); **R_{psm}** - radius of pedestrian speed (up to 800 m).

Territorial educational districts should be established either in rural areas or in urban areas [14]. In conditions of territorial and administrative reform in Ukraine, it is recommended to mark out the territories of school and interschool educational districts in united territorial communities with maximum distance from the center within regulatory transport accessibility to school. School and interschool educational districts within walking distance for pupils of the district should be established in urban areas (Fig. A). It is recommended to establish a uniform territorial educational district for towns. In cities interschool educational district can be founded for a few residential districts depending on residential density. In remarkable cities it is recommended to mark out the territory of interschool (school) educational district at a district level or inter thorough fare area [15], [16].

Global practice of educational network formation is focused on a new perspective of education environment: more flexible organization and effective application of educational scope along with new programs; land use for educational zones due to the development of integrated industry-related research centers and alternative stations; sharing of financial

activity [13]. facilities [17].

The system character of school and out-of-school education expect the social activity to be organized at different spatial levels. Thus, in urban context, out-of-school network should be developed at different levels of urban layout: multi-family house or residential area, a group of quarters or microdistricts, district and the whole city. Such staged arrangement of out-of-school institutions in cities is related to out-of-school education specifics for different age groups and development of uniform public services network with optimal accessibility radiuses.

In rural areas out-of-school educational institutions are considered as components of a comprehensive educational system of rural territorial educational districts. They are based on the number of residents, as well as their level of educational and social development: small village (without school), big village (with school), district center (urban-type settlement).

The elaborated level system of out-of-school education is closely connected with staged system of school education (primary, secondary, upper secondary) and provides type classification of out-of-school institutions: family, leisure, general, and other types. It is necessary to take into account out-of-school education for disabled children. Out-of-school institutions should include different processes of additional education such as family upbringing, care, learning, individual and collaborative education, out-of-lesson activity, etc.

Classification of out-of-school educational institutions according to the levels of urban layout can be based on estimated number of places in schools (Formula 2) and building capacity (Formula 3):

$$E = \frac{Q * A * p}{n}, \quad (2)$$

$$Bc = \frac{Q * n * p}{A}, \quad (3)$$

where **E** - estimated number of educational institutions per 1000 residents; **Bc** - building capacity; **Q** - quantity of schoolchildren; **A** - attendance frequency of out-of-school institution for estimated period of time; **p** - coefficient of school children involved in out-of-school education; **n** - total number of possible activities in out-of-school institution for estimated period of time.

The land plot for the out-of-school institution should be accurately divided into zones. The following functional zones are recommended (apart from a building and green space): educational, sports, research and artistic (depending on the institution profile); recreation and service zone. The number and dimension of functional zones are defined at a design stage of out-of-school institutions according to curriculum, number of pupils and urban layout of out-of-school institution [16], [17].




Fig. B. Models of out-of-school institutions networks: **1** - model of out-of-school institutions for urban areas; **2** - model of out-of-school institutions for rural areas; **A** - microdistrict or group of residential quarters; **B** - district; **C** - city; **D** - group of villages; **E** - rural district center; **RI** - accessibility radius for out-of-school institution of I level; **RII** - accessibility radius for out-of-school institution of II level; **RIII** - accessibility radius for out-of-school institution of III level; **RIV** - accessibility radius for out-of-school institution of IV level; **a** - network of recreation areas, education and public service sector; **b** - village without out-of-school institution.

In rural area the capacity of out-of-school institution depends on the number of schoolchildren in a certain location and in its neighbourhood, where the out-of-school activity is held due to demographic and economic factors. It is rather complicated to build educational network in these conditions, because the people are territorially scattered. Thus, the developed layout of out-of-school institutions for rural areas is based not on demographic principle – the age of pupils (as in urban areas), but on the level of public service development in rural locations (Fig. B).

