

Ternary Γ -SO-semirings-3



Bhagyalakshmi Kothuru, V.Amarendra Babu

Abstract: “In this paper we are introducing the notions of subtractive and strong subsets in partial ternary Γ - semirings.

We show that in a ternary Γ - SO semiring satisfying that every non-zero ideal is strong and subtractive Further we will show that join of any two ideals is equal to the sum of those two ideals in a ternary Γ - SO semiring satisfying the decomposition property. In a ternary Γ - SO semiring satisfying the decomposition property then ideal(R) is a distributive lattice”.

Keywords: Subtractive, strong, austere, join, entire.

I. INTRODUCTION

The notion of ideals in SO-rings studied by G.V.S Acharyulu [1] and M.MuralikrishnaRao[8] studied ideals in Γ - semirings. Further ideals of SO-Partial Γ - semirings investigated by Sivamala.M, Siva Prasad.K [19]. Recently the study of ideals in ternary Γ - semiring [12].

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper ternary Γ -SO –semiring refers to TTSS. And CTF-SS refers to complete ternary Γ -SO –semiring. From below some important definitions is given:

Definition2.1: [8] “Let R and Γ be two additive commutative semi groups. R is said to be a **ternary Γ -semiring** if there exist a mapping from $R \times \Gamma \times R \times \Gamma \times R \rightarrow R$ which maps $(x_1, \alpha, x_2, \beta, x_3) \rightarrow [x_1 \alpha x_2 \beta x_3]$ satisfying the conditions

- (i) $(a \alpha b \beta c) \gamma d \delta e = a \alpha (b \beta c \gamma d) \delta e = a \alpha b \beta (c \gamma d \delta e)$
 - (ii) $[(a+b) \alpha c \beta d] = [a \alpha c \beta d] + [b \alpha c \beta d]$
 - (iii) $[a \alpha (b + c) \beta d] = [a \alpha b \beta d] + [a \alpha c \beta d]$
 - (iv) $[a \alpha b \beta (c + d)] = [a \alpha b \beta c] + [a \alpha b \beta d]$
- for all $a, b, c, d \in R$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ ”.

Definition2.2:[12] “Let M be a ternary Γ -SO-semiring. A non-empty subset A of M is known as **left (lateral, right) ternary Γ -ideal** of M, if it satisfies the following:

- (i) A is a left (lateral, right) partial ternary Γ -ideal of M.
 - (ii) $x \in M$ and $y \in A$ such that $x \leq y$ then $x \in A$.
- If A is left, lateral as well as right ternary Γ -ideal of M, then A is known as ternary Γ -ideal of M”.

Revised Manuscript Received on October 30, 2019.

* Correspondence Author

Bhagyalakshmi Kothuru*, Research Scholar Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University and Department of Mathematics, KKR&KSR Institute of Technology &Sciences Vinjanampadu, Guntur-17, Email:mblakshmi12@gmail.com

V.Amarendra Babu, Department of Mathematics, AcharyaNagarjuna University. Email: amarendrab4@gmail.com

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Definition2.3: [12] “A partial ternary Γ -semiring said to have a **left (lateral, right) unity element** provided there exist a family $(e_i : i \in I)$ of M and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i : i \in I)$ of $\Gamma \ni$

$$\sum e_i \alpha_i e_i \beta_i a = a (\sum e_i \alpha_i a \beta_i e_i = a, \sum a \alpha_i e_i \beta_i e_i = a) \text{ for any } a \in M”.$$

Definition2.4:[12] “A TTSS M is said to be **CTF-SS (complete ternary Γ -SO-semiring)** if every family of elements in M is sum able”.

And for more preliminaries the references [12] [13] [14] [15][16][17] and [18].

III. MAIN RESULTS

Definition3.1: U is known as non empty subset PTFS (“partial ternary Γ - semiring”) if $u_1, u_2 \in R, u_1 + u_2 \in U$ and $u_2 \in U \Rightarrow u_1 \in U$ then R is called **subtractive**.

Definition3.2: U is known as non empty subset of a PTFS R is known as **strong** if $u_1, u_2 \in R, u_1 + u_2 \in U \Rightarrow u_1, u_2 \in U$. Since strong subset is clearly subtractive.

Example: 3.3: Let $R = \{0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$, $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ by using R, Σ is described.

$$x_j \text{ if } x_i = 0 \forall i \neq j, \text{ for some } j$$

$$\sum_i x_i = \begin{cases} z \text{ if } x_j = u_1, x_k = u_2 \text{ for some } j, k \text{ and } x_i = 0 \forall i \neq j, k \\ \infty, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Γ –monoid is defined by R.

