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Abstract: The objective of this study was to determine appropriate orientation for the scaffold by using 3D printing. This has been done by fabrication in vertical and horizontal orientation and then the specimen were subjected to tensile and compressive test for its mechanical characterization of a specimen, a suitable orientation was found to be horizontal. Finally, FEA analysis was also carried out to match with experimental result. Keywords: Rapid Proto Typing; 3D Printing; Polymer; FEA

I. INTRODUCTION

Added substance fabricating methods are an accumulation of assembling forms which join materials to make physical 3D protests straightforwardly from virtual 3D PC information [1,2]. These procedures commonly develop parts layer by layer, instead of subtractive assembling approaches which make 3D geometry by evacuating material in a consecutive way [24-27]. These advancements were likewise called quick prototyping, direct computerized assembling, strong freestyle creation, added substance creation, added substance layer producing, and other comparable innovation [28,29]. Parts are fabricated by converting Cad model into .STL format and then feeding it to the machine [3]. Poly jet 3D printing is one among the different techniques of Additive Manufacturing. It uses a nozzle like a 2D printer and deposits material through it. Material is a polymer which behaves like an adhesive. The polymer is deposited and it is cured with the help of UV light [30-33]. This process provides quicker parts compared to conventional manufacturing and more precise than other types of Additive Manufacturing [4].

II. EXPERIMENTATION

A. Modelling

CAD Modeling has been made as per ASTM standard D638-10 was followed to measure specimen’s tensile strength and modulus [5]. The Cad model is converted to .STL format and fed to the 3D printing machine. After fabrication, mass, length, width, thickness values are taken and analysis in Finite Element Analysis. This is to be compared with tensile tests in Universal Testing Machine [6].

B. Methods

Connex 3D Printing, otherwise called Poly Jet, it is a quick technique for transforming your CAD records into strong models. It likewise creates parts with little form lines, contrasted with different procedures, enabling you to get smooth surfaces with next to no complete time [7]. 3D Printing is quick, in actuality as far as all out lead time. With the Connex overhaul, our Eden 500v can print two materials, enabling you to get double shot over form models, yet a wide assortment of consolidated materials and properties.

C. Material

Objet High Temperature Material (RGD525) consolidates warm usefulness with remarkable dimensional soundness. The material is fit for reproducing the warm presentation of designing plastics and is perfect for warm testing of static parts, for example, sight-seeing flow or boiling water-stream in funnels [8]. Objet High Temperature Material has a warmth redirection temperature (HDT) of 63–67 °C (145 - 153) endless supply of the printer which can be expanded to 75-80 °C (167-176 °F) after warm post treatment in a programmable stove.

III. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

ASTM D638-10 was pursued to gauge example's rigidity and modulus [9] as appeared in figure 1 and 2. This standard has significance in building plan and empowers examination crosswise over procedures, including existing AM process investigation. It gives dimensional information to the elastic test example. Examples' thickness and width were estimated utilizing a Digital vernier Caliper (exactness of 0.01 mm) to screen any factor goals and inconsistency. The examples' masses were estimated on an advanced scale (precision of 0.01g) were checked to decide variety [10,11].
A. Design and Fabrication

Various creation innovations have been connected to process biodegradable and bio resorbable materials into 3D polymeric frameworks of high porosity and surface territory. This piece of the survey will just talk about the gross morphological structure of example and not the surface geology which is a theme for an audit itself. The customary systems for example creation incorporate material advances, dissolvable throwing, particulate filtering, and film overlay and softening forming. From an example structure and capacity perspective each preparing approach [12,13].

IV. MECHANICAL TESTING

A. Tensile Test

It is a central material test where an example is exposed to a controlled pressure until disappointment. The outcomes from the test are generally used to choose a material for an application, for quality control, and to anticipate how a material will respond under different kinds of powers [14]. Properties that are legitimately estimated by a ductile test or extreme elasticity, greatest prolongation. From these estimations the accompanying properties can likewise be resolved: Young's modulus, Poisson's proportion, yield quality, and strain solidifying attributes. Uniaxial ductile testing is the most regularly utilized for getting the mechanical qualities of isotropic materials[15]. For anisotropic materials, for example, composite materials [16] and materials, biaxial pliable testing is required as appeared in figure 3.

B. Compressive Test

Compressive quality is the limit of a material or structure to withstand pivotally coordinated pushing powers. It gives information of power versus twisting for the states of the test strategy. At the point when the utmost of compressive quality is achieved, fragile materials are squashed. Cement can be made to have high compressive quality [17]. Compressive quality is frequently estimated on an all inclusive testing machine these range from extremely little table top frameworks. Estimations of compressive quality are influenced by the particular test strategy and states of estimation. Compressive qualities are typically announced in relationship to a particular specialized standard.
V. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

A. Introduction

The goal of this piece of the examination was to build up a general Finite Element Analysis (FEA) model. It is to display various highlights which utilize the polymer properties of High Temperature materials [18-20]. The examination explored the high disfigurement which happens during the bowing of an element and inspected the capacity of FEA to foresee the component conduct by getting reenactment results from a model that experiences high component mutilation [21-23]. The examination incorporated the displaying of the geometry and limit conditions as appeared in figures 6-8. The material properties acquired from the pressure tests and organized work components to compute the contact powers which were contrasted with the outcomes got from the examinations as appeared Table 1.

Fig. 4 Load Vs Displacement Graph of compression Oriented Specimen.

Fig. 5 Von misses stress for Tensile test specimen The maximum stress value is 3.88 KN/mm².

Fig. 6 Von misses stress for Tensile displacement test. The maximum stress value is 1.38 in/mm².

Fig. 7 Von misses stress for Compression test specimen. The maximum stress is 1.86KN/mm².

Fig. 8 Von misses stress for compression displacement test specimen. The maximum stress is 0.058KN/mm².
The motivation behind this investigation was to dissect changeability in the mechanical properties of parts made by the Objet 3D Printing procedure because of changes in procedure parameters. A structure of tests to distinguish changes to a limited extent rigidity and malleable modulus because of changes in direction (X and Y). Future examinations will further explore the impact of part dividing on mechanical properties. The outcomes demonstrate that flat direction is having higher quality than the vertical direction.

VI. CONCLUSION

The motivation behind this investigation was to dissect changeability in the mechanical properties of parts made by the Objet 3D Printing procedure because of changes in procedure parameters. A structure of tests to distinguish changes to a limited extent rigidity and malleable modulus because of changes in direction (X and Y). Future examinations will further explore the impact of part dividing on mechanical properties. The outcomes demonstrate that flat direction is having higher quality than the vertical direction.
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Table 1. Mechanical Testing Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPERIMENT</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile “X” orientation</td>
<td>165.32</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>32.38</td>
<td>647.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile “Y” orientation</td>
<td>165.28</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>27.52</td>
<td>917.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression “X” orientation</td>
<td>45.21</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression “Y” orientation</td>
<td>45.15</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>