

T-Span, T-Edge Span Critical Graphs



Jai Roselin.S, Benedict Michael Raj.L

Abstract— Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a finite set T of positive integers containing 0, a T -coloring of G is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ for all $u \neq w$ in $V(G)$ such that if $uw \in E(G)$ then $|f(u) - f(w)| \notin T$. For a T -coloring f of G , the f -span $sp_T^f(G)$ is the maximum value of $|f(u) - f(w)|$ over all pairs u, w of vertices of G . The T -span $sp_T(G)$ is the minimum f -span over all T -colorings f of G . The f -edge span $esp_T^f(G)$ of a T -coloring is the maximum value of $|f(u) - f(w)|$ over all edges uw of G . The T -edge span $esp_T(G)$ is the minimum f -edge span over all T -colorings f of G . It is known that $sp_T(H) \leq sp_T(G)$ and $esp_T(H) \leq esp_T(G)$ for every graph G . In this paper we classify which graphs containing a sub graph H such that $sp_T(H) < sp_T(G)$ and $esp_T(H) < esp_T(G)$. Also we discuss the Mycielskian of T -coloring.

Key words: T -coloring, T -span, T -edge spanAMS subject classification 05C15

I. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. All the definitions which are not discussed in this paper one may refer [1]. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let T be any finite set of positive integers containing 0. A T -coloring of G is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ for all $u \neq w$ in $V(G)$ such that if $uw \in E(G)$ then $|f(u) - f(w)| \notin T$. For a T -coloring f of G , the f -span $sp_T^f(G)$ is the maximum value of $|f(u) - f(w)|$ over all pairs u, w of vertices of G . The T -span $sp_T(G)$ is the minimum f -span over all T -colorings f of G . The f -edge span $esp_T^f(G)$ of a T -coloring is the maximum value of $|f(u) - f(w)|$ over all edges uw of G . The T -edge span $esp_T(G)$ is the minimum f -edge span over all T -colorings f of G . In this paper $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of G and $\omega(G)$ is the clique number of G . Let $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two simple graphs. A graph G is called Hamiltonian-triangle graph if it has odd cycle on n vertices with at least one triangle. The Cartesian product $G_1 \square G_2$, has vertex set $V_1 \times V_2$ and two vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) are adjacent in G_1, G_2 iff either $u_1 = u_2$ and v_1 is adjacent to v_2 in G_2 or $v_1 = v_2$ and u_1 is adjacent to u_2 in G_1 .

The concept of T -coloring problem was introduced by Hale in [4]. Basic results on T -coloring problems are discussed in [2].

Cozzen and Roberts [2] studied T -sets of the form $T = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, r\} \cup S$, where S is any set that doesn't contain any multiple of $(r + 1)$ and call this set as r -initial set. Raychaudhuri [10] studied T -sets of the form, $T = \{0, s, 2s, \dots, ks\} \cup S$ where $S \subseteq \{s + 1, s + 2, \dots, ks - 1\}$, and $s, k \geq 1$, calling this T -set as a k -multiple of s set. In this paper we consider these two T sets. In their papers of Hale and Robert, defined a parameter $sp_T(G)$ which is trying to save the spectrum when the frequencies allotted to the transmitter. According to the T -coloring problems vertices are considered as transmitters. Thus removal of one transmitter may reduce more span which is related to spectrum and more edge span which is related to bandwidth in such a way that we define the removal of some vertices implies $sp_T(G - V') < sp_T(G)$ and $esp_T(G - V') < esp_T(G)$ where V' is a proper subset of $V(G)$. For more results on T -coloring one may refer [3,5,6,7,8] and survey on T -coloring as discussed in [9].

PREVIOUS RESULTS:

Theorem 1.1. [6] Let W_n be a Wheel graph with n even. For a k -multiple of s set T ,

$$sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 3k + 3 \text{ as } s = 1$$

$$sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 2k + 3 \text{ as } s = 2$$

$$sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 3k + 3 \text{ as } s = 3$$

$$sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 3 \text{ as } s \geq 4.$$

Theorem 1.2. [6] Let W_n be a Wheel graph with n is odd. For T is a k -multiple of s set,

$$sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 2k + 2 \text{ as } s = 1, 2$$

$$sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 2 \text{ as } s \geq 3.$$

Theorem 1.3. [8] For any odd cycle C_n and $T = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$, $esp_T(G) = \left\lceil \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} \right\rceil k$.