$R \times \Gamma \times R \times \Gamma \times R \rightarrow R$ is mapped as given below:

α	0	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	u_5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
u_1	0	0	0	0	0	0
u_2	0	0	0	0	0	0
u_3	0	0	0	0	0	0
u_4	0	0	0	0	0	0
u_5	0	0	0	0	0	0

β	0	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	u_5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
u_1	0	u_1	0	u_3	0	u_1
u_2	0	0	u_2	0	u_4	u_2
u_3	0	0	u_3	0	u_1	u_3
u_4	0	u_4	0	u_2	0	u_4
u_5	0	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	u_5

Then R is a PTFS. Here the subset $\{0, u_1, u_2, u_5\}$ is strong. Therefore it is subtractive subset.

Example 3.4: In example 3.3 the subset $U = \{0, u_3, u_5\}$ is subtractive but not strong because $u_1 + u_2 = u_5$ and both $u_1, u_2 \notin U$.



Theorem3.5: In a TFSS R every non-zero ideal is strong and hence subtractive.

Proof: R is non zero which is ideal in condition. Let $x, y \in R$ such that $x+y$ exists in R and $x+y \in X$.

Since X is an ideal and $x \leq x+y, y \leq x+y \Rightarrow x, y \in X$. Therefore X is a strong ideal of R.

Definition3.6: "A PTFS R is said to be *left austere*. R has no non-zero subtractive left partial ideals. A TFSS R is left Austere if it has no non-zero left ideals".

Definition3.7: A PTTS R is said to be *entire* If it satisfies the conditions $axb\beta c = 0 \Rightarrow$ either $b=0$ and $a=0$ and $c=0$ where $\forall a, b, c \in R, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Theorem3.8: If R is a left austere TFSS with left unity then R is entire.

Proof: Let $x, y, z \in R$. Suppose $xay\beta z = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Let us take $A = \{r \in R / ray\beta z = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma\}$

First we show that A is a left ideal of R.

Clearly $0 \in A$. Suppose $x \neq 0$ then $x \in A \neq \{0\}$.

Let $\{a_i; i \in I\}$ in R and $a_i \in A \forall i \in I$

then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ each $a_i \alpha y \beta z = 0$

$\Rightarrow \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma (\sum_i a_i) \alpha y \beta z = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i a_i \in A$.

Let $s \in R$, and $t \in A$ such that $s \leq t$ since $t \in A$,

$tay\beta z = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Since $s \leq t$

$\Rightarrow say\beta z \leq tay\beta z \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$\Rightarrow say\beta z = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \Rightarrow s \in A$.

Let $q, r \in R, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ and $x \in A$

Here $x \in A \Rightarrow xay\beta z = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Consider

$(q\gamma r\delta x)\alpha y\beta z = q\gamma r\delta(x\alpha y\beta z) = q\gamma r\delta(0) = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$\Rightarrow q\gamma r\delta x \in A$.

The value of R is obtained as ideal in left position if the value of A is maintained at non zero condition.

Since R has left unity, then there exist a family $(e_i; i \in I)$ in R and $\gamma_i, \beta_i \in \Gamma$ such that $\sum_i e_i \gamma_i e_i \beta_i r = r \forall r \in R$.

Since $A=R$, and hence $(e_i; i \in I) \in A. \Rightarrow e_i \alpha y \beta z = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Therefore in particular $e_i \gamma_i y \beta_i z = 0 \forall i \in I$

$\Rightarrow \sum_i e_i \gamma_i y \beta_i z = 0 \Rightarrow y=0$ or $z=0$.

Hence R is entire.

Note3.9: In general 3.8 theorem is converse which is not true for this consider the following example.

Example3.10: Let $R = [0, 1]$ is the real number for unit interval. $(a_i; i \in I)$ is the family in R which defines $\sum_i a_i = \text{Sup}\{a_i / i \in I\}$ and after that partial ternary monoid R is defined. If we take $\Gamma=W$ then R is a partial ternary Γ -monoid. Consider the mapping $(x, \alpha, y, \beta, z) \rightarrow \inf(x, \alpha, y, \beta, z)$ of $R \times \Gamma \times R \times \Gamma \times R \rightarrow R$ then R is a PTFS. Then R is a TFSS with usual \leq of real numbers. For any non-zero $x \in R, [0, x]$ is a non-zero ideal of R and hence R is not left Austere. Since $x\alpha y\beta z = \inf(x, \alpha, y, \beta, z) = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \Rightarrow x=0$ or $y=0$ or $z=0$. And hence R is an entire TFSS.

