

Advanced and Emergent Equity Market Behaviour

Tarika Singh Sikarwar, Payal Malani (Holani)

Abstract: The drive of this work is to observe the bidirectional association in a section of advanced and emergent equity market places at diverse points of time. For the study purpose top ten Advanced and emergent markets are taken into consideration. Daily closing prices of these markets' representative index were taken over a period of twenty-three years. Granger Causality Test was applied after checking the assumption of causality. An existence of bidirectional relational was established for nations. VAR test was also applied further to estimate the causality in the short term.

Keywords: Granger Causality, Development, Emergent Markets, Stock Market Behaviour, Vector Auto-Regression.

I. INTRODUCTION

The return dynamics of Advanced and Emergent nations have always been area to explore (Maharaj, Galagedera, and Dark (2011)). The stock market world over is increasingly getting interrelated (Fayyad and Daly, 2010). Apart from Advanced nation's markets, there is an increasing attraction for investment in emergent markets as well (Hartmann and Khambata (1993)). Both Advanced and Emergent markets have differences in aspects like volatility, risk/return, level of integration/ correlation, etc. (Bekaert & Harvey (1997)). The integration of Advanced and Emergent markets and implications for investment as well as risk (Tudor and Popescu-Dutaa" a, 2012).

Portfolio diversification and risk reduction can be planned for getting the maximum benefit out of an investment. It can limit the overall volatility of portfolio but the investor should keep in mind the presence of the foreign exchange risk.

Much research has been done in India in the region related to the determining of the volatility of stock markets like Goudarzi and Ramanarayanan (2010 and 2011) surveyed the unpredictability of the "Indian stock market" by means of the "BSE 500" stock index using different techniques. Mittal, Arora, and Goyal (2012) used GARCH and pGARCH models to examine volatility as opposed to Vijayalakshmi and Gaur (2013) using eight diverse GARCH based prototypes to predict volatility in Indian and overseas stock market places.

Significant contributions worldwide have also been made by Baillie and DeGennaro 1990; Bekaert and Wu 2000; Karmakar (2005 and 2007) Chand, Kamal, and Ali 2012; Kenneth 2013 as quoted by Banumath and Azhagaiah (2015) as well in this area. To add further, similar kinds of studies have been done for stock exchanges of Egypt (Zakaria and Winker (2012), Ahmed and Aal (2011); for Middle East nations (Floros, 2008); for Nepal (Bahadur 2008). Conditional Variance and GARCH based estimation techniques have been used majorly in this researches.

Revised Manuscript Received on December 15, 2019.

* Correspondence Author

Dr. Tarika Singh Sikarwar, Professor, Prestige Institute of Management Gwalior-474020; India.

tarika.singh@prestigegwl.org, singh.tarika@gmail.com, 9425342333

Ms. Payal Malani (Holani), Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Jiwaji University, Gwalior (M.P.) India.

Yet, numerous investigators like Konstantinos (2000) argued that unpredictability in ESMs has dropped as they unwrapped up to foreign investors like Richards (1996), Kim and Singal (1993), Bekaert (1995), Claessens, Dooley, and Warner (1995), Choudhry (1996).

The present study did a contrast between Advanced and Emergent Equity Market' returns of selected economies for volatility and causality. The research work begins initially with the development of concepts of Advanced market, volatility, various theories explaining the volatility of stock market, Emergent Markets, etc. Further an extensive review is done for these variables of the study. Through existing literature review, objectives are set and research methodology is Advanced. The results are discussed in next section after applying different statistical tools. Finally, a conclusion and references are given.

II. ADVANCED & EMERGENT MARKET

A country can be called Advanced based on the economic progress of its economy and capital market. An advanced country has a high income, is more open to foreign ownership, capital movement is easy and market institutions are efficient. Cavusgil (1987) has defined emergent markets like the ones with high-growth and have striking corporate chances for Western firms. They have much commercial impeding. Republic of China, India, Mexico, Brazil, Chile in Southeast Asia, few countries in Eastern Europe, parts of Africa and Latin America are few examples of emergent economies.

The International Finance Corporation (IFC) characterizes nations as "per their per capita GNP", which is similarly the grouping utilized by the World Bank. Referring to IFC, ESMs are financial exchanges in nations with low-to-center per capita pay. Different meanings of ESM can be seen in the writings of Divecha et. al. (1992), Errunza (1983), Taliente and Fraser (1995). Be that as it may, it is hard to locate an accurate meaning of an ESM, since the business sectors which are depicted as developing today change generally in their organization, execution, possibilities and chief highlights (Barry and Lockwood (1995)).

Emergent economies have been a great attraction for investment flows post since the 1980s. The major share of outlay in such emergent markets was in the form of debt. The reasons for such huge attraction of investments were the extraordinary returns they offered. Till mid-1980s, business banks have customarily given investible assets in numerous Emergent economies. The value markets have been moderately latent because of the macroeconomic and administrative strategies which didn't urge the private division to assume a functioning job in the economy. There were high financial obligations, high swelling, low or negative genuine loan fees,

the prevailing job of the open area and quantitative limitations on the accessibility of credit were the fundamental explanations behind the powerlessness of the secluded part to add to national monetary development. Further high expenses on profits and capital additions, insufficient guidelines, and supervision of money related markets, the low quality of dispersal of data and hindrances to inflows of remote capital all added to low interest for value account (Cashin and McDermott, 1995).

