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Abstract: The World Wide Web is huge repository and it is growing exponentially. It contains vast amount of information which is growing and updating rapidly. Various organizations, institutes, government agencies and service centers update their information regularly. The World Wide Web provides its services to the varieties of web users. Web users may have different interests, needs and backgrounds. Clustering is one of the most important tasks in the active areas of Web Usage Knowledge Discovery. It assures to handle the difficulty of information overload on the Internet while many users are connected on the social media. Clustering is utilized for grouping information into comparative access design for discovering client interest. There are two drawbacks of FCM algorithm, firstly the requirements of no. of clusters c and secondly assigning the primary relationship matrix. Due to these two drawbacks the FCM algorithm is hard to decide about the suitable no. of cluster and this algorithm is insecure. The determination of desirable preliminary cluster is an important problem, therefore a new technique called PDFCM algorithm is described.

Index Terms: Clustering, FCM, Probability Based Fuzzy c means Clustering (PDFCM), Web Log Mining.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays internet has become a convenient foundation and source of information in everyone’s daily activity. The World Wide Web had gone through enormous development in last two decades but its amount of swap and extent increased the trouble for different websites. To fulfill the demands of their users, the e-commerce website is quickly progressing hence them importance is obvious. Because of several tremendous benefits of web research, it is pretty interesting thing for organizations. It has helped to improve the profitability of the market and also for the benefit of the market intelligence; this also helps in marketing and comparative analysis for finding the customer relationships [4-5].

The web data were organized and assembled, and structured thorough the client’s profiles. This advantage helps organizations to save current clients by giving more customized administrations; however, it additionally contributes in finding for potential clients. The two drawbacks of FCM algorithm which ensure its insecurity in completing any task are, firstly the requirements of ‘c’ i.e. no. of clusters and secondly assignment of initial value for membership matrix. In this chapter the probability density based fuzzy c-means clustering algorithm (PDFCM) is proposed keeping in mind these two shortcomings of the FCM algorithm, and furthermore, it is exceptionally delicate to the assurance of the two parameters.

If FCM have these drawbacks the algorithm is hard to take the suitable no. of cluster and this algorithm is insecure [15-10]. The determination of desirable preliminary cluster is an important problem for that procedure, therefore PDFCM algorithm i.e. Probability density based fuzzy c-means clustering algorithm (PDFCM) is proposed here. The complete process of proposed PDFCM is shown in Fig. 1.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

S. K. Dwivedi et al. [17] have worked on different types of data preprocessing methods; it is to change raw data into appropriate format. When data is taken from server side it is not acceptable for our mining process. It is very important to pre-process the data. This paper discusses about different data pre-processing techniques. H.X. Pei et al.

Figure 1: Framework of Probability Density function based fuzzy session clustering
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[14] proposed effective D-FCM algorithm to solve the problem of selection of suitable clusters and gave experimental result using different databases. Z. Ansari et al. [21] solved the problem of selection of suitable cluster centres. They proposed Mountain Density based-fuzzy C means clustering and fuzzy c median algorithms and compare different validity index with FCM and FCMed algorithm. A. Gupta and A. Khandekar [2] presented the idea of clustering and also discussed the use of fuzzy technique with different data mining process. Lastly, this paper gives a relative investigation of dual fuzzy algorithm, further described FCM algorithm and adaptive fuzzy clustering technique. V. Anitha and P. Isakki Devi [18] gave a consideration on web usage mining to anticipate the web user’s behaviour from log files in web server. Users use website pages with a continuous way and access pages with links are stored in log file of web server and also taking about with the respect to behaviour from investigation of various algorithm and distinctive techniques. D Koutsoukos et al. [19] explained about the session identification algorithm for weblog data and fuzzy c means clustering has also been explained, the studied the impact of the cluster of distance framework that have on the clustering process, the proposed procedure use subtracting clustering for the partition for demonstration of the session information. The preliminary comes going to show that the proposed approach is incredible in the change of client sessions. M. Sampath and Prabhavathy et al. [16] proposed FLAME clustering algorithms for web page access prediction and compare FCM and FLAME algorithms and discovered patterns from the weblog data. Z. Ansari et al. proposed a Fuzzy set theoretic approach based fuzzy c means a framework for deciding the client session cluster in weblog data. At last distinguish this approach with regular approach. K. Suresh et al. [15] presented clustering for weblog data for finding the useful web access patterns in order to visit of hyperlinks and explained the improved fuzzy c means clustering for www.msbn.com data sets. The defined algorithm is able to identify the initial cluster and this is proved by experiment result. V. Chitraa and A.S. Thanamani [19] proposed a fuzzy c-means based novel approach to cluster the web user transactions. This approach is grouping the similar user navigation patterns. The algorithm enhances the FCM and Penalized FCM clustering algorithm by adding Posterior Probability to find highest membership for a member to add in a cluster. Classification is carried out by SVM and RVM for classifying a new user to a particular group. The method is evaluated based on different data and shows the better performance compared with other existing clustering techniques.