Theorem3.11: CTT-SSR is the principal idea to join the two principles of CTT-SSR.

Remark3.12: In any CTT-SS $\forall_i \langle a_i \rangle = \langle \sum_i a_i \rangle$.

Definition3.13: Let X, Y be two ideals of a TFSS then $X+Y = \{x+y/x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

Definition3.14: A TFSS R is known as have the *decomposition property* iff for any $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R, a_1 \leq a_2 + a_3$ then there exist $b_1, b_2 \in R$ such that $0 \leq b_1 \leq a_2, 0 \leq b_2 \leq a_3$, and $a_1 = b_1 + b_2$.

Theorem3.15: let a TFSS R satisfying the decomposition property and P+Q is obtained for the ideal value of R.

Proof: First we show that R is an ideal value for P+Q. In R the summable family is assumed as $(x_i / i \in I)$ and $x_i \in P + Q \forall i \in I$ then $x_i = a_i + b_i$ for some $a_i \in P$ and $b_i \in Q \forall i \in I$

$\Rightarrow \sum_i x_i = \sum_i a_i + \sum_i b_i$ where $\sum_i a_i \in P$ and $\sum_i b_i \in Q$.

$\Rightarrow \sum_i x_i \in P + Q$

Let $x \in R, b \in P + Q$ for some $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ the value $x \leq b \Rightarrow x \leq b = p + q$.

By decomposition property there exist $0 \leq p_1 \leq p, 0 \leq q_1 \leq q$ such that $x = p_1 + q_1$.

Since $p_1 \leq p, p \in P \Rightarrow p_1 \in P$ and $q_1 \leq q,$

$q \in Q \Rightarrow q_1 \in Q$

Therefore $x = p_1 + q_1 \in P + Q$.

Let $r_1, r_2 \in R, x \in P + Q$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

then $r_1, r_2 \in R, x = p + q$ where $p \in P, q \in Q$ and

$\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Consider

$r_1 \alpha r_2 \beta x = r_1 \alpha r_2 \beta (p + q) = r_1 \alpha r_2 \beta p + r_1 \alpha r_2 \beta q$

Where $r_1 \alpha r_2 \beta p \in P, r_1 \alpha r_2 \beta q \in Q$.

$\Rightarrow r_1 \alpha r_2 \beta x \in P + Q$ And

$r_1 \alpha x \beta r_2 = r_1 \alpha (p + q) \beta r_2 = r_1 \alpha p \beta r_2 + r_1 \alpha q \beta r_2$

where $r_1 \alpha p \beta r_2 \in P, r_1 \alpha q \beta r_2 \in Q$

$\Rightarrow r_1 \alpha x \beta r_2 \in P + Q$

Now

$x \alpha r_1 \beta r_2 = (p + q) \alpha r_1 \beta r_2 = p \alpha r_1 \beta r_2 + q \alpha r_1 \beta r_2$

where $p \alpha r_1 \beta r_2 \in P, q \alpha r_1 \beta r_2 \in Q$

$\Rightarrow x \alpha r_1 \beta r_2 \in P + Q$. Hence P+Q is an ideal of R.

Since $P \subseteq P + Q, Q \subseteq P + Q$.

$P+Q \subseteq K$ is obtained based on $P \subseteq K$ & $Q \subseteq K$, when R is the ideal value. Here P and Q is obtained as the smallest value of R which is given as P+Q.

Note3.16: A TFSS R which does not satisfy the decomposition property then there exist two ideals P and Q such that R is independent of P+Q.

Example3.17: "Let $R = \{0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5\}, \Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ define Σ on R as

$\sum_i x_i = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } x_i = 0 \forall i \neq j, \text{ for some } j \\ \text{undefined, otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then R is a ternary SO -monoid.



