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Abstract: In this paper we probed some interesting aspects of primorial and factorial primes. We did some numerical analysis about the distribution of prime numbers and tabulated our findings. Also, we pointed out certain interesting facts about the utility value of the study of prime numbers and their distributions in control engineering and Brain networks.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The irregular distribution of prime numbers makes it difficult for us to presume and discover. Euclid about 2300 years before established that primes are infinitely many. Till date we are not aware of a formula for finding the nth prime. It appears as if they are randomly embedded in N and may be because of this that sequences of primes do not tend to emanate from naturally happening processes. We constantly use computer encryption whenever we communicate our credit card information to Amazon or logging into our bank account or posting an encrypted email. It simply implies that we are using prime numbers and rely on their properties for protection of life in this cyber-age. Carl Sagan in his novel “Contact” remarked that prime numbers are cool. He further said in that book that aliens prefer to communicate our credit card information to Amazon or logging into our bank account or posting an encrypted email. It simply implies that we are using prime numbers and rely on their properties for protection of life in this cyber-age. Carl Sagan in his novel “Contact” remarked that prime numbers are cool. He further said in that book that aliens prefer to

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Discussion on Primorial and Factorial Primes

Our journey is driven by fascinating characteristics of primorial primes and factorial primes. A primorial function denoted \( P# \) is the product of all primes till P and includes P. The factorial function is \( n! = \prod_{i=1}^{n} i \). A natural curiosity pushes us to probe large primes. In order to do this, we need to get an idea by analyzing numbers such as \( n! + 1, n! - 1, P# + 1, P# - 1 \). As these numbers have large factors it is quite evident that their density of primes is more than the average. The following facts are well known. One can also see [1]-[4] for more.

(a) The density of primes close to M is \( \frac{1}{\log M} \).

(b) \( N! \approx \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^N \)

(c) If \( p \in (N, \sqrt{N!} + 1) \), \( p \) prime and \( (N! + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \), then \( N! + 1 \) is a prime.

The task of determination of huge primes comprises two distinct steps. The first step is to determine the chance of being a prime and the second step is testing for the primality. A number A is declared to have more chance of being a prime if \( (e^{A-1} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{A} \). Here the option for c is not that pertinent except in typical situations where c is restricted from assuming certain forbidden values. It has already been estimated that the mathematical expectation of the number of primes from \( K_1 \) to \( K_2 \) is \( I = \int_{K_1}^{K_2} \frac{dx}{\ln x} = 2 \log(\frac{K_2}{K_1}) \) and hence it takes significantly longer time to determine the succeeding factorial prime. Next, about the testing of primality, if a huge integer with more than \( 10^5 \) digits has higher chance of being a prime then in reality it mostly turns out to be so. A nice reference for practical tests for primality of huge numbers with \( 10^5 \) or above digits is [5]. Starting with 2, as first prime number, it is customary to let \( \pi(x) \) as number of prime less than or equal to \( x \); \( \theta(x) \) as the logarithm of product of all primes less than or equal to \( x \); \( \Psi(x) \) as the logarithm of the lcm of all positive integers less than or equal to \( x \). Also we let \( \pi(x) = \theta(x) = \Psi(x) = 0 \) if \( x < 2 \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). In [6] the authors have obtained nice upper and lower bounds for \( \pi(x), \theta(x) \) and \( \Psi(x) \).
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A natural approach to determine an approximation for the summation \( \sum f(p) \leq x \) is to let it equal to \( \int_2^x \frac{dy}{\log y} \). In view of this, \( \pi(x) \) and \( \vartheta(x) \) becomes \( \int_2^x \frac{dy}{\log y} \) and \( \int_2^x dy \) which is close to \( x \). It has been universally agreed that the following numerical approximations or estimations are accurate and valid for almost all values. That is, \( \frac{x}{\log x} \left( 1 + \frac{12}{\log x} \right) < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(\log x)^2} \right) \) for \( x \geq 67 \) for the lower inequality and \( x > 1 \) for upper inequality. \( \frac{x}{\log x} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(\log x)^2} \right) \) for \( x \geq 67 \) for the lower inequality and \( x > 1 \) for the upper inequality.\[ 1 - \log x e^{-\log x} \frac{\log x}{\zeta(2)} \leq \frac{\vartheta(x)}{\sqrt{\pi x(x-1)}} \] where \( R = \frac{515}{\sqrt{546 - 322}} \approx 217.51631 \) and \( x \geq 2 \) for lower inequality and \( x > 1 \) for the upper inequality.

B. On Distribution of Primes

It is a fact that till date there are 183 different proofs for the Euclid’s observation that primes are infinitely many. So by beginning with \( p_1 = 2 \), if we presume that \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \) are defined then by selecting a prime \( p_{k+1} \), one can continue the sequence for which \( N \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} p_i + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_{k+1}} \). Clearly such a sequence of selection \( \{p_i\} \) is not unique as there are many options for \( p_{k+1} \) to keep the above relation intact. Mullin [7] proposed two canonical options namely the least \( p_{k+1} \) and the greatest \( p_{k+1} \). The former resulted in a sequence 2, 3, 7, 43, 13, 53, 62, 21671, 3870918381, 53921428083, 514115607, 10465763697, 107752931, 117157297, 129177347, 1300286011, 130908111, 131775471, \ldots [8] called first EM-sequence and the latter in 2, 3, 7, 43, 13, 53, 62, 21671, 3870918381, 53921428083, 514115607, 10465763697, 107752931, 117157297, 129177347, 1300286011, 130908111, 131775471, \ldots [9] called the second EM-sequence. Mullin then asked whether these two sequences include in it every prime. In [10] it was conjectured relying on stochastic arguments that the second EM sequence would in all possibility could exhaust all primes by having them in their length but till date not much is known about the first EM sequence. Later the second EM sequence was probed further in [11] and the authors reported that all of 5, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41 and 47 are missing and they conjectured that infinitely many primes do not occur in the second EM sequence. The authors in [12] confirmed this conjecture in positive. Understandably they used quadratic reciprocity for the Jacobi symbol and made a good use of the works of Burgess on short character sums’ upper bound.

