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Abstract: Now a days with increased use of social media, user reviews on any product plays a significant role. The existing opinion mining techniques operate only on single review corpus; it doesn’t consider distribution of opinion features across different corpora. A new technique is proposed to extract opinion features from online reviews across two corpora, one a given review corpus and other is contrasting corpus. This discrepancy is evaluated using domain relevance. The first step is to find candidate opinion features in domain review corpus using syntactic dependence rules. This evaluate intrinsic domain relevance scores for each candidate on domain-dependent corpora and extrinsic domain relevance score on domain-independent corpora. A candidate features which are less generic and more domain specific are the final opinion features. This interval thresholding is called as the intrinsic and extrinsic domain relevance.

Index Terms: Corpus, Syntactic Rules, Domain Relevance, Candidate Feature, opinion mining, opinion feature

I. INTRODUCTION

Now a day’s opinion mining is a challenging task with increased use of social media. The sale of any product and its marketing is based on the customer reviews about that product. This opinion mining is done in different levels. For example, document level opinion mining [14] detects the overall subjectivity expressed on product in a review document, but it does not associate opinions with specific component of the Product. “The external is very beautiful, also not costly, though the battery is poor; I still resolutely recommend this cell-phone.” it expresses a general positive opinion on the cell-phone, it also contains contradictory opinions associated with different components of the cell-phone. The opinion orientations for the “cell-phone” itself and its “external” are positive, but the opinion polarity for the component of “battery” is negative. Such opinions may very well tip the balance in purchase decisions. Savvy consumers are unsatisfied with just the overall opinion rating of a product. They want to know why it receives the rating [15]. In opinion mining, an opinion feature, indicates a product or a component of a product on which customer express their opinions. In current paper, this work recommend a novel method to the detection of such features from unstructured textual reviews.

A novel technique is proposed to detect opinion features by exploiting their distribution discrepancies across different corpora. This work proposed and evaluated the domain relevance (DR) [16] of an opinion feature across two corpora. The DR criterion evaluates how well a term is statistically associated with a corpus. Our method is summarized as follows: First, several syntactic dependence rules are applied to produce a list of candidate features from the given domain review corpus, for example, cell phone.

Next, for each accepted feature candidate, its domain relevance score regarding the domain-specific and domain independent corpora is majored, which is called as the intrinsic-domain relevance (IDR) score, and the extrinsic domain relevance (EDR) score, respectively. In the final step, candidate features with small IDR scores and high EDR scores are pruned. Thus, call this interval thresholding the intrinsic and extrinsic domain relevance (IEDR) technique.

The objectives of this work are:

The websites which allow users to their write reviews as a feedback may not place any constraint on the language they use to express their opinion [2]. Some slang words like “OMG”, “BTW” etc. may cause the system to take care while processing it. The problem also comes along with the spelling mistakes occurred in the review.

- The designing of proper syntactic rules [3].
- As the selection of Domain Independent corpus decides performance, its selection is a critical task.
- The feature naming in the reviews also causes an overhead in identifying features as users may name the features with different names such as users may use “Headset” or “Earphones” for expressing their
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sentiment for the same feature. Hence our objective is to assign proper feature naming [1].

- The selection of proper threshold values [4].

II. RELATED WORK

A many approaches have been proposed to extract features in opinion mining. Supervised learning models may work well in a given domain, but the model must be retrained if it is applied to other domains [2], [3]. Unsupervised natural language processing (NLP) techniques [4], [5], [6] detect opinion features by defining domain-independent syntactic rules that capture the dependence roles and local context of the feature terms. However, rules do not work well on real-life reviews, which lack formal structure. Topic modeling approaches can mine coarse-grained and generic topics or components, which are actually semantic feature clusters or components of the specific features commented on explicitly in reviews [7], [8]. Existing extract opinion features by mining statistical patterns of feature terms only in the single review corpus, without considering their distributional characteristics in another different corpus [10], [11]. This technique is to find the distributional structure of an opinion feature in a given domain-dependent review corpus, for example, cellphone reviews, is different from that in a domain-independent corpus. For instance, the opinion feature battery occurs frequently in the domain of cellphone reviews, but not as frequently in the domain-irrelevant Culture article collection.

In W. Jin and H.H. Ho, A Novel Lexicalized HMM-Based Learning Framework for Web Opinion Mining [17]. Merchants selling products on the Web regularly request their customers to share their opinions and experiences on products they have purchased. In this research, Opinion expressions and sentences are also recognized and opinion orientations for each recognized product entity are classified as positive or negative [2]. This work recommends a novel machine learning framework using lexicalized HMMs. The approach naturally integrates linguistic features, such as part-of-speech and surrounding contextual clues of words into automatic learning.