Define the mapping $R \times \Gamma \times R \times \Gamma \times R \rightarrow R$ as follows:

α	0	r_1	r_2	r_3	r_4	r_5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
r_1	0	0	0	0	0	0
r_2	0	0	0	0	0	0
r_3	0	0	0	0	0	0
r_4	0	0	0	0	0	0
r_5	0	0	0	0	0	0

β	0	r_1	r_2	r_3	r_4	r_5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
r_1	0	0	0	0	0	r_1
r_2	0	0	0	0	0	r_2
r_3	0	0	0	0	0	r_3
r_4	0	0	0	0	0	r_4
r_5	0	r_1	r_2	r_3	r_4	r_5

Then R is a TFSS. In R we have $r_3 \leq r_4 = r_1 + r_2$ and there exist no $x, y \in R$ such that $0 \leq x \leq r_1, 0 \leq y \leq r_2$ and $r_3 = x + y$ implies that the decomposition property fails. Take $P = \{0, r_1\}, Q = \{0, r_2\}$. Then P, Q are ideals of R and $P+Q = \{0, r_1, r_2, r_4\}$ is not ideal. Since $r_3 \leq r_4$ and $r_3 \notin \{0, r_1, r_2, r_4\}$.

Theorem3.18: "Let R be a TFSS satisfying the decomposition property then ideal(R) is a distributive lattice".

Proof: Note that ideal(R) together with set inclusion forms a lattice where $\inf\{I, J, K\} = I \wedge J \wedge K, \sup\{I, J\} = I \vee J$. Let J, K, L, M are the ideal values of R.

Let $x \leq p + q$ is obtained for $x \in (J \wedge K \wedge L) \vee (J \wedge K \wedge M)$

where $q \in (J \wedge K \wedge M), p \in (J \wedge K \wedge L) \Rightarrow x \leq p + q$ where $p, q \in J, p, q \in K, p \in W$ and $q \in Y$. Since p, q are in J, K and J, K implies the ideals of R that is given as $p + q \in J \& p + q \in K$. So $x \in J, x \in K$.

Since $x \leq p + q, p \in W$ and $q \in M \Rightarrow x \leq p + q \in L + M = L \vee M. \Rightarrow x \in L \vee M$. Therefore $x \in J \wedge K \wedge (L \vee M)$.

Hence $(J \wedge K \wedge L) \vee (J \wedge K \wedge M) \subseteq J \wedge K \wedge (L \vee M)$.

Let $x \in J \wedge K \wedge (L \vee M) \Rightarrow x \in J, x \in K$ and $x \in L \vee M$ then by theorem3.11 $L \vee M = L + M$

And the value $x = p + q$ for some $p \in L$ and $q \in M$, Since we have $p, q \in J, p, q \in K$ for $p \leq p + q, q \leq p + q$. Therefore for $p \in (J \wedge K \wedge L),$

$q \in (J \wedge K \wedge M)$ the value $x = p + q \Rightarrow x \in (J \wedge K \wedge L) \vee (J \wedge K \wedge M)$

Thus $J \wedge K \wedge (L \vee M) \subseteq (J \wedge K \wedge L) \vee (J \wedge K \wedge M)$

Hence $J \wedge K \wedge (L \vee M) = (J \wedge K \wedge L) \vee (J \wedge K \wedge M)$

Note3.19: The decomposition property in a TFSS fails then the lattice of ideals is not distributive for this considers the following example. Consider the TFSS R given in example 3.17 take $A = \{0, r_1\}, B = \{0, r_2\}, C = \{0, r_3\}, Q = \{0, r_4\}$. Then $A \wedge B \wedge A (C \vee D) = \{0, r_1\}$, whereas $(A \wedge B \wedge C) \vee (A \wedge B \wedge D) = \{0\}$.

Theorem3.20: "Let R be a CTF-SS R then ideal(R) forms a complete lattice with supremum as \vee and infimum as \wedge ".

Proof: Obviously, ideal(R) with set inclusion forms a lattice with $\{0\}$ as the least element and R as the greatest element $\{R_i / i \in I\}$ family of ideals of R, $\bigwedge_{i \in I} R_i$ and $\bigvee_{i \in I} R_i$ are ideals

of R. So $\inf\{R_i / i \in I\}$ and $\sup\{R_i / i \in I\}$ are in ideal(R) and hence ideal (R) is a complete lattice.

Theorem3.21: "For any ideals A, B, C, D of CTF-SS R, $A \Gamma B \Gamma (C \vee D) = (A \Gamma B \Gamma C) \vee (A \Gamma B \Gamma D)$ ".

Proof: Let $x \in A \Gamma B \Gamma (C \vee D)$ then $x \leq \sum_i a_i \alpha_i b_i \beta_i c_i$ for some $a_i \in A, b_i \in B, c_i \in C \vee D$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Gamma$. Since $c_i \in C \vee D, c_i \leq d_i + e_i$ for some $d_i \in C$ and $e_i \in D$. So $x \leq \sum_i a_i \alpha_i b_i \beta_i (d_i + e_i) \Rightarrow x \leq \sum_i a_i \alpha_i b_i \beta_i d_i + \sum_i a_i \alpha_i b_i \beta_i e_i$ where $a_i \in A, b_i \in B, d_i \in C, e_i \in D$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Gamma. \Rightarrow x \in (A \Gamma B \Gamma C) \vee (A \Gamma B \Gamma D)$.

