

Development of the Probiotic Feed Supplement Based on Lactobacillus Plantarum to Increase the **Broiler Productivity**

Tatyana Lenkova, Ilya Nikonov, Yuri Kuznetsov, Larisa Karpenko, Anna Balykina

Abstract: This article reflects the results of the development of a method for testing a promising feed supplement based on the Lactobacillus plantarum strain, with a high antagonistic activity to campylobacter. To determine the digestibility and use of food nutrients by broilers, a physiological (balance experiment) was conducted on three males from each group at the age of 28 days.

By the end of the growing period, there was a clear tendency to increase the live weight of 36-day-old chickens compared with the control group in experimental group 2, which received a feed supplement, including bacteria Lactobacillus plantarum. She was 4.0% higher. As a result of scientific work, it was shown that feeding broiler chickens with a probiotic feed additive based on Lactobacillus plantarum helps to increase their productivity during the entire growing cycle.

Keywords: probiotics, lactobacilli, Lactobacillus Plantarum, broilers, Campylobacter jejuni.

I. INTRODUCTION

f I he creation and introduction of a new highly productive poultry cross with an intensive metabolism into the industrial poultry industry led to a change in the microbiota. In turn, this factor often leads to disruption of immune homeostasis and the development of diseases such as uric acid diathesis, biting, growth disorders, fatty degeneration of the liver, causing decreased productivity, poor consumer properties of poultry products, and sometimes even death of the bird. In industrial cultivation,

Revised Manuscript Received on November 30, 2019.

* Correspondence Author

Tatyana Lenkova*, Federal State Budget Scientific Institution Federal Scientific Center "All-Russian Research and Technological Poultry Institute" of Russian Academy of Sciences, Sergiev Posad, Russia. Email: dissovet@vnitip.ru

Ilya Nikonov, Perm State Agro-Technological University named after Academician D.N. Pryanishnikov, Perm. Russia. ilnikonov@vandex.ru

Yuri Kuznetsov, Department of Parasitology, St. Petersburg State Academy of Veterinary Medicine, Saint Petersburg, Russia. Email: yuriy.kuznecov1987@gmail.com

Anna Balykina, Department of Biochemistry and Physiology, St. Petersburg State Academy of Veterinary Medicine, Saint Petersburg, Russia. Email: Balykina@gmail.com

Larisa Karpenko, Department of Biochemistry and Physiology, St. Petersburg State Academy of Veterinary Medicine, Saint Petersburg, Russia. Email: Karpenko@gmail.com

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

an intensive accumulation of opportunistic enterobacteria occurs both indoors and in the immediate environment.

Eating feed, the bird in enclosed spaces is completely devoid of contact with natural donors of normal microorganisms available in nature (soil, insects, plants, animals), which leads to faster colonization of the intestines of chickens by conditionally pathogenic microorganisms, commensals - for example, Campylobacter spp and a slowdown of processes colonization of the intestine with normal microflora, including lactobacilli, bifidobacteria and enterococci, forming a parietal biofilm.

Currently, 6 types of diet components (feed additives) are used in practice to modulate the composition of poultry intestinal microflora: antibiotics, exogenous enzymes, prebiotics, probiotics, synbiotics, probiotics (Yang, Y. et al., 2009). The ban in several countries on the use of feed antibiotics (in the EU since 2006), which were previously the main microflora modulating supplement (Castanon, JIR, 2007), has caused increased interest in other types of these supplements, which are intensively studied all over the world. The interest is also spurred by the growing concern of the world community about the problem of resistance of pathogens to antibiotics (Nhung, N.T. et al., 2017).

The most studied to date are probiotic drugs. Probiotics now refer to live microbial feed additives that improve the health and productivity of farm animals (Saleh, A. and Hayashi, K., 2011). Currently, species of the genus Lactobacillus (bulgaricus, plantarum, acidophilus, salivarius, lactis, helveticus, casei), Bacillus subtilis, Enterococcus faecium and faecalis, Streptococcus thermophilus, species of the genus Bifidobacterium, some strains of E. coli are used as probiotic additives R., 1989; O'Dea, EE et al., 2006; Choudhari, A. et al., 2008), some species of the genera Bacillus and Lactococcus (Yang, Y. et al., 2009). A number of fungal species are also used: Aspergillus oryzae, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and acidophilus (Huang, M.K. et al., 2004; Hassanein, S.M. and Soliman, N.K., 2010).

The positive effect of probiotic cultures is associated with their development of SCFA, which reduce the pH of the chyme and, consequently, the growth of pathogenic microorganisms that poorly tolerate the relatively high acidity of the environment (clostridia, Salmonella, pathogenic strains of E. coli) (Chichlowski, M. et al., 2007); competing with pathogens for adhesion sites to the intestinal wall with stimulation of a number of aspects of the host's own immunity (Ohashi, Y. and Ushida, U.

2009).