In this article, we are discussing about the primes of the form \( y = 2 \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_i + 1 \); \( x_i \in P - \{2\} \); \( x_i \)'s are distinct. It is to be noted that if these \( x_i \)'s are consecutive primes, then we obtain primorial primes.

C. Numerical Analysis on Distribution of Primes

Here we provide a heuristic estimate for the primes of the form \( y = 2 \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_i + 1 \); \( x_i \in P - \{2\} \); \( x_i \)'s are distinct. If \( \pi(n) = \# \{ k \leq n : k \text{ is prime} \} \) defines the number of primes not exceeding a fixed real number \( n \), then the Prime Number Theorem (PNT) states that \( \pi(n) \sim \frac{n}{\log n} \) where \( \log \) is the natural logarithm. The Table I interprets this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \pi(n) )</th>
<th>( \frac{n}{\log n} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.3429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.7811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.7142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>80.4559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>144.7648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>587.0478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>1085.7362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5133</td>
<td>4621.1667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100000</td>
<td>9592</td>
<td>8685.88964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now define \( \pi^*(n) = \# \{ y \leq n : y \text{ is prime} \} \) where \( y = 2x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k + 1 \); \( x_i \in P - \{2\} \) are distinct. Then the Table II gives the ratio of \( \pi^*(n) \) to \( \pi(n) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \pi(n) )</th>
<th>( \pi^*(n) )</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10^7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10^7</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.21428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10^7</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>0.21236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table II it is clear that \( \pi^*(n) \sim \alpha \pi(n) \) where \( \alpha \approx 0.21 \). Then by PNT we can say that \( \pi^*(n) \sim \frac{n}{\log n} \cdot \alpha \) where \( \alpha \approx 0.21 \). Thus, we can enhance the approximation for the property of being \( y \) to be prime as \( \frac{n}{\log n} \). The Table III presents a comparison of the actual number to the estimated number of primes we considered. The Fig.1. represents the plot for Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Actual number</th>
<th>Expected number</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10^7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>1.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10^7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.4006</td>
<td>1.1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10^7</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>228.004</td>
<td>1.1447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a fixed real number. We compare these estimates of variation in density on neighborhood of that roughly describes density of primes in the nearest interval boosts its chance of presence in larger numbers in one given interval affects that much presence in a higher interval. So, following Eratosthenes sieve process one can see early that the removal process of primes alters the density by a factor of \( \frac{1}{p} \). In [14] the authors nicely derived the following differential equation that models the density of primes at \( x \).

\[
\frac{dx}{dx} \cong \frac{-g(x^2)g(x)}{x} \, dx.
\]

Another estimate of variation in density comes with the help of derivatives namely, \( g((x + dx)^2) - g(x^2) \cong g'(x^2)2dx \). So, \( g'(x^2) = \frac{-g(x^2)g(x)}{2x^2} \). A change of variable from \( x^2 \) to \( x \) yields \( g(x) = \frac{-g(x)g(x)}{2x} \).

The randomness in prime number distribution can be stochastic or deterministic. As the prime numbers’ distribution behaves Poissonian-like there is better chance that they are stochastically distributed [15]. The authors in [16] however presume that prime numbers may be eigenvalues of a quantum system. A primary reason for attempting to decipher the pattern of distribution of prime numbers is that one can compare them with the hidden or known patterns in the computational nature of brains, where the natural numbers have a significant role. The randomness of neuron signals is similar to that of prime numbers.

### IV. OPEN PROBLEMS

In this section we point out some open problems existing in the study of prime numbers in applied areas like control systems and bio-networks.

(i) Prove or Disprove the theoretical nature of the prime numbers.

(ii) Test whether any intermittent designs are there in the sequence of prime numbers.

(iii) Is it possible to relate patterns detected in neuron signals with the prime number pattern to describe the computational device of the brains.

(iv) How to describe the source of interspike breaks anomaly to provide for the sequential components of the neuronal code.

(v) How to compare the impulsive movement of the hippocampal singular neurons with the randomness of the prime numbers.

### III. APPLICATIONS

A system that deploys measurements to self-evaluate its behavior for the purpose of better imminent behavior is called a feedback and control system (FCS). According to [14] FCS can be described by differential equations and prime numbers are some type of fcs. This thought process has occurred to them on seeing the random distribution of prime numbers along the integer number line. Much earlier to this observation Gauss remarked that the density of primes is inversely proportional to \( \ln x \). Using Gauss’s remark, the function \( \pi(x) \) was coined to denote the number of primes not exceeding \( x \). To comprehend FCS mechanism of primes, it was first observed that a presence of a large number of primes in one given interval affects that much presence in a subsequent larger interval while its meagre presence in a given interval boosts its chance of presence in larger numbers in higher intervals. So, by following Eratosthenes sieve process one can see early that the removal process of primes alters the density by a factor of \( \frac{1}{1 - 1/p} \). In [14] the authors gratefully acknowledge Tata Realty-SASTRA Srinivasa Ramanujan Research Chair Grant for funding this research work.
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