Theorem 1.4. [5] For any odd cycle C_n and a k -multiple of s set T ,

$$sp_T(C_n) = esp_T(C_n) = 2k + 2 \text{ as } s = 2$$

$$sp_T(C_n) = esp_T(C_n) = 2 \text{ as } s \geq 3.$$

Theorem 1.5. [2] If G is weakly γ perfect, then for all sets T , $esp_T(G) = sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)})$.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. A subset V' of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a connected graph G is called span altering set if $sp_T(G - V') < sp_T(G)$.

Definition 2.2. A subset V' of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a connected graph G is called edge span altering set if $esp_T(G - V') < esp_T(G)$.

Obviously, In any graph G if we remove $n - 1$ vertices reduces the span and the edge span. So the question is what is the minimum number of vertices whose removal reduces the span and the edge span.

The minimum cardinality of a span altering set is called the span critical number denoted by $\zeta(G)$.

Revised Manuscript Received on October 30, 2019.

* Correspondence Author

MS. S. Jai Roselin.*, Research scholar, Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's college (Autonomous) affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Trichy.

Dr. L. Benedict Michael Raj, Head and Associate Professor, St. Joseph's college (Autonomous) affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Trichy.

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

The minimum cardinality of an edge span altering set is called the edge span critical number denoted by $\zeta'(G)$.

Theorem 2.1. For all T sets $\zeta(K_n) = \zeta'(K_n) = 1$.

Proof. It is obvious that $sp_T(K_n) = esp_T(K_n)$ for every n . Now removal of a single vertex implies that $sp_T(K_{n-1}) < sp_T(K_n)$ and $esp_T(K_{n-1}) < esp_T(K_n)$. Hence, $\zeta(K_n) = \zeta'(K_n) = 1$.

Theorem 2.2. Let W_n be a wheel graph with n even. For a k -multiple of s set T , $\zeta(W_n) = \zeta'(W_n) = 1$.

Proof. Let T be a k -multiple of s set.

Using Theorem 1.1, $sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 3k + 3$ as $s = 1$, $sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 2k + 3$ as $s = 2$, $sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 3k + 3$ as $s = 3$ and $sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 3$ as $s \geq 4$. Now remove the maximum degree vertex of W_n which causes more interferences, it reduces to an odd cycle by Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, $sp_T(C_n) < sp_T(W_n)$ and $esp_T(C_n) < esp_T(W_n)$. Hence, $\zeta(W_n) = \zeta'(W_n) = 1$, n is even.

Theorem 2.3. Let W_n be a wheel graph with n odd. For a k -multiple of s set T , $\zeta(W_n) = \zeta'(W_n) = 1$.

Proof. Let T be a k -multiple of s set. Invoking Theorem 1.2, $sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 2k + 2$ as $s = 1, 2$ and $sp_T(W_n) = esp_T(W_n) = 3$ as $s \geq 3$. Now remove the maximum degree vertex of W_n it reduces to an odd cycle by Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, $sp_T(C_n) < sp_T(W_n)$ and $esp_T(C_n) < esp_T(W_n)$. Hence, $\zeta(W_n) = \zeta'(W_n) = 1$, n is odd.

Theorem 2.4. Let C_n be a cycle with n odd. For a k -multiple of s set T , $\zeta(C_n) = \zeta'(C_n) = 1$.

Proof. Let T be a k -multiple of s set. Remove any one of the vertices of C_n implies a tree T . Since tree is a weakly γ perfect using Theorem 1.5, $sp_T(T) = sp_T(K_2)$. When $s = 1$, $sp_T(K_2) = k + 1 < sp_T(C_n) = 2k + 2$ and $esp_T(K_2) = k + 1 < \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} \right\rfloor k$ and when $s \geq 2$, $sp_T(K_2) = esp_T(K_2) = 1 < sp_T(C_n) = esp_T(C_n) = 2$. Hence, $\zeta(C_n) = \zeta'(C_n) = 1$.

