

# Towards Secure Data Management using Blockchain in Iot Enabled Environment.

Naresh Thoutam, Neha M. Visal

**Abstract:** *The Internet of Things (IoT) is continuously a reality nowadays. By and by, some key difficulties still must be constrained to run express consideration all together that IoT arrangements extra help the developing interest for associated gadgets and furthermore the administrations advertised. Because of the potential importance and affectability of administrations, IoT arrangements should address the security and protection issues enveloping these gadgets and furthermore the data they gather, create, and process. As of late, the Blockchain innovation has increased much consideration in IoT arrangements. Its essential utilization circumstances are inside the cash space, where Blockchain makes a promising applications world and may be utilized to tackle security and protection issues. Be that as it may, this developing innovation has an incredible potential inside the most various mechanical territories and may impressively encourage achieve the Internet of Things read in a few angles, expanding the limit of decentralization, encouraging connections, empowering new exchange models, and permitting self-sufficient coordination of the gadgets. The paper aims to provide ideas about Blockchain's structure and activity and, for the most part, to examine how this innovation is used to provide security and protection in IoT*

**Index Terms**—Blockchain, IOT, firebase Cloud.

## I. INTRODUCTION

IoT and Blockchain domain unit thought about rising musings and developments. At a comparative time they improve thoughts and manufacture new possibilities, each in their individual circumstances, and there is a chance to make applications that can share the inherent qualities of each, investigating how the IoT can profit by the decentralized idea of the Blockchain. While the IoT can furnish us with significant points of interest, it likewise expands the danger of presentation to different security and protection dangers; a number of these dangers territory unit new. Prior to the approach of the IoT, data break and disavowal of administration were the chief security dangers detailed. With the IoT, security dangers go so much on the far side the lawful offense of learning or forswearing of administration. These dangers would now be able to be possibly identified with genuine lives, including physical security. Different concerns are identified

**Revised Manuscript Received on November 05, 2019.**

\* Correspondence Author

**Naresh Thoutam**, Department of Computer Engineering, Sandip Institute of Technology & Research Centre Nashik-422213, India, naresh.thoutam@sitrc.org

**Neha M. Visal**, Department of Computer Engineering, Sandip Institute of Technology & Research Centre Nashik-422213, India, visal.neha@gmail.com

with protection. IoT carried with it ascend inside the amount of private data conveyed and shared between associated gadgets. Despite the fact that it is anything but a substitution request or particular amid this new circumstance, protection is a critical component. Security arrangements and protection ought to be actualized by attributes of heterogeneous IoT gadgets.

There is an interest for security arrangements that region unit equipped for giving proportional dimensions of security to various sorts of gadgets and requests components fit for review and access control in these situations. Because of the constrained handling abilities of IoT gadgets IoT gadgets more often than not use remotely controlled outsider specialist organizations to play out extra information preparing. By transmitting delicate client information to outsider administrations suppliers, clients are compelled to confide in specialist organizations to uphold information insurance and give information protection ensure. Tragically, specialist coops frequently abuse data security approaches by abuse data gathered from clients for unapproved capacities. This undue favorable position by administration providers depends on unified design wherever trust amid an outsider framework as a focal expert is expected to oversee client information. So as to take out this lopsidedness in data get to arrangement authorization between administration providers and clients, we tend to propose an arrangement of suburbanized data the board abuse suburbanized in addition to the board framework upheld Blockchain and brilliant contract innovation.

Blockchain could be a dispersed data innovation that has frightfully arduous to alter, record records. It licenses stockpiling of all exchanges into unchanging records and each record appropriated crosswise over numerous member hubs. The security originates from the utilization of powerful open key cryptography, solid cryptographic hash and complete decentralization. Squares are the key idea of the innovation. They are little arrangements of exchanges that include occurred inside the framework. Each new square stores reference of the past managing. As such, it makes an arrangement of squares and accordingly the name

## II. RELATED WORK

IoT security is troublesome due to the mental dominant part of gadgets' low asset capacities, tremendous scale, gadget non-uniformity, and lack of institutionalization. In addition, some of those IoT gadgets are gathering and offering huge information measurements from our own spaces, opening up huge protection concerns in this way.

To secure clients protection, the creators in [1] characterized distinctive security zones for different kinds of information. Each zone has a related degree related setting based arrangement checking strategy, that is checked by a Home Security Hub before accepting be a piece of or rejoin solicitations to ensure client information against unapproved information sharing. Be that as it may, the likelihood of getting to great gadgets specifically bypassing the center isn't considered.