Development of the Probiotic Feed Supplement Based on Lactobacillus Plantarum to Increase the Broiler Productivity

Numerous probiotic preparations and biologically active feed additives currently used are not fully able to solve this problem. Chickens raised in industrial poultry have no intestinal colonization resistance to Campylobacter spp and Salmonella spp.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study aimed to test a promising feed supplement based on the Lactobacillus Plantarum strain, with high antagonistic activity to campylobacter.

The studies were performed in the nutrition department of the Federal Scientific Center "VNITIP" RAS and the vivarium of the Zagorsk EPH Scientific Production Center in 2018-2019.

The scientific and industrial experience was carried out on broilers of the Cobb 500 cross country from daily to 36 days of age. The chickens were kept in AviMax cell batteries, 35 goals in each group, in compliance with all technological parameters.

Poultry was fed in two phases (6–21 days and from 22 days until the end of rearing). In the first 5 days, chickens of all groups received the same starter feed. The additive was introduced into the feed by the method of stepwise mixing. The scheme of scientific and industrial experience is presented in Table I.

Table- I: Experiment scheme on broilers of the cross "Cobb-500"

Group	Features of broiler feeding		
1 control	Complete feed with nutrition corresponding to the		
	recommendations for cross-country (PC)		
2	PC + feed supplement at a dose of 1 kg g / t feed		
experienced	containing 10^{7} CFU / g Lactobacillus plantarum		

To study the digestibility and use of food nutrients by broilers, a physiological (balance experiment) was conducted on three males from each group at the age of 28 days.

Taken into account indicators:

- the safety of the livestock (%) by accounting for the dead bird;
- live weight of chickens at the beginning of the experiment at the age of 24, at 7-, 21- and 36-day olds;
- feed consumption by daily accounting for a given amount of feed;
- feed costs per 1 kg of increase in live weight, kg;
- slaughter yield of meat, %;
- mass of internal organs, g;
- total nitrogen content in feed, litter, muscles (Kjeldahl method);
- the amino acid content in the feed, litter, muscle (by ion-exchange chromatography on an AAA-T 339 automatic analyzer);
- the content of crude fat in feed, litter, muscle (in the Soxhlet apparatus);
- the content of crude fiber in feed, litter (acid-base treatment described by P.T. Lebedev and others);
- calcium content in the feed, manure (on an atomic absorption spectrometer);
- phosphorus content in the feed, litter (photometric method);
- the content of crude ash in feed, litter, muscle (by dry ashing of the sample);
- the content of vitamins A, E, B2 in the liver (by

high-performance liquid chromatography on a Milichrome-1 apparatus);

- digestibility of dry matter of feed, protein, fiber, fat, the use of nitrogen, amino acids, calcium, phosphorus in the balanced experiment;
- the chemical composition of the foot and pectoral muscles.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of scientific and industrial experience are presented in Table II.

Table- II: The results of the experiment on broiler chickens

	Group			
Indicators	1	2		
The safety of the livestock, %	100,0	100,0		
The live weight of	43,0	43,1		
broilers (g) in ages: daily	±0,22	±0,21		
% to control	100,0	100,2		
7 day	198,6	196,6		
/ day	±3,53	±2,57		
% to control	100,0	99,0		
21 - day	933,4	953,4		
21 - uay	±16,56	±11,88		
% to control	100,0	102,1		
Average	2057,5	2139,9		
at 36 days of age	±33,16	±36,44		
% to control	100,0	104,0		
including hone	1921,2	2045,7		
including hens	±33,21	±22,33		
% to control	100,0	106,5		
including cockerels	2193,8	2234,0		
iliciddlig cockereis	±35,14	±42,22		
% to control	100,0	101,8		
The average daily gain in live weight, g	56,0	58,2		
% to control	100,0	103,9		
Feed intake per 1 goal. for the growing period, kg	3,29	3,27		
% to control	100,0	100,6		
The cost of feed per 1 kg of increase in live weight, kg	1,63	1,56		
% to control	100,0	95,7		
From the data presented in the table, it follows that the				

From the data presented in the table, it follows that the safety of the livestock in the groups was 100%. The live weight of broilers at 7 days of age was practically the same between the groups. At 21 days of age in experimental group 2, it was 2.1% higher. By the end of the growing period, there was a clear tendency to increase the live weight of 36-day-old chickens compared with the control group in experimental group 2, which received a feed supplement, including bacteria Lactobacillus plantarum. She was 4.0% higher.

The live weight of males in the experimental groups did not undergo significant changes compared to the control, the difference was unreliable. At the same time, the tested feed additive had a more significant effect on this indicator in hens. So, in experimental group 2, it was 6.5% (p \le 0.01) higher than in control group 1.

There were no significant differences between the groups in terms of feed intake by birds.





However, feed conversion in the experimental group was better. So, when using a feed additive based on Lactobacillus plantarum (group 2), the feed cost per 1 kg of live weight gain was 4.3% lower than in group 1.