Theorem 2.5. Let G be a bipartite graph. Then $\zeta(G) = \zeta'(G) = n - 1$.

Proof. Since G is a bipartite graph which is weakly γ perfect. Using Theorem 1.5., $sp_T(G) = esp_T(G)$, $sp_T(K_2) = esp_T(K_2)$. Therefore the only way to reduce span and edge span is remove $n-1$ vertices from G . Hence, $\zeta(G) = \zeta'(G) = n - 1$.

The following observation is immediate:

(i) $\zeta(G) = 1$ or $\zeta'(G) = 1$ if and only if there is an optimal T -coloring in which largest integer vertex v is in a singleton color class.

Theorem 2.6. If G is a graph with chromatic number $k \geq 3$ then $1 \leq \zeta(G) \leq n - 2$ and $1 \leq \zeta'(G) \leq n - 2$.

Proof. In any graph G with chromatic number $k \geq 3$ contains an odd cycle. Now remove $n - 2$ vertices results a K_2 . Hence, $1 \leq \zeta(G) \leq n - 2$ and $1 \leq \zeta'(G) \leq n - 2$.

Theorem 2.7. Let T be a k -multiple of s set. If G is a non-perfect graph then $1 \leq \zeta(G) \leq n - \omega(G)$. **Proof.** Let G be a non-perfect graph. Thus $\chi(G) > \omega(G)$. Label the vertices of $\omega(G)$ by $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\omega\}$. Let $V_0 = V(G) - S$. Hence, we have $sp_T(G - V_0) < sp_T(G)$. This bound is not attained and the converse is not true.

Theorem 2.8. Not all non perfect graphs has $\zeta(G) = 1$.

Proof. Petersen graph is not perfect $\omega(G) = 2$ and $\chi(G) = 3$ and $\zeta(G) = 2$. Since $sp_T(P) = esp_T(P)$

$= 2k + 2$ as $s = 1, 2$ and $sp_T(P) = esp_T(P) = 2$ as $s \geq 3$ which is greater than $sp_T(K_2) = esp_T(K_2) = k + 1$ as $s = 1$ and $sp_T(K_2) = esp_T(K_2) = 1$ as $s \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.9. Let G be either unicyclic graph or hamiltonian-triangle graph. Let T be a k -multiple of s set. Then $\zeta(G) = 1$.

Proof. Let G be an unicyclic graph. Then removal of a single vertex in that cycle produces a tree. Hence $\zeta(G) = 1$. Let G be a graph that contains a triangle and an odd cycle on n vertices. Thus G has at most $\binom{k}{2}$ edges. Now removal of a maximum degree vertex v results $\chi(G - v) < \chi(G)$. Which in turn implies that $sp_T(G - v) < sp_T(G)$. Hence, $\zeta(G) = 1$.

Theorem 2.10. Let T be a k -multiple of s set. The span critical number of a critical graph is one.

Proof. Let G be a critical graph and T be a k -multiple of s set. Then $\chi(H) < \chi(G)$ for every proper subgraph H of G . Thus removal of every single vertex implies that $sp_T(G - v) < sp_T(G)$. Hence, $\zeta(G) = 1$.

Theorem 2.11. Every graph with $\zeta(G) = 1$ contains an odd cycle but the converse is not true.

Proof. Let P be a Petersen graph with $\chi(P) = 3$ and $\zeta(G) = 2$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $\zeta(G_1) = 1$ and $\zeta(G_2) = 1$. Then the span critical number of cartesian product of G_1 and G_2 need not be equal to 1.

Proof. The cartesian product $G_1 \square G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2 contains sub graphs that are isomorphic to both G_1 and G_2 . Also we know that every graph with $\zeta(G) = 1$ contains an odd cycle. Thus G_1 and G_2 contains an odd cycle. Suppose $G_1 = C_m$ and $G_2 = C_n$, m, n is odd. In $G_1 \square G_2$ we have G_1 copies of G_2 and G_2 copies of G_1 . There are m number of vertex disjoint cycles or n number of vertex disjoint cycles will appear. Thus removal of single vertex will not reduce the span.