Studies show that macroeconomic unpredictability is a significant impediment to development. Dawe (1996) has examined the impact of instability in trades on venture and development. He found both constructive outcomes of instability on speculation through an expansion in prudent investment funds, and a negative impact on development through a designation of cash-flow to divisions with lower yields. Dehn (2000), then again, recognizes a huge adverse effect of stuns in the cost of crude resources on interest in Emergent nations. Guillaumont, Guillaumont-Jeanneney, and Brun (1999) found an adverse impact of unpredictability in the pace of venture on development, in view of a decrease in normal efficiency.

Frenzied behavior is shown by stock prices. It may be observed that “stock market behavior” can be projected to some degree in the short-run but it is difficult to predict the same in long run. Similarly, a large number of factors affect the price movements in the stock market and lead to its volatility. These factors include news related to organization, other macro-economic factors, etc. (French and Roll, 1986). Few researchers found that stock trades volume causes volatility (Fama, 1965; French, 1980). Further asymmetrical volatility may be caused because of the interaction between volume and price interaction (Bessembinder and Seguin 1993). In 1992, Madhavan said that investors prefer low volatility as they want low risk. This gives them an option to liquidate their assets during un-favorable price movements.

A. Volatility

Volatility is these days the main consideration in the creation of any portfolio formations and outlay choices (Lux & Marchesi, 2000). Daly (1999) has discovered that unpredictability has become a significant issue for some, reasons like for not finding fitting clarifications for value changes. The unpredictability of the corporation is a noteworthy feature in defining the likelihood of insolvency, the bid-ask spread, hedging methods such as portfolio spread are influenced by the instability level, with the costs of protection expanding with unpredictability and last yet at the very least due to thought that customers are hazard opposed. Liu et al. (1999) had expressed that unpredictability has significant handy advantages to dealers since it evaluates the hazard and is the way to distinguishing circumstances where stocks give off an impression of being undervalued or overrated. Adding to the concept of volatility, Gordon in 1959 has explained that normal stock valuation models like the “dividend growth model” assume that the core value of the stock is dogged by probable flows of cash and rate at which returns are generated. “The arbitrage pricing theory” (Ross 1987) quantified that variations in forthcoming flow of cash and required returns would, therefore, have influence on stock return. In various studies, stock market instability has been measured using two of the unpredictability models: first is the “stochastic volatility (SV) model” and the second

is “autoregressive conditional heteroskedastic (ARCH) model” given by Poon and Granger (2003).

B. Understanding the “Stock Market”

The working and behavior of the stock market have been explained by different theories and quantitative models from time to time. Some of the important theories are discussed below:

a) Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH)

An “Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH)”, the data is accessible to mirror the stock costs. There are three types of EMH: solid structure, semi-solid structure, and powerless structure. According to Fama, a Strong type of EMH consistently mirrors the significant data and the arrangement of costs. The semi-solid structure consistently reflects open data. Finally, feeble type of EMH consistently mirrors the chronicled costs (Malkiel (2003)).

C. Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)

As indicated by Fama and French (2004), “Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)” is a prototypical used to gauge the expense of capital for firms and assess the portfolio's venture. According to Fama (2004), there are observational matters in CAPM and it caused irregularity with the hypothesis. CAPM consistently distinguishes the hazard of stock which has a connotation with the market portfolio.

D. Random Walk Theory

Random Walk Theory says that predefined value moves haphazardly and subjectively. As per Fama (1965) the potential gaining limit of security relies on the characteristic estimation of that security. Adding to it, Samuelson (1965) said that foreseen costs may change haphazardly and it is hard to anticipate stock cost with such vacillations.

E. The Neoclassical theory, Keynesian and Post-Keynesian View

The neo-old style hypothesis advocates that money-related 'extending related to monetary advancement could lessen securities exchange unpredictability. Further it contends that administration mediation prompts bend of money related costs. According to this methodology, the advancement procedure should improve the job of the financial exchange and should help interest of the two firms and speculators in the securities exchange, leading to reduced volatility of equity prices. Keynes has proposed the Keynesian theory in response Great Depression and some arguments proposed by earlier classical theories. This theory emphasizes the role of government and its policies to address and manage the economic recession. The post-Keynesian view considers market to be imperfect and proposes that volatility induce even more volatility (Keynes (1936)). So as per this theory, financial liberalization leads to increased volatility through increased liquidity.

Regardless of whether instability will increment or not as the securities exchange extends is actually an experimental inquiry. The two primary hypotheses (neoclassical and post-Keynesian) are not useful at clarifying how securities exchange instability is influenced by financial exchange advancement.

III. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Many pieces of research are available which are done for different stock markets for exploring the causality effects between the stock markets of the nations, between returns and volumes and similar areas. These studies have not given and generalized conclusion as with time, changing macroeconomic conditions lead to changes in the associations. Adding to it are behavioral factors too which have changed and are changing over a period of time. Starting with a study of Singh, Mehta and Holani (2010) on "price and volume effects" variation to the "Madrid Stock Exchange", cited that the market responds absolutely, to news of additions to the index. Prorokowski and Lukasz (2011) in a study for Poland found the cross-border consequences of diverse economic and financial evidence created during the financial crisis. Maharaj et al. (2011) did not find the dynamics of the market return of Advanced and emergent markets as different Karmakar (2007), Zakaria and Winker (2012) and Mandimika and Chinzara (2012); and further the results presented in the study are in opposing to the investigation findings of Karmakar (2007) Banumathy and Azhagaiah (2015) who had examined the instability pattern of Indian stock market and had provided indication for the presence of a constructive and unimportant "risk premium" as per GARCH-M (1,1) model. Nzomo and Dombou (2017) found NSE as a more volatile return series and Ghufuran et al. (2016), Kanasro et al. (2009), Saleem (2007) found Karachi Stock exchange as more volatile.