### III. PRINCIPLE OF FCM CLUSTERING

This method is first introduced by Dunn in 1973 and improved by Bezdek et al (1984) [20-8]. Clustering algorithms divide a data set A into C clusters which are normally disjoint and reproduce X when we unite them [13]. These clustering algorithms are termed as Hard (non fuzzy) clustering algorithms. Each sample in a data set would have membership to every cluster [1-9]. It is discussed that membership value for a data point could be determined with the help of a function called membership function. Membership value of a data point is always between 0 and 1 [7]. If the similarity between the sample and the cluster is of high degree, then the membership value is close to 1; otherwise it will be close to 0 [6-11]. Therefore, FCM uses fuzzy dividing in such a way that all members can divide to numerous clusters with a degree of membership which is specified by membership values [2-3].

For calculating membership using Eq. (3.1):

\[ u_{ij} = 1/ \sum_{i=1}^{k} ||a_i - c_j||^{2/m-1} \quad \text{(3.1)} \]

For calculating cluster cancriods using Eq. (5.2)

\[ c_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{ij}^{m} a_i / \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{ij}^{m} \quad \text{......(3.2)} \]

To find out minimum distance (using Euclidean distance) [38] [42] using Eq. (3.3)

\[ ||a_i - c_j||^{2} \quad \text{......(3.3)} \]

Fuzzy algorithm allows a data points belong to more than one clusters [12]. The minimization of the objective function is used, which is given in Eq. (3.4)

\[ J_m = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{c} u_{ij} ||a_i - c_j||^{2} \quad \text{......(3.4)} \]

Where,
- \( m \) is the weighting component; \( 1 \leq m < \infty \)
- \( A = \{ a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \} \) datasets
- \( C = \) number of clusters in \( A \), \( 2 \leq c < n \)
- \( U = \) Fuzzy c-partition of \( A \), \( u_{ij} \) degree of membership
- \( a_i \) is the jth d-dimensional data
- \( C_j \) is the center of cluster \( j \).

**Steps involved in FCM algorithm are:**

1. Define the Initial membership matrix is create using \( U^{(0)} \).
2. Next determine the centers vectors \( C^{(k)}=[C_j] \) with \( U^{(k)} \).
3. Using the formula (5.3) we calculate the centroid of a cluster.
4. Objective function \( J_m \) is calculated using the formula (5.4). If value of \( J_m \) is less than a threshold, the process can be finished.
5. Update \( U^{(k)} \), \( U^{(k+1)} \)
6. New Membership of data points is calculated using the formula (5.1)
7. Stop

This centroid value serves as the reference value. Similarly, centroid value for all the clusters is generated accordingly. The reference value concludes the information of a set data points in the original dataset. These reference values are used for the successive processes of the approach.
IV. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY OF PDFCM

\[ S = \{ s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m \} \subseteq m \text{ user sessions.} \]

In sequence to recognize the primary cluster center, from all user session \( s_i \) is deal with as a probable candidate and the starting PDF value for user session \( s_i \) it is indicating like \( P_i(s_i) \), is calculated using Eq. (4.1).
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5.1 Analysis in Terms of Accuracy

The comparison of the traditional FCM algorithm with proposed PDFCM shows that the PDFCM algorithm perform well over the FCM, which states that the modification in the FCM has improved the performance of the normal FCM algorithm. It is decided to compare the accuracy of both algorithms over the weblog data sets. The comparison is shown diagrammatically.

It clearly shows that accuracy of PDFCM is better than FCM for different number of datasets. The accuracy of both FCM and PDFCM on various sizes of weblog datasets is shown in Fig. 2.

5.2 Analysis in Term of Execution Time

The execution time required by both FCM and PDFCM algorithms are compared. Which is shown in Table 1. The results are shown diagrammatically in Fig.3 also from the diagram, result shows that computational time of PDFCM is lesser than compared to the FCM for each dataset.

Table 1 Running time (In Seconds) of FCM & PDFCM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record Sets</th>
<th>FCM</th>
<th>PDFCM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25000</td>
<td>2.818464</td>
<td>1.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20000</td>
<td>2.618464</td>
<td>1.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15000</td>
<td>2.418464</td>
<td>1.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>2.008464</td>
<td>1.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1.818464</td>
<td>0.537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Analysis in Terms of Memory Requirement

Finally, the memory requirement in terms of bytes is compared between FCM and PDFCM in Table 2. The results are shown diagrammatically as in the Fig.4. From this diagram, it is clear that PDFCM requires less memory than the FCM for all size data set records.

Table 2: Memory requirements for FCM and PDFCM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record Sets</th>
<th>FCM</th>
<th>PDFCM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25000</td>
<td>5236</td>
<td>4560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20000</td>
<td>4620</td>
<td>3652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15000</td>
<td>3254</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSION

In this chapter, an enhanced approach for initialization of membership and cluster number for FCM algorithm is presented. And usual random assignment of initial parameter to the FCM algorithm is altered in this approach. Based on experimental results it is clear that PDFCM approach provides better accuracy, reduce running time and take less iteration to complete the experiments. PDFCM algorithm is used to discover web user session cluster. It is better than the FCM because in it prior section of suitable cluster centre is done which was FCM the drawback of algorithm. In PDFCM no of iteration reduces from 46-49 to 3. After this it is identify by using index function. That’s why PDFCM algorithm works better than FCM by looking at different measurement.
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