Creators in [11] exhibited that a wide assortment of off-the-rack IoT gadgets needs key security contemplation. the creator proposed a Security Management Provider that is in charge of controlling access to information and gadgets by utilizing settled or dynamic substance based approaches. In any case, securing client protection while uncovering individual information isn't tended to. An extensive stud far off IoT security shows up in [8]. Inside the setting of good homes, the creators examined the security suggestions associated with detecting component dissemination, data catch, and sending data to the door.

With the advancement of IoT systems and their fully combined designs for controlling gadget data, the new record innovation circulated by Blockchain is innately taking care of some essential security issues. The use of Blockchain technology in the IoT region to promote the sharing of administrations and resources and to alter many lengthy job procedures in a secure manner is discussed in[2]. The creators have argued that the Blockchain-IoT mix is amazing and can pave the way for new action plans and transmitted applications. In addition, the related writing and work intended for use in IoT by Blockchain was contemplated in[3].The paper recognized various investigative endeavors,[ 4, 5, 6], using the Blockchain Foundation as information storing the arrangement of the executive. In all cases, data changed between square measure IoT gadgets put away as specific exchanges within the Blockchain and square measure later disseminated among the hubs, making sure the uprightness and security of the correspondence between them.

A limited distributed phase supported by Industrial IoT Blockchain systems was organized in[ 7]. Their use cases center around mechanical and manufacturing applications wherever great contracts are between the Blockchain biological community and external partners as middle people. Dorri et al. have proposed a private Smart Homes Blockchain framework,[8]. They focus on security issues such as privacy, honesty, and handiness, while re-enactment results show that the overheads required by such innovation's work remain low.[9] also examines the upgrades of insurance with Blockchain's work in IoT. Blockchain's job is inspected through four challenges, to be specific: cost and capacity requirements, structure, administrative unavailability, and control sensitivity. They argue that more secure biological IoT systems could be given with Blockchain's restricted and consensus-driven structures on the grounds that system size will increase. As of late, FairAccess, the board's token-based access shows the work of Blockchain, was arranged in[ 10], which has access to the executive instrument for exchanges recognized within a Blockchain foundation by Associate in Nursing.

Together, IT companies have shown excellent passion for the application of Blockchain structures in biological IoT systems. The IBM Watson IoT phase supports personal

Blockchain documents for the exchange of IoT data. Adroit (Autonomous Decentralized Peer-To-Peer Telemetry), an IoT-based blockchain research venture using Ethereum, Telehash, and BitTorrent innovations, was jointly proclaimed [11].Focusing on a Things Economy, ADEPT deliberately focuses on Distributed gathering activity process and applications, sustainable security and privacy, and default. Additionally, there are different firms and new firms that spend considerable time collecting trustworthiness, trust and security activities in the IoT area.

### III. OBJECTIVES

The overall goal is to guard the whole system that represents Associate in Nursing IoT installation. The a lot of granular security necessities typically known as security attributes, are confidentiality, availability, integrity, and privacy. The connectedness of those core attributes depends on the system, the environment, the actuators, and their functions. In Associate in Nursing installation wherever client knowledge is employed, confidentiality and privacy are especially important. A smart meter installation would be an ideal example. Data management, processing, and distribution are becoming increasingly important for customers UN agency need to manage and guarantee their privacy. In a few nations, this is already regulated by law. Advancements and methods to ensure end-users security are developing. Anonymization of user data is only one approach. More advanced technologies follow Associate in Nursing approach to hide user identities and their network activity from police work and traffic analysis by separating identification and routing.

- As an open record structure, blockchain records and affirm each and every trade made, which makes it secure and strong.
- All of the trades made square measure embraced by excavators, which makes the trades lasting and keep it
- from the danger of hacking.
- Blockchain development discards the essential of any untouchable or central master for disseminated trades.
- Decentralization of the advancement.