Donadelli et al. (2013) found the equity risk quality in emergent markets is complex compared Advanced markets. Aggarwal et al. (1999) examined emergent stock markets, America, Argentina, and India. They found that bigger occasions cause huge moves in the unpredictability of rising financial exchanges.

Bhargava, Malhotra, and Singh's (2012) study results had shown the evidence that volatility diffusion from the "US dollar interest rate swap" market places to the Indian swap markets. Adler and Qi (2003) studied the North American stock market, the native Mexican equity market and the peso-dollar conversation rate to find integration between these and further found evidence of integration.

Bekaert, Harvey, and Lundblad (2005) found a monetarily and factually huge lessening in both GDP and utilization development changeability post-progression.

Calvo (1999) in his study for emergent markets showed that "balance-of-payments" crunches in emergent market economies were rapidly endorsed to macroeconomic negligence. Umutlu, et al (2009) study revealed a adverse association amongst the amount of "financial liberalization" and combined stock-return instability in an emergent market. Mala and Reddy (2007) examined Fiji's financial exchange and their examination indicated the association in Volatility and Stock market utilizing GARCH Model. Masih, Peters, and Mello (2010) considered oil value vacillations and oil value unpredictability on value showcase execution and found the strength of oil value instability on genuine stock returns for Korea. Perotti and Oijen (1999) inspected whether privatization in new economies has a critical roundabout impact on neighborhood securities exchange improvement through the goals of political hazard. Constructed on the directly above review, the following supposition can be postulated:

- Ha1: There is a bi-directional causal association between the stock index returns under study.

IV. OBJECTIVE

- To scrutinize the bi-directional instrumental association amongst the different nation's stock index returns under study.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY-

The study was vivid with ancillary data being used to conduct the same. The sample size of the study was of 10 Advanced and 10 Emergent nations' representative indices. The individual representative index acted as a sample element for the study. The time frame of the study of last 20 years i.e. financial year 1995 to financial year 2018. The data was collected through secondary sources like yahoo finance .com www. Bloomberg.com, www.in.investing.com, www.world-stock-exchanges.net

List of Indices is given below where the first ten indices are of emergent economies and the next ten are of Emergent nations.

1. AORD: All Ordinaries of Australia.
2. BEL: Benchmark stock market index of Euro next Brussels
3. BESSN: Bombay stock exchange of India
4. IPCMXX: Jasa Armada of Indonesia
5. JKSE Jakarta Composite Index of Jakarta
6. MBASE: of Athens
7. NZSE50 main stock market index in New Zealand
8. OSE Osaka Securities Exchange Co., Ltd. In Japan
9. STI: Straits Times Index (Singapore)
10. TW: Taiwan Stock Exchange of Taiwan
11. CAC French stock market index of France
12. ATX: The Austrian Traded Index of Australia
13. FTSE: FTSE Group (FTSE) Singapore
14. GDAXI: German Stock Exchange
15. HSI: Hang Sang Index
16. The BOVESPA benchmark index of Brazil
17. GSPC: S&P 500 group
18. TSX The Toronto Stock Exchange of Toronto, Ontario, Canada
19. SSEC Shanghai Stock Exchange index of Shanghai China
20. SWISS: SIX Swiss Exchange (formerly SWX Swiss Exchange), based in Zurich

Tools used for data analysis: Granger Causality test to discover the bidirectional association amongst the 'stock market returns' of stock indices under study. VAR was used to confirm the causality in the short term and cointegration in long run.

VII. NORMALITY OF RESIDUALS

The normality of residuals of index returns series was checked with the Jarque-bera test. The probability value of all indexes' value was less than 0.5%. Thus the null supposition of normality was rejected (Refer table I).

XI. ARCH TEST

“The ARCH test” is used to find out the “ARCH effect” in residuals. Therefore, the ‘ARCH-LM’ test was applied to show the ‘arch effect’ in residual of time series under study. The results are helpful in making decisions related to volatility predicting and financial decision making. Arch

model is considered better than ordinary least square regression method based on their ability to use past information and predicting of variance of returns (refer table IV).

Table IV: Arch Test Results

EMERGING NATIONS				DEVELOPED NATIONS			
Series Name	Test Statistics	Probability	Arch Effect/No Arch Effect Null Hypothesis: There is no arch up to order Q in the residuals.	Series Name	Test Statistics	Probability	Arch Effect/No Arch Effect Null Hypothesis: There is no arch up to order Q in the residuals.
AORD	0.064011	0.800275	No Arch Effect	CAC	8.643326	0.003297	Arch Effect
BEL	0.000625	0.980058	No Arch Effect	ATX	0.010583	0.918092	No Arch Effect
BSESN	1.441775	0.229915	No Arch Effect	FTSE	0.022522	0.880751	No Arch Effect
IPCMXX	0.002270	0.962002	No Arch Effect	GDAXI	0.118638	0.730621	No Arch Effect
JKSE	0.086069	0.769250	No Arch Effect	HSI	0.055566	0.813715	No Arch Effect
MBASE	0.086069	0.769250	No Arch Effect	BOVESPA	0.005022	0.943524	No Arch Effect
NZSE50	1.240992	0.265337	No Arch Effect	GSPC	0.008940	0.924700	No Arch Effect
OSE	0.091027	0.762895	No Arch Effect	TSX	0.984129	0.321527	No Arch Effect
STI	0.002130	0.963206	No Arch Effect	SSEC	0.092722	0.760836	No Arch Effect
TW	0.486798	0.485390	No Arch Effect	SWISS	1.351457	0.245423	No Arch Effect