Conversely if $x \in (A \Gamma B \Gamma C) \vee (A \Gamma B \Gamma D)$ then $x \leq x_1 + x_2$ where $x_1 \in A \Gamma B \Gamma C$ and $x_2 \in A \Gamma B \Gamma D$

Since $x_1 \in A \Gamma B \Gamma C, x_1 \leq \sum_i a_i \alpha_i b_i \beta_i c_i$ for some $a_i \in A, b_i \in B, c_i \in C$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Gamma$

Since $x_2 \in A \Gamma B \Gamma D, x_2 \leq \sum_j d_j \gamma_j e_j \delta_j f_j$ where $d_j \in A, e_j \in B, f_j \in C$ and $\gamma_j, \delta_j \in \Gamma$.

Therefore $x \leq \sum_i a_i \alpha_i b_i \beta_i c_i + \sum_j d_j \gamma_j e_j \delta_j f_j \leq \sum_i \sum_j (a_i + d_j)(\alpha_i + \gamma_j)(c_i + f_j)(\beta_j + \delta_j)(b_i + e_j)$

Where $(a_i + d_j) \in A, (b_i + e_j) \in B, (c_i + f_j) \in C \vee D$ and $(\alpha_i + \gamma_j), (\beta_i + \delta_j) \in \Gamma$

$\Rightarrow x \in A \Gamma B \Gamma (C \vee D)$ and hence

$A \Gamma B \Gamma (C \vee D) = (A \Gamma B \Gamma C) \vee (A \Gamma B \Gamma D)$

IV. CONCLUSION

Mainly we introduced in this paper about regular TFSS and characterized TFSS. In this paper we introduce the notions of subtractive and strong subsets in partial ternary Γ - semirings. We conclude that join of any two ideals is equal to the sum of those two ideals in a TFSS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

we are very thank full to Dr. D. MadhusudhanaRao, Professor in Mathematics, VSR & NVR College, Tenali and Dr. P. Siva Prasad, Associate Professor, Vignan University, Vadlamudi, Guntur(Dt).

REFERENCES

- G.V.S. Acharyulu, Matrix representable So-rings, Semigroup Forum, Springer-Verlag,46(1993), 31-47, doi: 10.1007/BF02573542.
- G.V.S. Acharyulu, A Study of Sum-Ordered Partial Semirings, Doctoral thesis, AndhraUniversity, 1992.
- M.A. Arbib, E.G. Manes, Partially Additive Categories and Flow-diagram Semantics,Journal of Algebra, 62(1980), 203-227.
- T.K. Dutta, S.K. Sardar, Semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of Γ -semirings, NoviSad J. Math., 30(1)(2000), 97-108.
- T.K. Dutta, S.K. Sardar, On the Operator Semirings of a Γ -semiring, Southeast AsianBulletin of Mathematics, 26(2)(2002), 215-225.
- Jonathan S. Golan. : Semirings and their Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers,1999.