### IV. METHODOLOGY

#### A. Blockchain

There are a couple of ways that a Blockchain can be utilized indistributed stockpiling programming. A standout amongst the most well-known is to:

- Separate information into pieces.
- Encrypt the data to be the only one that has access to it.
- Distribute files over a network so that all of your files are available, even if part of the network is down. Essentially, instead of handing your documents over to an organization like Amazon or Microsoft, you are distributing them all over the globe through a network of people. The cloud is shared by the network, and delicate information can not be perused or messed with by anyone else. As it were, you remain in charge. In public services, this might even be useful in



keeping public records secure, accessible and decentralized. Save a cryptographic mark of an archive or document on a blockchain is another model. This would provide users with a way to confirm that a file is untampered without saving the entire file on the Blockchain. Looking at a file, you can ensure that it is a constant version of the document that once again existed. With Blockchains, smart contracts can also be used. These ensure that specific exchanges occur once certain conditions are met, implying that records are customized to be adjusted or consequently refreshed.

## B. Data Storage

Blockchain packs data and exchanges in a solitary structure. A square keeps up the record of all exchanges happened over the framework. It wins as a bit of unchanging information in the blockchain. Most applicable advantages of blockchain is misrepresentation insurance (keeps the unapproved changes or pernicious altering, as changes aren't possible), simple the executives (every single acknowledged exchange might be found in the system in an extremely sequent manner), possession (security is kept up by the methods for keys and marks), and absence of go between (diminishing the settlement times of numerous exchanges and accelerating the procedure). Information Distribution: the proprietor has coordinate administration of the information by utilizing his/her private key. The key engages owner affirmation and moreover, the proprietor can offer get to rights to the data to whomever he needs.

## V. PROPOSED SOLUTION

In this proposed system sensor are used to provide input to the system. we are used temperature sensors to provide environment temperature data to the system. we are used Raspberry PI 3 for creating IOT environment. we used local as well as cloud storage. Now, Sensor will send input to the primary node. primary node get input from sensor. device convert this data into block and store. then calculate the hash and upload data on cloud. in blockchain data are stored using blocks. this blocks of data are stored in firebase cloud. then we can monitor this data live using the device from firebase cloud. then download data from cloud and marge all downloaded blocks. check the valid signature. if the signature is valid we get Blockchain Data. this is a process for secure data management using blockchain in IOT. The block diagram of the system is given in figure we are using Raspberry PI 3 as a primary IOT device.




Fig. 1. Proposed system Block Diagram.

**Sensor node:** The sensor is the commitment of a WSN center point which can get the natural and apparatus status. A sensor is in charge of social occasion and changing the signs, for instance, light, vibration and compound signs, into electrical banners and after that trading them to the microcontroller. The microcontroller gets the data from the sensor and strategies the data in like way.

**Local Storage:** The information could be coming specifically from a sensor or being handed-off from a web-associated gadget (think wireless). In the event that it's coming straightforwardly from a sensor and you're using the local SDK given, at that point it's probably going to return over in a hard record organization or XML.

**Firestore Cloud:** Firestore Storage gives secure document transfers and downloads for Firestore applications, paying little heed to organize quality. The engineer can utilize it to store pictures, sound, video, or other client created substance.

### A. Algorithm

**Input:** Sensor Data.

- Primary node get sensor data from sensors.
- Primary node convert device data into block and stored in local storage.
- Calculate the hash of the data.
- This data Upload on cloud.
- Monitor this data live on device from firebase cloud.
- Then download data from cloud.
- Merge all downloaded blocks.
- Check valid Signature.
- Get IOT Blockchain Data.
- 

**Output:** IOT Blockchain Data.

## VI. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

$$I = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\}$$

Where,  $I$  is set set of inputs.

$$I_1 = \text{Sensor-data.}$$

$$F = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$$

Where,  $f$  is a set of  $f$  function.

$f_1 = \text{Take Sensor-data.}$

$f_2 = \text{Convert analog data into digital.}$

$f_3 = \text{Initialized cloud.}$

$f_4 = \text{Upload data in blocks on firebase cloud.}$

$f_5 = \text{Get data on client side.}$

$$O = \{O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n\}$$

Where,  $O$  is a set of Outputs.

$$O_1 = \text{Data With Blocks.}$$

## VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

BC-based style incurs machine and packet overhead in this comparison on the great home devices and jointly the mineworker to provide improved security and privacy. We have a bent on simulating a wise home state of affairs in Cooja machine to evaluate these overheads.