From table 4, it is visible ARCH Effect is present in only the CAC index i.e. French Stock market. This means that only CAC is exhibiting conditional heteroscedasticity.

period. The regression coefficients found for all associations is significant. Hence causal association is present among series in short term and co-integrating in long term (refer table V).

XII. GRANGER CAUSALITY TEST

“The Granger causality test” is used to check in what way considerable of the current values can be explicated by past values or by adding lagged values of the same. The causal association between the time sequence under study can be studied using ‘the Granger causality test’. Also the test is used to decide if one-time series is beneficial in predicting other time series. From the results, it is found that there is a both-ways association between AORD, BEL, BESEN, IPCMXX, MBASE, JKSE, NZSE50, OSE, STI, CAC, ATX, GDXAI, HIS, BOVESPA, GSPC, SSEC, SWISS, and all other stock index series under study.

XIII. VAR [VECTOR AUTO REGRESSION]

The vector autoregression (VAR) can be interpreted as OLS. In the output, the table of VAR can be interpreted. Here each line relates to a regressor in the condition and on each right-hand side variable, the assessed coefficient, its standard error, and the t-measurement are accounted for the condition.

The vector autoregression (VAR) was utilized for breaking down the dynamic effect of arbitrary unsettling influences on the arrangement of factors, for example, the market return arrangement contemplated. The coefficients for each VAR model results can be considered for the causal association in the short period, and co-integration association in the long