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regulations in force specify average index of accessibility radius for autonomous schools of I and II level located in proximity to places of residence in the district. This accessibility radius is 750 m. The schools of III level should have the accessibility radius of 2000 m as for long distance territory. It allows creating flexible educational network with intensive use of school facilities. Specialized educational institutions, lyceums and gymnasiums of II-II levels should also have accessibility radius of 2000 m at a district level. Calculations of required quantity of I and II-level schools (1-9 forms) should be carried out under the conditions of 100% record of all children aged up to 15 years old. The percentage of 6 year-olds and senior pupils who should attend school is

specified by local educational authorities.

Different forms of industry-specific education with defined service radiuses for general schools, resource centers (full-time or part-time and distance learning), training courses, social and educational groups within territorial educational districts were suggested [9], [17].

The research provides calculations of walking and transport accessibility radiuses to out-of-school institutions. The main criterion of calculations is mobility of the age-group the school is intended for. To implement that, the movement speed of schoolchildren (in the mentioned age groups) was tested. Based on the given formulae, average building density of 3400 m²/ha (with 5-9-storeyed buildings), which dominates in most cities, along with the data obtained, service radiuses and capacity of out-of-school institutions were calculated (Fig. B):

- I level: an in-house out-of-school institution (clubs, project teams /groups, language courses). In urban areas they are established in a multi-family house or in residential areas like special rooms for club/group activities for 15-25 pupils aged 6-9. Accessibility radius is 400 m, where the minimum speed movement of a 6-year-old child is 2.5 km/h and the length of the journey is 10 minutes. In rural areas they are established in village clubs, the number of children depends on the number of schoolchildren in the village. The standard service radius of 750-1500 m for out-of-school institutions set according to State standards of Ukraine 360-92**.
- II level: an out-of-school institution is integrated in school (activity clubs, interests groups, after-school centers, preparatory courses for independent external evaluation, etc.). In urban areas they are organized at the level of microdistricts or a group of residential quarters. There are about 60-80 pupils aged 6-14, service radius is - 750 m, the average speed movement of a 6-14-year-olds is 3 km/h and the journey takes 15 min. In rural areas they are organized in a big village, the number of pupils depends on the number of schoolchildren, the accessibility radius is 2000 m or 15 minutes by transport.
- III level: a district out-of-school institution (youth sports, art, musical schools, art and technical centers, centers for young naturalists, tourists, ecologists, kids labs, centers of innovative technologies, etc.). In cities these institutions are established in districts (accessibility radius is 1500 m or 15 min. by public transport), in rural areas – in a district center (accessibility radius is 15000 m or 30 min. by public transport). The institution has its area of competence and is focused on 200-300 pupils of 10-16 years old.
- IV level: a municipal out-of-school educational institution (complexes: palace of children and youth, junior academy of arts, centers of youth organizations; single-industry: sports, Olympic Reserve junior sports schools, zoo farms and zoo therapy centers, flotilla for children, railway schools, etc). The institution can be organized only in urban areas for 500-1200 children aged 12-18. The location should have convenient transport accessibility in any district of the city, it should take 30 min to get there by public transport [16], [17].

The research states that it is efficient to unite closely located educational institutions into territorial educational districts on the basis of united communities to enhance their educational possibilities and rational use of technical and financial facilities. Community members and their number can change, which makes rural educational network quite flexible. Such educational territorial complexes should be established according to a territorial logic without any administrative and territorial limits.

V. CONCLUSION

The investigation has shown that territorial educational districts as comprehensive systems in out-of-school educational network have great development prospects. Uniting educational institutions in a uniform center according to a territorial logic, can extend accessibility radius to out-of-school institutions, increase number of people involved and range of services provided, as well as lower requirements to the plots with united out-of-school institutions. Territorial educational districts are efficient not only in terms of education improvement, but also in architectural and city planning aspect. They create possibilities for improving functional and architectural qualities of educational facilities, their rational and cost-effective use, territory resources optimization and architectural distinctiveness of the building.

Thus, it is possible to predict that further improvement of educational network will be focused on structure differentiation of educational buildings based on variety of forms and methods of teaching, deeper integration of education into public service sector at the place of residence, territorial educational districts, natural interrelation of school with other school and out-of-school institutions of continuing education, since such comprehensive system will be helpful to make any pupil not only an educated but also a skillful individual.