Theorem 2.13. Let $\zeta'(G_1) = 1$ and $\zeta'(G_2) = 1$. Then the edge span critical number of cartesian product of G_1 and G_2 need not equal to 1.

Proof. Proof is similar that of Theorem 2.12.

III. T-COLORING OF MYCIELSKIAN GRAPHS

One may refer for Mycielskian Construction[11].

The construction is as follows:

Let G be a triangle free graph with $\chi(G) = k$ and $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Construct a graph G' as follows. Let $V(G') =$

$\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \cup \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \cup \{w\}$, $E(G') = E(G)$ and for every u_i , add edges from u_i to all of v_i 's neighbours.

In addition, take vertex w and made adjacent to all the u_i 's. We call w is the central vertex of $\mu(G)$ [11].

We denote Mycielskian of graph G by $\mu(G)$.

Previous results:

Theorem 3.1[2] For all graphs G and all T -sets, (i) $\chi_T(G) = \chi(G)$,

$$(ii) sp_T(K_{\omega(G)}) \leq sp_T(G) \leq esp_T(G) \leq sp_T(K_{\chi(G)}).$$

Theorem 3.2[2] If T is a k -initial set, then $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)}) = (k + 1)(\chi(G) - 1)$



Theorem 3.3 [10] If T is a k multiple of s set, then for all graphs G ,

$$sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)}) = \begin{cases} st + skt - sk - 1 & \text{if } \chi(G) = st \\ st + skt + m - 1 & \text{if } \chi(G) = st + m, 1 \leq m \leq s - 1, \\ & t \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.4 [7] If T is a k -multiple-of- s -set T , and $\chi(G) \leq s$ then $sp_T(G) = esp_T(G) = \chi(G) - 1$.

Theorem 3.5 [5] Let H be a sub graph of a graph G . For each finite set of non-negative integers containing 0,

(i) $sp_T(H) \leq sp_T(G)$, (ii) $esp_T(H) \leq esp_T(G)$.

MAIN RESULTS:

Proposition 3.6 Let $\mu(G)$ be the Myceilskian of graph G . For all sets T ,

$$(i) \chi(\mu(G)) = \chi_T(G) + 1 = \chi(G) + 1$$

$$(ii) sp_T(K_2) \leq esp_T(\mu(G)) \leq sp_T(\mu(G)) \leq sp_T(K_{\chi(G)+1}).$$

Proof. Proof follows from Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.7. If T is a k -initial set, then $sp_T(\mu(G)) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)+1}) = sp_T(G) + (k + 1)$.

Proof. Let T be a k -initial set. Using Theorem 3.2, $sp_T(\mu(G)) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)+1}) = (\chi(G) + 1 - 1)(k + 1)$. Hence, $sp_T(\mu(G)) = sp_T(G) + (k + 1)$.

Lemma 3.8 For all graphs and all T -sets,

$$(i) sp_T(\mu(G) - w) = sp_T(G),$$

$$(ii) esp_T(\mu(G) - w) = esp_T(G).$$

Proof. Invoking Theorem 3.5, $sp_T(\mu(G) - w) \geq sp_T(G)$ and $esp_T(\mu(G) - w) \geq esp_T(G)$. Let f be a T coloring of G such that $sp_T^f(G) = sp_T(G)$. Define $f'(u_i) = f'(v_i) = f(v_i), 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Hence, f' is a T coloring of $\mu(G) - w$ in which f' -span is same as the f -span. Hence, $sp_T(\mu(G) - w) \leq sp_T^{f'}(\mu(G) - w) \leq sp_T^f(G) \leq sp_T(G)$. Hence, $sp_T(\mu(G) - w) \leq sp_T(G)$. Similarly Let g be a T coloring of G such that $esp_T^g(G) = esp_T(G)$. Define $g'(u_i) = g'(v_i) = g(v_i), 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Hence, g' is a T coloring of $\mu(G) - w$ in which g' -edge span is same as the g -edge span. Hence, $esp_T(\mu(G) - w) \leq esp_T^{g'}(\mu(G) - w) \leq esp_T^g(G) \leq esp_T(G)$. Hence, $esp_T(\mu(G) - w) \leq esp_T(G)$.

Theorem 3.9. If T is a k -initial set, then $esp_T(\mu(G)) = esp_T(G) + (k + 1)$.