Advanced and Emergent Equity Market Behaviour

Table V: Va R Results

	CA C	AT X	FT SE	GD AX I	HIS	BO VES PA	GS PC	TS X	SS EC	SW ISS	AO RD	BE L	BS ES N	IPC MX X	JKS E	MB AS E	NZ SE5 0	OS E	STI	TW
CAC (-1)	- 0.0 481 45	0.0 095 10	0.0 396 52	- 0.0 737 63	0.0 519 73	0.0 134 78	0.0 127 29	0.0 004 3	0.0 430 54	0.0 009 84	0.1 260 08	0.2 933 20	0.0 599 42	0.0 009 66	0.0 484 34	0.0 339 05	0.0 970 85	0.0 619 95	0.1 171 96	0.0 435 2
CAC (-2)	0.1 107 13	0.0 421 56	0.1 450 44	0.0 207 52	0.0 741 86	0.02 342 3	0.0 174 61	6.0 8E- 05	0.0 025 16	0.0 347 39	0.0 246 68	0.0 251 75	0.0 127 11	0.0 070 05	0.0 113 25	0.0 156 71	0.0 611 04	0.0 134 97	0.0 119 06	0.0 159 38
ATX (-1)	0.0 686 30	0.0 198 81	0.0 790 42	0.0 708 13	0.0 116 89	0.0 556 78	0.1 032 52	0.0 001 34	0.0 460 79	0.0 880 62	0.0 492 6	0.0 533 84	0.0 282 05	0.0 206 02	0.0 504 11	0.0 608 99	0.1 216 77	0.0 226 44	0.0 286 46	0.0 213 95
ATX (-2)	0.0 498 32	0.0 582 37	0.0 442 10	0.0 323 24	0.0 300 38	0.07 394 1	0.1 680 21	0.0 004 76	- 0.0 412	0.0 822 89	0.0 538 42	0.0 955 85	0.1 117 12	0.0 367 64	0.0 254 07	0.0 636 33	0.0 297 15	0.0 789 63	0.0 194 99	0.0 001 46
FTS E(-1)	0.1 337 03	0.0 768 24	0.0 032 93	0.0 044 11	0.1 192 60	0.0 491 94	0.0 359 63	0.0 005 24	0.0 038 47	0.0 957 81	0.0 686 36	0.1 131 94	0.0 150 68	0.0 030 62	0.0 086 60	0.0 043 95	0.1 383 85	0.0 934 96	0.0 406 88	0.0 575 95
FTS E(-2)	0.0 932 71	0.0 948 81	0.0 291 07	0.0 250 28	0.1 224 97	0.0 017 49	0.0 561 26	5.6 3E- 05	0.0 145 51	0.0 524 38	0.0 212 70	0.0 122 97	0.0 107 94	0.0 376 64	0.0 194 43	0.0 231 73	0.0 270 7	0.0 192 35	0.0 182 21	0.0 544 51
GDA XI(- 1)	0.0 602 45	0.0 095 21	0.1 060 64	0.0 409 88	0.0 634 1	0.0 068 05	0.0 059 44	0.0 006 71	0.0 080 48	0.1 155 66	0.0 345 27	0.1 034 17	0.0 198 92	0.0 569 55	0.0 413 84	0.0 311 75	0.0 631 07	0.0 494 55	0.1 128 66	0.0 326 59
GDA XI(- 2)	0.0 643 6	0.0 065 14	0.0 515 43	0.0 472 91	0.0 414 46	0.02 313 4	0.0 560 37	0.0 001 02	0.0 470 04	0.0 235 49	0.0 693 89	0.0 740 92	0.0 153 48	0.0 936 67	0.0 593 93	0.0 854 37	- 0.0 604	0.0 523 26	0.0 217 40	0.1 307 24
HIS(- 1)	0.0 173 95	0.0 496 34	0.0 457 22	0.0 262 03	0.0 010 58	0.0 181 59	0.0 137 04	0.0 006 83	0.0 339 7	0.1 240 93	0.0 118 1	0.0 502 81	0.0 121 73	0.0 179 22	0.0 338 54	0.0 249 1	0.0 394 18	0.0 034 11	0.0 510 11	0.0 365 34
HIS(- 2)	0.0 841 70	0.0 430 14	0.0 176 37	0.0 249 14	0.0 737 81	0.0 561 21	0.0 056 12	0.0 001 04	0.0 091 26	0.0 089 24	0.0 458 18	0.0 271 59	0.0 300 80	0.0 112 72	0.0 434 08	0.0 162 96	0.0 024 33	0.0 167 48	0.0 721 84	0.0 633 03
BOV ESP A(- 1)	- 0.0 453 8	- 0.0 421 54	- 0.0 219 08	- 0.0 479 04	- 0.0 333 93	- 0.06 723 8	- 0.0 415 63	- 1.6 9E- 05	0.0 418 67	0.0 126 40	0.0 720 62	0.0 638 04	0.0 818 07	0.0 215 62	0.0 306 74	0.0 174 30	0.0 162 79	0.0 039 11	0.0 115 38	0.0 471 63
BOV ESP A(- 2)	- 0.0 328 07	- 0.0 306 05	- 0.0 439 78	- 0.0 648 39	- 0.0 056 99	- 0.0 240 59	- 0.0 660 13	- 9.6 1E- 05	0.0 095 56	0.0 066 87	0.0 052 55	0.0 340 65	0.0 091 32	0.0 467 09	0.0 008 69	0.0 029 07	0.0 653 61	0.0 610 38	0.0 007 83	0.0 290 39
GSP C(-1)	- 0.0 047 36	- 0.0 341 25	- 0.0 274 24	- 0.0 417 95	- 0.0 537 51	- 0.0 096 24	- 0.0 637 36	- 4.0 3E- 05	0.0 114 84	0.0 022 39	0.0 215 37	0.0 185 99	0.0 090 83	0.0 308 88	0.0 303 48	0.0 026 22	0.0 339 15	0.0 237 02	0.0 262 14	0.0 127 27
GSP C(-2)	0.0 087 21	0.0 315 61	0.0 553 16	0.1 030 95	0.0 251 28	0.00 180 8	0.0 212 70	0.0 007 12	0.0 322 59	0.0 323 97	0.0 572 64	0.0 099 28	0.0 322 64	0.0 462 01	0.0 118 26	0.0 038 25	0.0 220 26	0.0 678 82	0.0 872 60	0.0 124 19
TSX (-1)	1.3 360 66	1.0 188 66	2.4 385 74	1.0 335 24	2.1 563 35	0.7 173 10	0.2 162 75	1.0 803 53	1.1 178 2	0.0 509 15	0.4 534 5	0.3 308 83	3.6 123 87	5.6 301 36	5.7 789 73	6.9 674 34	6.0 378 9	0.6 176 37	2.6 169 28	3.4 459 15
TSX (-2)	0.2 245 78	0.8 625 44	3.4 322 03	1.8 675 83	1.6 790 52	0.85 725 4	1.5 205 45	0.0 849 63	0.8 954 54	0.0 869 13	0.8 558 25	1.0 502 55	2.1 903 09	3.3 044 05	3.6 158 24	7.8 169 01	6.4 521 33	2.1 242 12	1.6 775 81	3.2 420 24
SSE C(-1)	0.0 922 41	0.0 175 51	0.0 466 72	0.0 485 6	0.0 207 47	0.0 686 66	0.0 428 15	0.0 004 25	0.0 688 08	0.0 227 80	0.0 040 03	0.0 433 13	0.0 192 02	0.0 096 18	0.0 849 15	0.0 243 81	0.0 105 38	0.0 354 57	0.0 362 3	0.0 462 24
SSE C(-2)	0.0 255 87	0.0 168 90	0.0 070 35	0.0 675 36	0.0 785 45	0.0 320 80	0.0 350 65	0.0 005 67	0.0 413 75	0.0 303 54	0.0 216 59	0.0 347 67	0.0 225 16	0.0 395 61	0.0 580 32	0.0 280 34	0.0 751 06	1.2 4E 05	0.0 215 4	0.0 098 70