REFERENCES

1. Law of Ukraine, On Education, 2017, No 2145-19. Kyiv, Ukraine. [Online]. Available: <http://zakon0.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2145-19> [in Ukrainian].
2. The concept of profile education in high school, 2013, No 1456. Kyiv, Ukraine. Available: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/rada/show/v1456729-13> [in Ukrainian].
3. G. L. Koval's'ka, "Urban problems of forming a network of educational institutions". Odesa, Ukraine: OGASA, 2013, pp. 11–46 [in Ukrainian].
4. I. V. Bulakh, "Common Features of Architectural Design of the Medical Purpose Building". *Science & Technique*. Minsk, 2019, No 18 (4), pp. 311–318. DOI:10.21122/2227-1031-2019-18-4-311-318.
5. G. L. Koval's'ka, "Current trends in the development of a network of preschool and comprehensive schools". Kyiv, Ukraine: Dipromisto, No 23, 2012, pp. 167–172 [in Ukrainian].
6. I. V. Bulakh, "Complex public health institutions as a complex urban development system". *Colloquium-journal*. Warszawa, 2019, No 1 (25), pp. 4–6. DOI: 10.24411/2520-6990-2019-00001.
7. G. L. Koval's'ka, V. A. Smilka, "Ecology of educational environment". Kyiv, Ukraine: Dipromisto, No 19, 2010, pp. 156–160 [in Ukrainian].
8. I. V. Bulakh, "The main trends in organization of architectural environment of medical institutions". *Web of Scholar*. Warszawa, 2018, No 5 (23), Vol. 1, pp. 59–62.

9. G. L. Koval's'ka, "Problems of cooperation and blocking of educational institutions in modern conditions. Perspective directions of designing residential and public buildings". *Architectural and construction industry in the economic crisis*. Kyiv, Ukraine: KyivZNDIEP, 2009, pp. 38–44 [in Ukrainian].
10. G. L. Koval's'ka, S. G. Biber, "Aspects of the introduction of new forms of education for students of architects in higher educational institutions of Ukraine". *Colloquium-journal*. Warszawa, 2019, No 3 (27), pp. 4–6. DOI: 10.24411/2520-6990-2019-10001 [in Ukrainian].
11. I. V. Bulakh, "Energy efficiency use of bioclimatic facades in medical buildings". *Development of modern science: the experience of European countries and prospects for Ukraine: monograph / edited by authors*. 3rd ed. Riga, Latvia: Baltija Publishing, 2019, pp. 597–616.
12. G. L. Koval's'ka, I. O. Merylova, "Network of institutions of out-of-school education in the structure of urban development (on the city of Dnipropetrovsk as example). Experience and prospects of development of cities of Ukraine". *Problems of reconstruction in the theory and practice of urban planning*. Kyiv, Ukraine, No 27, 2014, pp. 170–176 [in Ukrainian].
13. I. O. Merylova, "Functional planning organization of the territories of out-of-school educational institutions". *Urban planning and territorial planning*. Kyiv, Ukraine: KNUBA, No 64, 2017, pp. 210–215 [in Ukrainian].
14. I. V. Bulakh, "New approaches to the functional planning of hospitals and entrance groups of medical institutions". *Colloquium-journal*. Warszawa, 2019, No 9 (33), Vol. 1, pp. 4–6. DOI: 10.24411/2520-6990-2019-10213
15. I. O. Merylova, "Features out-of-school education establishments network as the urban planning system". *Urban planning and territorial planning*. Kyiv, Ukraine: KNUBA, 2017, No 65, pp. 370-375 [in Ukrainian].
16. I. O. Merylova, "Organization principles of the network of out-of-school educational institutions". *Architectural herald*. Kyiv, Ukraine: KNUBA, 2016, pp. 8–37 [in Russian].
17. G. L. Koval's'ka, "Territorial educational districts – one of the effective ways to modernize the school network". Kyiv, Ukraine: Dipromisto, 2014, No 26, pp. 175–180 [in Ukrainian].

