

# Applications of $\Delta^*$ -Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces

K.Meena, K.Sivakamasundari, V.M.Chitra

**Abstract:** The motive is to extend the separation axioms of  $\Delta^*$ -closed sets concept in topological spaces to bitopological spaces and establish few types of space  $s$  denoted by  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ ,  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g^*}$ ,  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta T_\Delta^*$  and  $(k, m)$ - $\delta g^*T_\Delta^*$ -spaces. Also their interrelations are discussed.

**Keywords:**  $\Delta^*$ ,  $g\delta$ ,  $\delta g^*$  and  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed sets.  
**MSC:**54E55, 54D10.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The conception of bitopological spaces was initiated in 1963 by J.C.Kelly [4]. The concept has been extended by different topologists in various aspects. The classical theorems in general topological spaces have been converted into particular cases of the similar theorems for bitopological spaces. In topological spaces, the perception of generalised closed sets [6] was extended to bitopological spaces by Fukutake [1] in the year 1986.

In the present study,  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space,  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g^*}$ -space,  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta T_\Delta^*$ -space and  $(k, m)$ - $\delta g^*T_\Delta^*$ -space are established in bitopological spaces and the interrelations between them are analyzed.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

- The definitions of  $\Delta^*$  [primarily the notation was  $\delta(\delta g)^*$ ],  $g\delta$ ,  $\delta g^*$ ,  $(k, m)$   $g$ -closed &  $(k, m)$   $\delta g^*$ -closed sets can be viewed in [5], [2] [3], [1] & [7] respectively.
- The concepts involving the spaces  $(k, m)$ - $T_b$ -space,  $(k, m)$ - $T_c$ -space and  $(k, m)$ - $T_d$ -space in a bitopological space  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  can be studied using [8].

## III. APPLICATIONS OF $\Delta^*$ -CLOSED SETS

**Definition 1** Consider a bitopological space  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$ .

Let  $R$  be a subset of  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  and  $T$  is  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -open in  $(G, \tau_k)$ .

**Revised Manuscript Received on May 07, 2019.**

**K.Meena**, Department of Science and Humanities, Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore

**K.Sivakamasundari**, Department of Mathematics, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore

**V.M.Chitra**, Department of Mathematics, Sriram Engineering College, Chennai

Then  $R$  is defined to be a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed set if  $\tau_m - \delta \text{cl}(R) \subseteq T$  whenever  $R \subseteq T, k = 1, 2$  and  $k \neq m$ . The notation  $D_{\Delta^*}(k, m)$  represents the family of all  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed sets in  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$ .

**Definition 2** A bitopological space  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  is defined to be a

- 1)  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space if every  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed set is a  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -closed set.
- 2)  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g^*}$ -space if every  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed set is a  $(k, m)$ - $\delta g^*$ -closed set.
- 3)  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta T_\Delta^*$ -space if every  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta$ -closed set is a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed set.
- 4)  $(k, m)$ - $\delta g^*T_\Delta^*$ -space if every  $(k, m)$ - $\delta g^*$ -closed set is a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed set.

**Proposition 1** Every  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space is a  $\Delta^*T_{\delta g^*}$ -space. The reverse implication is not possible.

**Proof :** Let  $R$  be  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed in  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$ . Since  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  is a  $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space,  $R$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -closed. Since each  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -closed is  $(k, m)$ - $\delta g^*$ -closed,  $R$  is  $(k, m)$ - $\delta g^*$ -closed. Thus  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  is a  $\Delta^*T_{\delta g^*}$ -space.

**Counter example 1** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ . Then  $\delta \mathcal{C}(G, \tau_1) = \{\phi, B\}; \delta \mathcal{C}(G, \tau_2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}; D_{\Delta^*}(1, 2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$  and  $D_{\delta g^*}(1, 2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Hence the result.

**Proposition 2** The  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space is independent of  $(k, m)$ - $T_b$ -space and  $(k, m)$ - $T_c$ -space.

**Counter example 3.6** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Then  $GO(B, \tau_1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_2, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}; \delta \mathcal{C}(B, \tau_2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}; D_{\Delta^*}(1, 2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\};$

$D_{g\delta}(1, 2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_2, d_3\}, \{d_1,$

$d_3\}$ ;  $D_g^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Hence  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  is a  $(1, 2)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space but not a  $(1, 2)$ - $T_b$ -space.