SWI SS(- 1)	0.0 148 86	0.0 159 4	0.0 663 52	0.1 546 77	0.0 485 04	- 0.00 79	0.0 736 08	0.0 001 3	0.0 600 28	0.0 861 40	0.0 048 19	0.0 178 79	0.0 302 9	0.0 151 28	0.0 251 59	0.0 464 05	0.1 428 84	0.0 153 25	0.0 088 26	0.0 044 08
SWI SS(- 2)	0.0 675 85	- 0.0 032	0.0 215 61	0.0 280 86	- 0.0 093	0.01 515 8	0.0 027 82	0.0 002 98	0.0 616 20	0.0 144 50	0.0 481 4	0.0 325 15	0.0 187 84	0.0 121 06	0.0 564 47	0.0 398 45	0.0 411 82	0.0 610 91	0.0 562 48	0.0 811 92
AOR D(- 1)	0.0 293 69	0.0 148 21	0.0 152 46	0.0 453 39	0.0 331 30	0.0 378 47	0.0 051 27	0.0 006 26	0.1 323 23	0.1 222 67	0.0 087 49	0.0 633 66	0.0 343 05	0.0 262 92	0.0 231 77	0.0 764 26	0.0 765 95	0.1 093 25	0.0 182 5	0.0 136 60
AOR D(- 2)	0.0 383 77	0.0 190 86	0.0 507 27	0.0 856 65	0.0 286 16	0.06 219 8	0.0 246 59	0.0 010 08	0.0 107 67	0.0 054 97	0.0 850 36	0.0 233 26	0.0 268 37	0.0 657 07	0.0 751 24	0.0 067 1	0.0 428 96	0.0 197 94	0.0 618 90	0.0 790 5
BEL (-1)	0.0 098 91	0.0 385 55	0.0 243 06	0.0 195 71	0.0 367 82	0.00 886 5	0.0 027 66	0.0 007 66	0.0 565 52	0.0 189 48	0.0 114 46	0.0 581 54	0.0 289 61	0.0 367 85	0.0 066 64	0.0 718 03	0.1 563 32	0.0 240 14	0.0 535 00	0.0 843 27
BEL (-2)	0.0 360 48	0.0 231 28	0.0 561 94	0.0 343 35	0.0 457 35	0.0 213 63	0.0 115 06	0.0 003 68	0.0 222 34	0.0 231 48	0.0 420 69	0.0 463 84	0.0 548 03	0.0 134 35	0.0 392 61	0.0 507 33	0.0 169 31	0.0 102 45	0.0 250 68	0.0 625 24
BSE SN(- 1)	0.0 184 34	0.0 494 94	0.0 549 45	0.0 352 61	0.0 302 61	0.05 982 3	0.0 442 48	0.0 002 61	0.0 023 94	0.0 179 74	0.0 331 08	0.0 582 33	0.0 259 65	0.0 354 20	0.0 250 66	0.0 267 01	0.0 629 91	0.0 389 50	0.0 048 23	0.0 496 81
BSE SN(- 2)	0.0 120 2	0.0 505 27	0.0 035 23	0.0 088 12	0.0 059 4	0.02 577 1	0.0 206 01	0.0 001 14	0.0 386 23	0.0 170 17	0.0 375 63	0.0 829 00	0.0 314 95	0.0 800 07	0.0 275 23	0.0 306 06	0.0 354 65	0.0 169 04	0.0 002 94	0.1 134 66
IPC MX X(- 1)	0.0 431 37	0.0 181 42	0.0 208 17	0.0 279 55	0.0 012 33	0.01 480 4	0.0 055 85	0.0 004 41	0.0 082 33	0.0 596 8	0.0 440 25	0.0 327 91	0.0 320 76	0.0 361 57	0.0 324 57	0.0 013 09	0.0 041 8	0.0 191 96	0.0 425 37	0.0 160 94
IPC MX X(- 2)	0.0 659 04	0.0 040 53	0.0 778 33	0.1 235 14	0.0 059 80	0.0 272 99	0.0 104 06	0.0 001 31	0.0 218 53	0.0 642 30	0.0 250 17	0.0 026 73	0.0 828 72	0.0 166 82	0.0 315 46	0.0 117 96	0.0 360 02	0.0 315 60	0.0 471 65	0.0 043 80
JKS E(-1)	0.0 250 73	0.0 565 13	0.0 318 12	0.0 259 5	0.0 398 36	0.0 833 70	0.0 338 02	0.0 002 43	0.0 168 82	0.0 597 57	0.0 465 13	0.0 011 08	0.0 980 33	0.0 549 94	0.0 400 46	0.0 820 67	0.0 471 75	0.0 188 91	0.1 212 18	0.0 117 2
JKS E(-2)	0.0 239 17	0.0 909 95	0.0 363 03	0.0 319 25	0.0 642 6	0.0 503 70	0.0 175 01	0.0 004 16	0.0 057 97	0.0 343 05	0.1 255 16	0.1 127 58	0.0 047 35	0.0 523 41	0.0 039 72	0.0 477 12	0.0 517 54	0.0 198 66	0.0 153 39	0.0 281 76
MB ASE (-1)	0.0 231 27	0.0 923 7	0.0 234 75	0.0 683 63	0.0 060 16	0.0 993 51	0.1 403 42	5.0 2E- 05	0.0 151 36	0.0 138 27	0.0 322 01	0.0 979 64	0.0 425 69	0.0 115 84	0.0 011 07	0.1 078 32	0.0 858 04	0.0 271 24	0.0 289 97	0.1 203 27
MB ASE (-2)	0.0 818 66	0.0 906 96	0.0 797 61	0.0 525 18	0.0 329 78	0.01 177 3	0.0 248 42	7.3 8E- 05	0.1 035 75	0.0 020 78	0.0 138 91	0.1 051 35	0.0 857 27	0.0 481 52	0.0 208 79	0.0 618 82	0.0 413 62	0.0 146 09	0.0 286 54	0.1 138 40
NZS E50(-1)	0.0 056 62	0.0 488 25	0.0 036 88	0.0 219 96	0.0 061 68	0.0 200 07	0.0 100 89	0.0 002 51	0.0 460 01	0.0 091 16	0.0 026 70	0.0 657 32	0.0 377 91	0.0 140 66	0.0 322 03	0.0 118 07	0.0 966 42	0.0 214 20	0.0 183 93	0.0 197 71
NZS E50(-2)	0.0 459 73	0.0 400 89	0.0 041 04	0.0 525 38	0.0 038 41	0.0 482 94	0.0 379 03	0.0 001 62	0.0 352 32	0.0 507 86	0.0 508 98	0.0 051 92	0.0 113 81	0.0 018 78	0.0 001 72	0.0 103 17	0.0 560 93	0.0 281 18	0.0 224 44	0.0 363 14
OSE (-1)	0.0 136 27	0.0 275 02	0.0 029 03	0.0 754 70	0.0 105 63	0.00 316 5	0.0 182 64	5.9 3E- 06	0.0 188 72	0.0 013 75	0.0 315 75	0.0 273 87	0.0 036 32	0.0 099 63	0.0 261 79	0.1 221 38	0.0 202 93	0.0 206 48	0.0 345 42	0.0 255 53
OSE (-2)	0.0 533 37	0.0 134 05	0.0 377 78	0.0 142 12	0.0 164 18	0.00 667 6	0.0 762 68	4.3 0E- 05	0.0 162 09	0.0 835 59	0.0 028 6	0.0 240 74	0.0 598 96	0.0 700 68	0.0 192 61	0.0 260 83	0.0 643 63	0.0 481 30	0.0 383 98	0.0 379 92
STI(- 1)	0.1 663 77	0.0 145 08	0.0 108 67	0.0 801 31	0.0 637 85	0.1 035 58	0.0 486 04	4.0 5E- 05	0.0 452 23	0.0 137 90	0.0 073 51	0.0 389 49	0.0 226 65	0.0 173 87	0.0 397 46	0.0 473 57	0.0 583 24	0.0 248 21	0.1 154 21	0.0 278 91