In order to match the B.C overhead. Based on style, we've been bent on simulating another state of affairs that handles transactions, not cryptography, hashing, and BC. Because of the bottom technique, we have a bent to ask for this baseline technique. We have a simulated DHT detector bent that sends information every 10 seconds to the house miner (also simulated as a DHT). Each simulation lasted three minutes, and the results of the measurement unit were averaged over that length together. Cloud storage is linked directly to the jack for storing information and Return number of the block. It should be noted our simulation does not consider Overlay and delay process. We have a bent on simulated store and access operations to create a thorough assessment. We tend to simulate a pair of entirely different and realistic traffic flow patterns for the search event:

**Table- I: Security Requirement Evaluation.**

<sup>a</sup> Security Requirement Evaluation (Table1)

Requirement	Safeguard
Confidentiality	Access Token by cloud
Integrity	Hashing is employed to achieve integrity.
Availability	Achieved by limiting transaction to upload data
User Control	Achieved by logging to app
Authorization	By providing cloud server authorization key

**VIII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

Paste Article Duplication Processing Re-Write Suggestion Done (Unique Article) In this paper, we've got analyzed the most options and characteristics of a blockchain primarily based systems, each within the permissionless and within the permission flavor, and that we have tried to map them onto the necessities of the IoT. Our analysis shows that, whereas the aptitude of a permissionless blockchain to realize ultimate agreement in an exceedingly fully decentralized approach provides a awfully high resilience to faults and a awfully high level of system handiness that will be extremely fascinating within the IoT, the energy and procedure costs of the prisoner is incompatible with most IoT systems. At the same time, the reduced resource constraints obligatory by a permission blockchain additionally weakens the most characteristics of a blockchain primarily based system. we have displayed associate IoT device believability confirmation strategy addicted to Blockchain technology and talked concerning it intimately. The legitimacy of the planned model and technique are able to do a dependable necessity by Blockchain innovation and moreover has certain favorable circumstances with relevance room and response time.

**REFERENCES**

1. Charalampos S. Kouzinopoulos<sup>1</sup>, Georgios Spathoulas<sup>2</sup>, Konstantinos M. Giannoutakis<sup>1(B)</sup>, Konstantinos Votis<sup>1</sup>, Pankaj Pandey<sup>2</sup>, Dimitrios Tzovaras<sup>1</sup>, Sokratis K. Katsikas<sup>2</sup>, Anastasija Collen<sup>3</sup>, and Niels A. Nijdam<sup>3</sup>
2. Atzori, L., Iera, A., Morabito, G.: The Internet of Things: a survey. *omput. Netw.* 54(15), 27872805 (2010)
3. Christidis, K., Devetsikiotis, M.: Blockchains and smart contracts for the Internet of Things. *IEEE Access* 4, 22922303 (2016)