**Counter example 3** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ . Then  $\delta\mathcal{C}(B, \tau_2) = \{\phi, G, \{d_1\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ ;  $\delta\mathcal{C}(B, \tau_1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_2, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{gs}(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_g^*(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Hence  $(B, \tau_2, \tau_1)$  is both  $(2, 1)$ - $T_b$ -space as well as  $(2, 1)$ - $T_c$ -space but not a  $(2, 1)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space.

**Proposition 3** The  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space is independent with  $(k, m)$ - $T_d$ -space.

**Counter example 4** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\}\}$ . Then  $\delta\mathcal{C}(B, \tau_2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{gs}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_2, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_g(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_2, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ . Hence  $(B, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $(1, 2)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space but not a  $(1, 2)$ - $T_d$ -space.

**Counter example 5** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ . Then  $\delta(B, \tau_1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_2, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{gs}(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_g(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Hence  $(B, \tau_2, \tau_1)$  is a  $(2, 1)$ - $T_d$ -space but not  $(2, 1)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space.

**Proposition 4** The  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space is independent with  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta T_{\delta g}^*$ -space.

**Counter example 6** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\}\}$ . Then  $\delta(B, \tau_2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{g\delta}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_2, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Hence  $(B, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $(1, 2)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space but not a  $g\delta T_{\delta g}^*$ -space.

**Counter example 7** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\}\}$ . Then  $\delta\mathcal{C}(B, \tau_1) = \{\phi, B\}$ ;  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{g\delta}(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Hence  $(B, \tau_2, \tau_1)$  is a  $(2, 1)$ - $g\delta T_{\delta g}^*$ -space but not a  $(2, 1)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space.

**Proposition 5** Every  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta T_{\delta g}^*$ -space is a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g}^*$ -space.

**Proof:** Assume that  $R$  is a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed set in  $(G, \tau_k, \tau_m)$ . Each  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed is  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta$ -closed. By hypothesis,  $R$  is  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta^*$ -closed. Hence  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  is a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g}^*$ -space.

**Example 1** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\}\}$ . Then  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{g\delta}^*(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{g\delta}(2,1) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Thus  $(B, \tau_2, \tau_1)$  is a  $(2, 1)$ - $g\delta T_{\delta g}^*$ -space and  $(2, 1)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g}^*$ -space.

**Remark 2** The spaces  $(k, m)$ - $T_b$ -space,  $(k, m)$ - $T_c$ -space and  $(k, m)$ - $T_d$ -space are independent with  $(k, m)$ - $g\delta T_{\Delta^*}^*$ -space.

**Counter example 8** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}\}$ . Then  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{g\delta}(1,2) = \{\phi, G, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{gs}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_g^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_g(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ . Hence  $(B, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $(1, 2)$ - $g\delta T_{\Delta^*}^*$ -space but not  $(1, 2)$ - $T_b$ ,  $(1, 2)$ - $T_c$  and  $(1, 2)$ - $T_d$ -spaces.

**Counter example 9** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1, d_2\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$ . Then  $D_{\Delta^*}^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{g\delta}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{gs}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ .

$D_{\Delta^*}^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{g\delta}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ ;  $D_{gs}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}$ .



$D_g^*(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\};$   
 $D_g(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}.$  Hence  $(B, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1, 2)$ - $T_b$ ,  $(1, 2)$ - $T_c$  and  $(1, 2)$ - $T_d$ -spaces but not a  $(1, 2)$ - $\delta_g^*T_\Delta^*$ -space.

**Remark 3** The  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g^*}$  and  $(k, m)$ - $\delta_g^*T_\Delta^*$ -spaces are independent.

**Counter example 10** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1, d_2\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}.$  Then

$D_{\Delta^*}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\};$

$D_{\delta g^*}(1,2) = \{\phi, G, \{d_1\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}; D_{\delta g^*}(1, 2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}.$  Hence  $(B, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $(1, 2)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g^*}$ -space but not a  $(1, 2)$ - $\delta_g^*T_\Delta^*$ -space.