8. E.G. Manes, D.B. Benson, The Inverse Semigroup of a Sum-Ordered Partial Semiring, *Semigroup Forum*, 31(1985), 129-152, doi: 10.1007/BF02572645.
9. M. Murali Krishna Rao, Γ -semirings-I, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 19(1)(1995), 49-54.
10. M. Murali Krishna Rao, Γ -semirings-II, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 21(1997), 281-287.
11. M. Murali Krishna Rao, The Jacobson Radical of a Γ -semiring, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 23(1999), 127-134.
12. M. Murali Krishna Rao, Γ -Semirings, Doctoral thesis, Andhra University, 1995.
13. SajaniLavanya. M., MadhusudhanaRao. D., and V. Syam Julius Rajendra, *On Quasi-Ternary F-Ideals and Bi-Ternary F-Ideals in Ternary F-Semirings*-International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, Volume 6, Issue 3, (September-2015), PP 05-14.
14. SajaniLavanya. M., MadhusudhanaRao. D., and V. Syam Julius Rajendra, *On Lateral Ternary Γ -Ideals of Ternary F-Semirings*-American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics (AIJRSTEM), 12(1), September-November, 2015, pp: 11-14.
15. SajaniLavanya. M., MadhusudhanaRao. D., and V. Syam Julius Rajendra, *Prime Bi-ternary F-Ideals in Ternary F-Semirings*-British Journal of Research, Volume 2, Issue 6, November-December, 2015, pp: 156-166.
16. SajaniLavanya. M., MadhusudhanaRao. D., and V. Syam Julius Rajendra, *A Study on Jacobson Radical of a Ternary Γ -Semiring*-International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research, Volume 6, Issue 1, (Feb-2016), PP 17-30.
17. SajaniLavanya. M., MadhusudhanaRao. D., and VB. SubrahmanyeswaraRaoSeetamraju, *On Right Ternary Γ -ideals of Ternary Γ -Semiring*, IMPACT-International Journal of Applied, Natural and Social Sciences, Vol. 4, Issue 5, May 2016, 107-114.
18. SajaniLavanya. M., MadhusudhanaRao.D., and Vasantha. M, *A Study on Inverses Strongly Ternary Gamma Semiring*-Accepted for publication in Associated Asia Research Foundation.
19. SajaniLavanya. M., MadhusudhanaRao. D., and VB. SubrahmanyeswaraRaoSeetamraju, *Properties of Right Strongly Prime Ternary Gamma Semiring*- Accepted for publication in International Organization of Scientific Research Journal of Mathematics.
20. M. Siva Mala, K. Siva Prasad, Partial Γ -Semirings, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 38(6)(2014), 873-885.
21. M. Siva Mala, K. Siva Prasad, (ϕ, ρ)-Representation of Γ -So-Rings, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, 10(1)(2015), 103-119, :10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.008.
22. M. Siva Mala, K. Siva Prasad, Ideals of Sum-Ordered partial \square -Semirings, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 40(2016), 413-426.
23. K. Siva Prasad, M. Siva Mala, P.V. SrinivasaRao, Green's Relations in Partial Γ -Semirings, *International Journal of Algebra and Statistics(IJAS)*, 2(2)(2013), 21-28, doi:10.20454/ijas.2013.755.
24. M. Siva Mala, K. Siva Prasad, Prime Ideals of Γ -So-rings, *International Journal of Algebra and Statistics(IJAS)*, 3(1)(2014), 1-8, doi: 10.20454/ijas.2014.774.
25. M. Siva Mala, K. Siva Prasad, Semiprime Ideals of \square -So-rings, *International Journal of Algebra and Statistics(IJAS)*, 3(1)(2014), 26-33, doi: 10.20454/ijas.2014.814.
26. M.E. Streenstrup, Sum-Ordered Partial Semirings, Doctoral thesis, Graduate school of the University of Massachusetts, Feb 1985 (Department of Computer and Information Science).
27. P.V. SrinivasaRao, Ideals of Sum Ordered Partial semirings, *International Journal of Computational Cognition(IJCC)*, 7(2)(2009), 59-64.
28. P.V. SrinivasaRao, Ideal Theory of Sum-Ordered Partial Semirings, Doctoral thesis, Acharya Nagarjuna University, 2011.
29. Syamjuliusrajendra. V, Dr. Madhusudhanarao. D, SajaniLavanya. M-A Study on Completely Regular po-Ternary \square -Semiring, *Asian Academic Research Journal of Multidisciplinary*, Volume 2, Issue 4, September 2015, PP: 240-246.
30. Syam Julius Rajendra. V, Dr.MadhusudhanaRao. D and SajaniLavanya. M-On Pure PO-Ternary Γ -Ideals in Ordered Ternary Γ -Semirings, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, Volume 11, Issue 5 Ver. IV (Sep. - Oct. 2015), PP 05-13.

* * * * *

AUTHORS PROFILE



K.Bhagyalakshmi: She is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematics, KKR&KSR Institute of Technology & Sciences. She completed her M.Phil., in Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, A.P, India. She is pursuing her Ph.D. under the guidance of Dr.V.Amarendra Babu. She published more than 10- research papers in popular international journals to her credit. Her areas of interests are algebra and topology. Presently she is working on Ternary So-semirings.



Dr.V.Amarendra Babu completed his Ph.D. from Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra Pradesh India. He joined as Assistant Professor, in department of mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University. In his guidance 5 Ph.D's and 3 M.Phil., were awarded. At present he guided 5 more Ph.D., scalars in the department of Mathematics Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur, A.P. A major part of his research work is topology and algebra concepts. He published more than 40 research papers in different international journals in the last 7 academic years.