In W. Jin and H.H. Ho, A Novel Lexicalized HMM-Based Learning Framework for Web Opinion Mining [9]. This work model the problem as an information extraction task, which will be based on Conditional Random Fields (CRF) [3]. As a baseline this work employ the supervised algorithm by Zhuang et al. (2006), which represents the state-of-the-art on the engaged data. Furthermore, This work investigate the performance of our CRF-based technique and the baseline in a single- and cross-domain opinion target extraction setting. CRF-based approach improves the performance by 0.077, 0.126, 0.071 and 0.178 regarding F-Measure in the single-domain extraction in the four domains. In the cross-domain setting our approach improves the performance by 0.409, 0.242, 0.294 and 0.343 regarding F-Measure over the baseline.

There two main two subtasks of opinion mining: topic extraction and sentiment classification in G. Qiu, C. Wang, J. Bu, K. Liu, and C. Chen, Incorporate the Syntactic Knowledge in Opinion Mining in User-Generated Content. This work propose techniques to these two topics respectively for Chinese based on the consideration of syntactic knowledge. This work take the blog data, which is a typical application of UGC, as the evaluating data in our experiments and the results show that our techniques to the two tasks are promising. The G. Qiu, B. Liu, J. Bu, and C. Chen, Opinion Word Expansion and Target Extraction through Double Propagation, Opinion targets (targets, for short) are Products and their components on which opinions have been expressed. To perform the tasks, This work found that there are some syntactic relations that link opinion words and targets. These relations can be recognized using a dependency parser and then utilized to expand the initial opinion lexicon and to extract targets [6]. This proposed method is based on bootstrapping. This work call it double propagation as it propagates information between opinion words and targets. A key advantage of the proposed technique is that it only needs an initial opinion lexicon to initiate the bootstrapping process. Thus, the method is semi-supervised due to the use of opinion word seeds.

In G. Qiu, B. Liu, J. Bu, and C. Chen, Opinion Word Expansion and Target Extraction through Double Propagation, This work do not summarize the reviews by selecting a subset or rewrite some of the original sentences from the reviews to capture the main points as in the classic text summarization [10]. Our task is performed in three steps: (1) mining product features that have been commented on by customers; (2) identifying opinion sentences in each review and deciding whether each opinion sentence is positive or negative; (3) summarizing the results.

III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY AND DISCUSSION

Important Terminologies

- Loading Review Corpus: First of all application have to load the Review corpora including Domain dependent corpora and Domain independent corpora. The statement usually provided with XML or TEXT version. User has to design
a XML or a TEXT parser to read the statement serially. These statements should be loaded into arrays of string data type.

- Part-Of-Speech Tagging (POST): A Part-Of-Speech Tagger is a piece of software that reads text in some language and assigns parts of speech to each word (and other token), such as noun, noun phrase, verb, adjective, etc., although generally computational applications use more fine-grained POS tags like ‘noun-plural’. This work use open source Stanford NLP parser for POST. The parser is instantiated with English Model.

- Candidate Feature Identification: For the opinions reviews, this work will identify the candidate features by extracting the frequent noun, noun phrase and adjectives to design syntactic rules.

- Intrinsic Domain Relevance: The Intrinsic Domain Relevance score are majored for each extracted candidate feature on domain specific corpora. It represents how much the candidate feature is domain specific with given domain.

- Extrinsic Domain Relevance: The Extrinsic Domain Relevance score are majored for each extracted candidate feature on domain independent corpora. It represents how much the candidate feature is generic with given other external domains.

- Intrinsic Extrinsic Domain Relevance: The Intrinsic Extrinsic Domain Relevance is a technique to identify and extract opinion features. A candidate features with EDR scores less than a threshold and IDR scores greater than another threshold are conformed as opinion features.

- Opinion Features: The opinion mining refers to collect different types of opinions of people over the same issue from web. Mostly the reviews are the best way to express user’s opinion on web.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1: System Architecture**

IV. **MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF PROPOSED SYSTEM**

\[ D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_r\} \]

is a set of review document.

Each document ‘’ in the review corpus may be either domain dependent or domain independent reviews.

So, let \( D_d \) = set of domain dependent review

And \( D_{nd} \) = set of domain independent review.