**Counter example 11** Let  $B = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}.$

Then  $D_{\Delta^*}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\};$

$D_{\delta g^*}(1,2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}; D_{\delta g^*}(1, 2) = \{\phi, B, \{d_1\}, \{d_2\}, \{d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_2, d_3\}\}.$  Hence

$(G, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $(1, 2)$ - $\delta_g^*T_\Delta^*$ -space but not a  $(1, 2)$ - $\Delta^*T_{\delta g^*}$ -space.

**Proposition 6** The space  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  which is a  $(k, m)$ - $\delta T_{\Delta^*}$ -space as well as  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$  space can be viewed as a  $(k, m)$ - $T_\delta$ -space.

**Proof :** By hypothesis, a subset  $R$  of  $B$  is  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed and  $\tau_j$ - $\delta$ -closed. Hence  $(G, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  is a  $(k, m)$ - $T_\delta$ -space.

**Proposition 7** In  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space, either  $\{d\}$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -open or  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -closed for each  $d \in B$ .

**Proof :** Let  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  be a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space and  $\{d\}$  be not  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -closed. Thus  $\{d\}^c$  is  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed. Therefore  $\{d\}^c$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -closed in  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  space. Hence  $\{d\}$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -open.

**Proposition 8** In a bitopological space  $(B, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  the statements (i) & (ii) are equivalent.

(i)  $B$  is  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space.

(ii) Each subset consisting only one element is either  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -closed or  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -open for  $k \neq m$ .

**Proof: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii):** Assume that  $\{s\}$  is not  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -closed subset for some  $s \in G$ . Then  $G - \{s\}$  is not  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -open and hence  $G$  is the only  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -open set containing  $G - \{s\}$ . Therefore  $G - \{s\}$  is  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed. Since  $(G, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  is a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*T_\delta$ -space,  $G - \{s\}$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -closed. Thus  $\{s\}$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -open.

**(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) :** Let  $R$  be a  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed subset of  $(G, \tau_k, \tau_m)$  and  $s \in \tau_m \delta cl(R)$ .

**Case 1 :** If  $\{s\}$  is  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -closed and  $s \notin R$  then  $s \in \tau_j \delta cl(R) \setminus R$ . Thus  $\tau_m \delta cl(R) - R$  contains a non empty  $\tau_k$ - $\delta g$ -closed set  $\{s\}$  which is a contradiction to the fact that  $R$  is  $(k, m)$ - $\Delta^*$ -closed. So  $s \in R$ .

**Case 2 :** If  $\{s\}$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -open, since  $s \in \tau_m \delta cl(R)$ , then for every  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -open set  $T$  containing  $s$ , we have  $T \cap R \neq \phi$ . But  $\{s\}$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -open. Therefore  $\{s\} \cap R \neq \phi$ . Hence  $s \in R$ . In both cases  $s \in R$ . Hence  $R$  is  $\tau_m$ - $\delta$ -closed.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This paper establish the new type of topological spaces by using the concepts of bit topological spaces.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Fukutake, On generalised closed sets in bitopological spaces, Bulletin Fukuoka University, Edition, Part-III, (35), 1986, 19-28.
2. Julian Dontchev, On generalised  $\delta$ -closed sets and almost weakly Hausdorff spaces, Q & R in general topology, (18), 2000, 17-30.
3. Julian Dontchev and T. Noiri, Quasi Normal Spaces and  $\pi g$ -Closed Sets, Acta Mathematica Hungaria, 89(3), 2000, 211-219.
4. J.C Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proceeding London Mathematical Society, (13), 1963, 71-89.
5. K.Meena and K.Sivakamasundari,  $\delta(\delta g)^*$ -Closed Sets In Topological Spaces, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, (3), 2014, 14749-14754.
6. Norman Levine, Generalised Closed Sets in Topology, Rend. Cir. Math. Palermo, (19), 1970 89-96.
7. R. Sudha, A Study On Generalised Closed Sets In Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, 2014, Avinashilingam University, Coimbatore, India.
8. O.A.E.I.Tantawy and H.M.Abudonia, Generalized Separation Axioms In Bitopological Spaces, The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 30(1A), (2005), 117-129.