20. Kanasro, H. A., Chandan, L., Rohra, M. A. and Junejo. 2009, 'Measurement of Stock Market Volatility through ARCH and GARCH Models: A Case Study of Karachi Stock Exchange', *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, vol.3, no.4, pp. 3123-3127.
21. Engle, R. F. 1982, 'Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of U.K. Inflation', *Econometrica*, vol.50, pp. 987-1008.
22. Perotti, E. and Oijen, P. V. 1999, 'Privatization, Political Risk and Stock Market Development in Emerging Economies', *Journal of International Money and Finance*, vol.20, no.1, pp. 43-69.
23. Errunza, V. R. 1983, 'Emerging Markets: A New Opportunity For Improving Global Portfolio Performance', *Financial Analysts Journal*, vol.39, no.5, pp. 51-58.
24. Fama, E. F. and French, K. R. 2004, 'The Capital Asset Pricing Model: Theory and Evidence', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol.18, no.3, pp. 25-46.
25. Fama, E. F. 1976, 'Inflation uncertainty and expected returns on Treasury bills', *Journal of Political Economy*, vol.84, pp. 427-448.
26. Floros, C. 2008, 'Modelling Volatility Using GARCH Models: Evidence from Egypt and Israel', *Middle Eastern Finance and Economics*, vol.2, pp. 31-41.
27. French, K. R. 1980, 'Stock returns and the weekend effect', *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol.8, no.1, pp. 55- 69.
28. Goudarzi, H. and Ramanarayanan, C. S. 2010, 'Modelling and Estimation of Volatility in Indian Stock Market', *International Journal of Business and Management*, vol.5, no.2, pp. 85-98.
29. Guillaumont, P., Guillaumont-Jeanneney, S., and Brun, et J. F. 1999, 'How instability lowers African growth', *Journal of African Economies*, vol.8, pp. 87-107.
30. Calvo, G. A. 1998, 'Balance of Payments Crises in Emerging Markets: Large Capital Inflows and Sovereign Governments' in Krugman, P. (ed.) *Currency Crises* (2000), pp. 71 - 97.
31. Nzomo, J. and Dombou, D. 2017, 'Stock markets, volatility, and economic growth: evidence from Cameroon, Ivory Coast and Nigeria', *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, vol.2, no.3.
32. Saleem, K. 2007, 'Modeling time-varying volatility and asymmetry of KSE', *SSRN Electronic Journal 1*, DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.964898.
33. Karmakar, M. 2005, 'Modelling Conditional Volatility of the Indian Stock Markets', *Vikalpa*, vol.30, no.3, pp. 21-37.
34. Karmakar, M. 2007, 'Asymmetric Volatility and Risk-Return Association in the Indian Stock Market', *South Asia Economic Journal*, vol.8, no.1, pp. 99-116.
35. Banumathy, K. and Azhagaiah R. 2015, 'Modelling Stock Market Volatility: Evidence from India', *Managing Global Transitions*, vol.13, no.1, pp. 27-42.
36. Kassimatis, K. 2000, 'Stock Market Development and Economic Growth In Emerging Economies', Ph.D. thesis, Middlesex University.
37. Kenneth, A. T. 2013, 'Association between Volatility and Expected Returns in Two Emerging Markets', *Business and Economics Journal*, vol.84, pp. 1-7.
38. Kim, H. and Singal, V. 1993, 'Opening Up of Stock Markets by Emerging Economies: Effect on Portfolio Flows and Volatility of Stock Prices' in Claessens and Gooptu (edu.) *Portfolio Investment In Developing Countries*, World Bank Discussion paper 228.
39. Liu, Y., Gopikrishnan, P., Cizeau, P., Meyer, M., Chung-Kang, P., and Stanley, H. E. 1999, 'Statistical properties of the volatility of price fluctuations', *The American Physical Society*, vol.60, no.2, pp.1390-1400.
40. Umutlu, et. al. M. 2009, 'The Degree of Financial Liberalization and Aggregated Stock-Return Volatility In Emerging Markets', *Journal of Banking & Finance*, vol.34, pp. 509-521.
41. Maharaj, et al. 2011, 'A comparison of developed and emerging equity market return volatility at different time scales', *Managerial Finance*, vol.37, no.10, pp. 940-952.
42. Mala, R., and Reddy, M. 2007, 'Measuring stock market volatility in an emerging economy', *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, vol. 8, pp. 126-133.
43. Mehta, S., Varsha, M. and Tarika, S. 2011, 'Macroeconomic Factors and Stock Returns: Evidence from Taiwan', *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, vol.2, no.4, pp. 217-227.