AUTHORS PROFILE



Gelena Kovalska Doctor of Architecture, ORCID 0000-0002-9873-5413. *Education:* Master's Degree in Urban Engineering, Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Kyiv, Ukraine. *Place of work:* Head of the Department of Architecture Theory,

Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Kyiv, Ukraine. *Publications:* 69 publications, of which 62 scientific papers (39 in scientific publications of Ukraine, 5 publications in scientific publications of other countries, 3 publications in periodicals of Ukraine, 9 abstracts for conferences, 4 tutorials, 1 collective monograph of Ukraine and 1 collective monograph in a foreign scientific edition in English), 7 works of educational-methodical publications. PhD dissertation "Principles and methods of institutions of higher learning function-planning optimum development in the existing town building" (2002), Doctoral dissertation "Urban planning bases of the development of preschool and general educational establishments network" (2016). *Field of research:* architecture, urban planning, theory of architecture, network of preschool and general educational establishments. Membership: Member of the Union of Architects of Ukraine, member of the Specialized Scientific Council for the Thesis (Kharkiv National University of Civil Engineering and Architecture), member of the scientific committee of scientific journal (Scientific Bulletin of Construction, Kharkiv National University of Civil Engineering and Architecture).



Iryna Merylova PhD of Architecture, ORCID 0000-0003-2019-0780, Web of Science ResearcherID: Y-9263-2019. *Education:* Master of Architecture, Department of architectural planning, Pridneprovsk

State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Dnipro, Ukraine. *Place of work:* Associate Professor, Department of Architecture and Urban planning, State Higher Education Establishment "Pridneprovsk State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture", Dnipro, Ukraine. *Publications:* 39 publications, of which 29 scientific papers (20 in scientific publications of Ukraine, 4 publications in scientific publications of other countries, 5 publications in periodicals of Ukraine, 10 abstracts for



Urban Improvement of Comprehensive Schools And Out of School Educational Establishments in Ukraine

conferences), 7 works of educational-methodical publications. PhD dissertation “Functional and planning organization of out-of-school educational institutions network by the example of Dnipropetrovsk region” (2018). *Direction of doctoral research*: Development of urban recreational spaces in industrial regions. *Field of research*: architecture, regional and urban planning, theory of architecture, recreational areas in industrial regions, revitalization and renaturalization of urbanized territories, redevelopment of industrial facilities function, landscape design, organization of educational institutions network. *Membership*: Member of young scientists PSACEA.



Irina Bulakh PhD of Architecture, Doctoral studies, ORCID 0000-0002-3264-2505, Web of Science ResearcherID: Y-2572-2019. *Education*: Master of Architecture, Department of Design architectural environment, Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Kyiv, Ukraine. *Place of work*: Associate Professor, Department of Design architectural environment, Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Kyiv, Ukraine. *Publications*: 84 publications, of which 75 scientific papers (27 in scientific publications of Ukraine, 23 publications in scientific publications of other countries, 1 publication in a scientific foreign publication included in the WEB OF SCIENCE scientific base, 11 publications in periodicals of Ukraine, 9 abstracts for conferences, 1 author's journal of scientific articles and 3 collective monographs), 9 works of educational-methodical publications. PhD dissertation “Principles of symbolizing the architectural and artistic image of the urban environment” (2016), Doctoral dissertation “Dynamics of the city planning development of the system children treatment complexes”. *Field of research*: architecture, urban planning, theory of architecture, aesthetics of architecture, artistic image in architecture, design of medical buildings and hospitals. *Membership*: Member of the Union of Architects of Ukraine, member of the scientific committee of scientific journals (Ukrainian International scientific journal “Internauka”, Polish International scientific journal “Colloquium-journal”, Polish Multidisciplinary scientific edition “World Science”), Polish Conference Organizing Committee “Science, Research, Development”.