The difference in productivity in the experimental group compared to the control was obtained due to the improvement of digestibility and the use of feed nutrients by broilers under the influence of the test additive (Table III).

Table- II: Digestibility and use of feed nutrients by broilers. %

broners, %				
Indicators	Gr	Group		
Indicators	1	2		
Digestibility:	70.2	75.4		
dry matter feed	72,3	75,4		
protein	90,5	93,1		
fat	87,7	90,9		
fiber	7,5	12,0		
Using:				
nitrogen	57,4	61,8		
calcium	38,2	39,3		
phosphorus	35,7	36,4		
lysine	84,4	88,3		
methionine	83,7	87,0		

From the data given in the table, it follows that the use of lactic acid bacteria Lactobacillus Plantarum in compound feeds improved digestibility (%): dry matter feed by 3.1, protein by 2.6, fat by 3.2, fiber by 4.5. The use of feed nitrogen in this group was better than in the control by 4.4%, lysine - by 3.9%, methionine - by 3.3%.

IV. CONCLUSION

Thus, as a result of the studies, it was shown that feeding broiler chickens with a probiotic feed additive based on Lactobacillus plantarum helps to increase their productivity during the entire growing cycle.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The study was performed at the FGBOU VO "St. Petersburg State Academy of Veterinary Medicine» with the aid of the Russian Science Foundation Grant (Project No. 18-76-10017).

REFERENCES

- Y. Yang, P.A. Iji, M. Choct, Dietary modulation of gut microflora in broiler chickens: a review of the role of six kinds of alternatives to in-feed antibiotics. World's Poult. Sci. J. 2009, 65(1). pp. 97–114.
- 2. J. I. R. Castanon, History of the use of antibiotics as growth promoters in European poultry feeds. *Poult. Sci.* 2007, 86, pp. 2466–2471.
- N. T. Hnung, N. Chansiripornchai, J. J. Carrique-Mas, Antimicrobial resistance in bacterial poultry pathogens: A review. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 2017, 4, pp. 126.
- Y. Ohashi, U. Ushida, Health-beneficial effects of probiotics: its mode of action. *Anim. Sci. J.* 2009, 80, pp. 361–371.
- A. Saleh, K. Hayashi, Aspergillus niger reduces skeletal muscles protein breakdown and stimulates growth in broilers. *Res. Opinions Anim. Vet. Sci.* 2011, 1, pp. 209–212.
- R. Fuller, Probiotics in man and animals. *Bacterol*. 1989, 66, pp. 806–830.
- E. E. O'Dea, G. M. Fasenko, G. E. Allison, D. R. Korver, G. W. Tannock, L. L. Guan, Investigating the effects of commercial probiotics on broiler chick quality and production efficiency. *Poult. Sci.* 2006, 85, pp. 1855–1863.
- A. Choudhari, S. Shinde, B.N. Ramteke, Prebiotics and probiotics as health promoters. *Vet. World*. 2008, 1, pp. 59–61.
- 9. M. K. Huang, Y. J. Choi, R. Houde, J. W. Lee, B. Lee, X. Zhao, Effects of Lactobacilli and an acidophilic fungus on the production

- performance and immune responses in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 2004, 83, pp. 788–795.
- S. M. Hassannein, N. K. Soliman, Effect of probiotic (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) adding to diets on intestinal microflora and performance of Hy-Line layers hens. J. Amer. Sci. 2010, 6, pp. 159–169.
- M. Chichlowski, J. Croom, B.W. McBride et al. Metabolic and physiological impact of probiotics or direct-fed microbials on poultry. *Intl. J. Poult. Sci.* 2007, 6(10). pp. 694–704.

AUTHORS PROFILE



Tatyana Lenkova, Chief Scientific Secretary of the Federal State Budget Scientific Institution Federal Scientific Center "All-Russian Research and Technological Poultry Institute" of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Doctor of Biological Sciences.



Ilya Nikonov, Candidate of Biologic Science. Research interests: Biotechnology, the development of feed additives and biological products for farm animals, the search and selection of promising strains - the basis of biological products, molecular genetic studies of the microbiome.



Yuri Kuznetsov, Candidate of Veterinary Sciences, Assistant Works at the department since 2009 as an assistant. In 2012 he defended his thesis on the topic: "Intestinal parasitoses of fur animals in the farms of the Leningrad region."



Anna Balykina, Candidate of Veterinary Sciences, Associate Professor. He is an active member of the "Society of Clinical Biochemistry, Endocrinology, Immunology", the field of research: clinical biochemistry and physiology of horses and small pets.



Larisa Karpenko is a member of the Academic Council of St. Petersburg State University of Economics and Dissertation Council D.220.059.02. at the Federal State Educational Institution of Higher Professional Education "St. Petersburg State Academy of Veterinary Medicine".