Proof. Let T be a k -initial set. Using Lemma 3.8, $esp_T(\mu(G) - w) = esp_T(G)$. Since w is adjacent to all $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ in $\mu(G)$, in any T coloring of $\mu(G)$ w must receive a new color different from u_i 's and that should make difference at least $k + 1$. Therefore color the vertex $esp_T(G) + ((k + 1))$. Hence, $esp_T(\mu(G)) \leq esp_T(G) + ((k + 1))$. Suppose $esp_T(\mu(G)) < esp_T(G) + ((k + 1))$ for some f . Then Then $|f(w) - f(u_i)| \in T$, a contradiction to the definition of T coloring. Hence, $esp_T(\mu(G)) = esp_T(G) + ((k + 1))$.

Corollary 3.10 For a complete graph K_n and T is a k -initial set, $sp_T(\mu(K_n)) = esp_T(\mu(K_n)) = n(k + 1)$.

Corollary 3.11 Let P_n be a path graph. For a k -initial set T , $sp_T(\mu(P_n)) = esp_T(\mu(P_n)) = 2(k + 1)$.

Corollary 3.12 Let C_n be a cycle graph. For a k -initial set T , $sp_T(\mu(C_n)) = 3(k + 1)$, $esp_T(\mu(K_n)) = \frac{n+1}{n-1}(k + 1)$

$$1) \Big| + (k + 1) \text{ if } n \text{ is odd } sp_T(\mu(C_n)) = esp_T(\mu(C_n)) = 2(k + 1).$$

Theorem 3.13. If T is a k -multiple of s set, then for all graphs G ,

$$sp_T(\mu(G)) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)+1}) = \begin{cases} st + skt - sk - 1 & \text{if } \chi(G) + 1 = st \\ st + skt + m - 1 & \text{if } \chi(G) + 1 = st + m, 1 \leq m \leq s - 1, \\ & t \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Proof is immediate from Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.14. If T is a k -multiple of s set, then for all graphs G ,

$$sp_T(\mu(G)) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)+1}) = sp_T(G) + (k + 1)$$

Proof. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $\chi(G) + 1 = st$.

$$sp_T(\mu(G)) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)+1}) = (\chi(G) + 1 - s)k + \chi(G) = (\chi(G) - s)k + \chi(G) + k = sp_T(G) + k + 1$$

Case 2. $\chi(G) + 1 = st + m$.

$$sp_T(\mu(G)) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)+1}) = (\chi(G) + 1 - m)k + \chi(G) = (\chi(G) - m)k + \chi(G) + k = sp_T(G) + k + 1.$$

Corollary 3.15. If T is a k -multiple of s set and $\chi(G) + 1 \leq s$, then $sp_T(\mu(G)) = esp_T(\mu(G)) = \chi(G)$.

Proof. Proof is immediate from Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 3.16. Let G be any graph with $\chi(G) = 2$ and $T = \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2k\}$ then,

Then

$$sp_T(\mu(G)) = esp_T(\mu(G)) = 2(k + 1).$$

Proof. Invoking Theorem 3.14, $sp_T(\mu(G)) = 2(k + 1)$. Hence, $esp_T(\mu(G)) \leq 2(k + 1)$ It is clear that, $esp_T(G) = esp_T(\mu(G) - w) = 1$. Without loss of generality, the smallest color assigned is 0 and the largest color assigned is 1. Suppose $esp_T(\mu(G)) < 2(k + 1)$. Consider the sets $A = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2k - 1, 2k + 1\}$ and $B = \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2k\}$. Suppose $f(w) \in A$. Since w is adjacent to every vertices of u_i 's, w must be adjacent to the vertex assigned the color 1, say u_j . Then we have $|f(w) - f(u_j)| \in T$, which is a contradiction to the definition of T -coloring. Hence $f(w) \notin A$. Suppose $f(w) \in B$. Since w is adjacent to every vertices of u_i 's, w must be adjacent to the vertex assigned the color 0, say u_k . Then we have $|f(w) - f(u_k)| \in T$, which is a contradiction to the definition of T -coloring. Hence $f(w) \notin B$. Thus, $f(w) \geq 2k + 2$. Hence, $esp_T(\mu(G)) \geq 2k + 2$.