44. Adler, M. and Qi.R. 2003, 'Mexico's Integration Into The North American Capital Market', *Emerging Markets Review*, vol.4, no.2, pp. 91-120. DOI: 10.1016/S1566-0141(03)00023-2. Source: RePEc.
45. Mittal, A. K., Arora, D. D. and Goyal N. 2012, 'Modelling the Volatility of Indian Stock Market', *Gitam Journal of Management*, vol.10, no.1, pp. 224-43
46. MANDIMIKA, N. Z., and CHINZARA, Z. 2012, 'Risk-Return Trade-Off And Behaviour Of Volatility On The South African Stock Market: Evidence From Both Aggregate And Disaggregate Data', *South African Journal of Economics*, vol.80, no.3.
47. Poon S-H., Granger C. 2003, 'Predicting Volatility in Financial Markets: A Review', *Journal of Economic Literature*, vol.41, pp. 478-539.
48. Prorokowski L. 2011, 'Trading strategies of individual investors in times of financial crisis: An example from the Central European emerging stock market of Poland', *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, vol.3, no.1, pp. 34-50.
49. Singh, R., Bhargava, V., Malhotra D. K., and Russel, P. 2012, 'An empirical examination of volatility spillover between the Indian and US swap markets', *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, vol.7, no.3, pp. 289-304.
50. Aggarwal, R., Inclan, C., and Leal, R. 1999, 'Volatility in Emerging Stock Markets', *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, vol.34, no.1, pp. 33-55.
51. Richards, A. 1996, 'How Do Emerging and Mature Markets Differ?', IMF Working Paper, *Volatility and Predictability in National Stock Markets*.
52. Ross, S. A. 1976, 'The Arbitrage Pricing Theory of capital asset pricing', *Journal of Economic Theory*, vol.13, pp. 341-360.
53. Masih, R. 2010, 'Oil Price Volatility and Stock Price Fluctuations in an Emerging Market: Evidence from South Korea JP Morgan Asset Management', *New York NY 10167*.
54. Samuelson, P. A. 1965, 'Rational Theory of Warrant Pricing', *Industrial Management Review*, vol.6, no.2, pp. 13-39.
55. Lux, T. and Marchesi, M. 2000, 'Volatility clustering in financial markets', *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, vol.3, pp. 675-702.
56. Taliente, D. and Fraser, P. 1995, 'Equities and Emerging Stock Markets', *The Royal Institute of International Affairs*, Discussion Paper.
57. Singh, T., Mehta, S., and Holani, U. 2010, 'The effects of index changes in the Spain Stock Market', *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, vol.2, no.3.
58. Vijayalakshmi, S. and Gaur, S. 2013, 'Modelling Volatility: Indian Stock and Foreign Exchange Markets', *Journal of Emerging Issues in Economics, Finance and Banking*, vol.2, no.1, pp. 583-98.
59. Zakaria, S. S. A., and Winker, P. 2012, 'Modelling Stock Market Volatility Using Univariate GARCH Models: Evidence from Sudan and Egypt', *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, vol.4, no.8, pp. 161-76.

AUTHORS PROFIL



Dr. Tarika Singh Sikarwar: Resourceful and innovative professional with a 13+ year academic career producing powerful learning opportunities for advanced level post-secondary courses, international educational facilities, and corporate training. Recognized for teaching excellence based on technical, research, and design fundamentals, pioneering technology in the classroom and e-learning, Strong follow-through, administrative and time management capabilities, highly articulate, demonstrating refined interpersonal skills across all levels and backgrounds, Without fail, confident, positive and professional. She is energized for new challenges and Trusted to orientate new faculty addressing teaching methodologies and student expectations in a college environment.



Mrs. Payal Malani (Holani) has post graduated from Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra. She had done her schooling from one of the leading school in Hisar. She had first division throughout in her academic career. She had cleared UGC NET examination of Commerce in her first attempt. She had passed net examination three times. She had participated in national service scheme. She also had teaching experience of two years in post graduate college in Hisar (Haryana). She had also attended number of national or international conferences and seminar. Now, she is a research scholar in School of Commerce and Business Studies in Jiwaji University, Gwalior (MP).