4. Conoscenti, M., Vetro, A., Martin, J.C.D.: Blockchain for the Internet of Things: a systematic literature review. In: 2016 IEEE/ACS 13th Inter- national Conference of Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA), pp. 16, November 2016
5. Worner, D., von Bomhard, T.: When your sensor earns money: ex- changing data for cash with Bitcoin. In: Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing: Adjunct Publication, UbiComp 2014 Adjunct, pp. 295298. ACM, New York (2014)
6. Zhang, Y., Wen, J.: The IoT electric business model: using blockchain technology for the Internet of Things. *Peer-to-Peer Netw. Appl.* 10(4), 983994 (2017)
7. Blanco-Novoa, T. M. Fernndez-Carams, P. Fraga-Lamas, and M. A. VilarMontesinos, A practical evaluation of commercial industrial aug- mented reality systems in an industry 4.0 shipyard, *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 82018218, 2018.
8. S. J. Barro-Torres, T. M. Fernndez-Carams, H. J. Prez-Iglesias, and C. J. Escudero, Real-time personal protective equipment monitoring system, *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 4250, 2012.
9. F. Tschorsch and B. Scheuermann, Bitcoin and beyond: A technical survey on decentralized digital currencies, *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 20842123, 3rd Quart., 2016.
10. M. Siddiqi, S. T. All, V. Sivaraman, Secure lightweight context- driven data logging for bodyworn sensing devices, in *Proc. 5th Int. Symp. Digit. Forensic Secur. (ISDFS)*, Tirgu Mures, Romania, 2017, pp. 16.
11. T. Gui, C. Ma, F. Wang, and D. E. Wilkins, Survey on swarm intelligence based routing protocols for wireless sensor networks: An extensive study, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Ind. Technol. (ICIT)*, Taipei, Taiwan, Mar. 2016, pp. 19441949.
12. Markets and Markets; Statista Estimates. Market for Blockchain Technology Worldwide. Accessed: Apr. 10, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/647231/worldwide-blockchaintechnologymarket-size>
13. T. Bocek, B. B. Rodrigues, T. Strasser, and B. Stiller, Blockchains everywhere A use-case of blockchains in the pharma supply-chain, in *Proc. IFIP/IEEE Symp. Integr. Netw. Service Manage. (IM)*, Lisbon, Portugal, May 2017, pp. 772777.
14. S. Nakamoto. (2008). Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. [Online]. Available: <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>
15. E Y. Chen, Y. Pei, S. Chen, Y. Tian, R. Kotcher, P. Tague, OAuth demystified or mobile application developers, Proceedings of the 2014 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pp. 892-903, 2014.
16. E Chin, A. P. Felt, K. Greenwood, D. Wagner, Analyzing Inter- application Communication in Android, Proceedings of the 9th Inter- national Conference on Mobile Systems Applications and Services, pp. 239-252, 2011.
17. T Denning, T. Kohno, H. M. Levy, Computer security and the modern home, *Commun. ACM*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 94-103, Jan. 2013.
18. A P Felt, E. Chin, S. Hanna, D. Song, D. Wagner, Android permissions demystified, Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pp. 627-638, 2011.
19. A P Felt, S. Egelman, M. Finifter, D. Akhawe, D. Wagner, How to ask for permission, Proceedings of the 7th USENIX Conference on Hot Topics in Security, pp. 7-7, 2012.
20. A Hesseldahl, A Hackers-Eye View of the Internet of Things, [online] Available: <http://recode.net/2015/04/07/a-hackers-eye-view-of-the-internet-of-things/>.
21. L Lu, Z. Li, Z. Wu, W. Lee, G. Jiang, CHEX: Statically vetting Android apps for component hijacking vulnerabilities, Proceedings of the 2012 ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pp. 229- 240, 2012.
22. T Oluwafemi, T. Kohno, S. Gupta, S. Patel, Experimental Security Analyses of Non-Networked Compact Fluorescent Lamps: A Case Study of Home Automation Security, Proceedings of the LASER 2013 (LASER 2013), pp. 13-24, 2013.
23. F Roesner, T. Kohno, A. Moshchuk, B. Parno, H. J. Wang, C. Cowan, User-driven access control: Rethinking permission granting in modern operating systems, Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, pp. 224-238, 2012.



24. B Ur, E. McManus, M. Pak Yong Ho, M. L. Littman, Practical trigger- action programming in the smart home, Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 803-812, 2014.
25. R Valle-Rai, P. Co, E. Gagnon, L. Hendren, P. Lam, V. Sundaresan, Soot a java bytecode optimization framework, Proceedings of the 1999 Conference of the Centre for Advanced Studies on Collaborative Research, pp. 13, 1999.
26. B Ur, J. Jung, S. Schechter, The current state of access control for smart devices in homes, Workshop on Home Usable Privacy and Security (HUPS). HUPS 2014, July 2013.
27. Zyskind, G., Nathan, O., Pentland, A.: Enigma: decentralized computation platform with guaranteed privacy. arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.03471 (2015)
28. Bahga, A., Madiseti, V.K.: Blockchain platform for industrial Internet of Things. J. Softw. Eng. Appl. 9(10), 533 (2016)
29. Dorri, A., Kanhere, S.S., Jurdak, R., Gauravaram, P.: Blockchain for IoT security and privacy: the case study of a smart home. In: 2017 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PerCom Workshops), pp. 618623, March 2017.
30. Kshetri, N.: Can blockchain strengthen the Internet of Things? IT Prof. 19(4), 6872 (2017)
31. Ouaddah, A., Elkalam, A.A., Ouahman, A.A.: Towards a novel privacy- preserving access control model based on blockchain technology in IoT. In: Rocha, A., Serhini, M., Felgueiras, C. (eds.) Europe and MENA Co- operation Advances in Information and Communication Technologies, pp. 523533. Springer, Cham (2017). [https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319- 46568-5 53](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46568-5_53)

#### AUTHORS PROFILE



**Naresh Thoutam**, Completed B.Tech from JNTU Kakinada (Autonomous), Completed M.Tech from Walchand College Sangli (Autonomous), Pursuing Ph.D from K L University Vijaywada. Presently working as Assistant Professor in Computer Engineering Department Sandip Foundation's SITRC Nashik



**Neha M. Visal**, Completed BE from Sandip Foundation's SITRC Nashik, Pursuing M.E. from Sandip Foundation's SITRC Nashik, Presently working as Engineer in FIS Global Pune