Theorem 3.17. Let G be any graph with $\chi(G) = 2$. If T is a k -multiple of 2 set, then $sp_T(\mu(G)) = esp_T(\mu(G)) = 2(k + 1)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.14, $sp_T(\mu(G)) = 2k + 2$. By Theorem 3.1 (ii) we have $esp_T(\mu(G)) \leq 2k + 2$. By Lemma 3.16, we have if $T = \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2k\}$, there is no T -coloring function f of G satisfying the edge span $< 2(k + 1)$. Then for any k -multiple of 2 sets T there is no T -coloring function f with edge span $< 2(k + 1)$. Therefore $esp_T(\mu(G)) \geq 2k + 2$. Hence $esp_T(\mu(G)) = sp_T(\mu(G)) = 2k + 2$.

We end up the sections with the following open questions and a conjecture

- (i) Prove or disprove: The edge span critical number of a critical graph is one.
- (ii) For all graphs $G, 1 \leq \zeta(G) \leq$ number of disjoint odd cycles of G .
- (iii) Classify the graphs, which have $\zeta(G) = \zeta'(G)$?



(iv) Prove or dis prove : $\zeta(G)$ = the number of vertex disjoint odd cycle of a graph G .

(v) Let G be any graph. Compute $\zeta(\mu(G))$ and $\zeta'(\mu(G))$.

Conjecture: Let G be any graph and $sp_T(G) = esp_T(G)$ if and only if $\zeta(G) = \zeta'(G)$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we classify which graphs containing a sub graph H such that $sp_T(H) < sp_T(G)$ and $esp_T(H) < esp_T(G)$. Also we discuss the Mycielskian of T -coloring.

REFERENCES

1. Balakrishnan.R, Ranganathan.K; *A Text Book of Graph Theory*; (second edition) Springer, 2012.
2. Cozzens.M.B. and Roberts.F.S., T-Coloring of graphs and the channel assignment problem, *Congressus Numerantium*, 35 (1982), 191-208.
3. Gary Chartrand, Ping Zhang, Chromatic graph theory, *Discrete Mathematics and its applications*, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, 2009.
4. Hale.W.K., Frequency assignment: Theory and applications, *Proceedings of the IEEE*; 68(1980), 1497-1514.
5. Jai Roselin.S, Benedict Michael Raj.L, T-Coloring of Certain Non Perfect Graphs, *Journal of Applied Science and Computations*, ISSN NO:1076-5131, Volume VI, Issue II, February 2019, 1456-1468.
6. Jai Roselin.S, Benedict Michael Raj.L, T-Coloring of wheel graphs, *International Journal of Information and Computing Science*, Volume 6, Issue 3, March 2019, 11-18
7. Justie Su-Tzu Juan, *I-fan Sun and Pin-Xian Wu, T-Coloring on Folded Hypercubes, *Taiwanese journal of mathematics*, 13(4) (2009), 1331-1341.
8. Liu.D.D.F., T-colorings of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 101(1992), 203-212.
9. Robert A Murphey, Panos M Paradas, Mauricio GC Resende, Frequency Assignments Problems, *Handbook of Combinatorial optimization*, 295-377, 1999.
10. Raychaudhuri.A., Further results on T-Coloring and Frequency assignment problems, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, 7(1994), 605-613.
11. Mycielski, Jan (1955), "Sur le coloriage des graphes, *Colloq. Math.*, 3:161-162

AUTHORS PROFILE



MS. S. Jai Roselin, a full time Research scholar, Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's college (Autonomous) affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Trichy. She has published papers in National and International journals and presented paper in International Conference on Discrete Mathematics-2019 and international conferences. Her area of interest are Graph Theory especially Graph colorings and labelings.



Dr. L. Benedict Michael Raj, M.Sc., M.Phil., PGDCA, Ph.D. is Head and Associate Professor, St. Joseph's college (Autonomous) affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Trichy. He has published papers in National and International journals. He conducted International, National Conferences and he presented paper in International, National Conferences. His area of interests are Graph Theory especially Graph colorings and labelings and Algebraic Topology.