Each document ‘’ where \( d_i \in D_d \) or \( d_i' \in D_{nd} \), contains no of words. Let \( W \) is the set of words , represented as \( W_i = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_n\} \) Where ‘i’ means \( i^{th} \) document and \( Wij \) means from \( i^{th} \) document \( j^{th} \) word.

Let \( POS \) be the set of some parts of speech i.e.

\[ POS = \{N,V,S,Adj,Prep\} \]

where \( N = \text{Noun}, V = \text{Verb}, S = \text{Subject}, \)

\( Adj = \text{Adjective}, Prep = \text{Preposition} \)

Let \( S \) be the statement of \( POS \) mentioned above

For finding out opinion features we have to separate candidates features from each statement .

So, \( CF \) is the set of candidate features

Any phrase ‘’ is candidate feature by following mathematical equation.

\[
P = \begin{cases} 
  CF & \text{if } N + SV \\
  CF & \text{if } N + VO \\
  CF & \text{if } N + P0 \\
  Null & \text{Otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  

(eq. 1)

From above selected candidate features we have to find final opinion features in both domain dependent and domain independent reviews.

For this we have to find each term ‘’ .

Which is the word in document, belongs to which ‘aspect’ or ‘opinion’ with the help of term frequency and inverse document frequency.

\( TF=\text{term frequency i.e. how many time } t_i \)
\( \text{occurs in given document.} \)

\( IDF = \text{Inverse Document Frequency} \)
\( \text{i.e. how significantly a term } t_i \text{is mentioned across all documents.} \)

\( \text{TF-IDF means the term weight } T_{wi} \)
\( \text{i.e. } [1] \text{ represented as } T_{wi} \text{ and can be found as} \)

\[
T_{wi} = \begin{cases} 
  (1 + \log TF_{fi}) \cdot \log \frac{N}{DF_t} & \text{if } TF_{fi} > 0 \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  

(eq. 2)

from this \( T_{wi} \) we can find similarity between terms using following formula,
According to value of $S_1$ we can group as domain dependent and domain independent.

**Dataset**

The mobile review corpus contains 110 real-life textual reviews collected from a social sites like flip cart, Amazon. The hotel review corpus contains 111 reviews crawled from a social sites. The Summary of the four domain review corpora are shown in Table 7.1. This work randomly selected 5 review corpuses. Two persons manually marked opinion feature(s) expressed in every review sentence in each of the mobile category. A marked opinion feature is considered valid if and only if both annotators highlight it. If only one of the annotators mark an opinion feature, then a third person has a final decision on whether to keep or reject it. A total of 18 opinion features were obtained from the mobile review files. Using the same method, this work annotated 19 opinion features from randomly selected hotel review files. The precision and recall are measured by equation 1 and 2. The value for precision is 0.93 and 0.90 for mobile and hotel reviews, respectively.

This work has also collected 4 domain-independent (generic) corpora from above website, each corpus containing 8 documents. The collected corpora cover domain irrelevant heterogeneous topics containing Vehicle, Laptop, and so on. Summary statistics of the 4 domain independent corpora are shown in Table 2. All documents from the domain review corpora as well as the domain-independent corpora were parsed using the language technology platform (LTP), a Chinese natural language analyser.

**V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Identification of candidate Features Via Intrinsic / Extrinsic Domain relevance The part of speech tagging is applied on the collected reviews and a set of syntactic rules are applied to identify candidate features from the review corpuses. These syntactic rules identify candidate features properly. It is represented in fig.3.

![Figure 3: Candidate Features Via IDR / EDR](image)

Assign Sentiments to Features : A set of sentiments are assigned to opinion features as positive, negative or neutral.

This is represented in fig.4 for each of the domain.

![Figure 4: Assign Sentiments](image)

**Result comparison**

Fig. 5 represents comparison of LDA and IEDR approach and it proves that IEDR is more effective than LDA.

![Figure 5: Result comparison of IEDR and LDA](image)
VI. CONCLUSION

This System presents novel inter-corpus statistics technique to opinion feature extraction based on the IEDR feature-filtering technique, which utilizes the disparities in distributional characteristics of features across two corpora, one domain-specific and one domain-independent. IEDR identifies candidate features that are specific to the given review domain and yet not overly generic (domain independent). In addition, since a good quality domain-independent corpus is quite important for the proposed approach, this work has evaluated the influence of corpus size and topic selection on feature extraction performance. This work found that using a domain-independent corpus of a similar size as but topically different from the given review domain will yield good opinion feature extraction results.
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